

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN

ESTABLISHED 1845

MUNN & CO., - - Editors and Proprietors

Published Weekly at

No. 361 Broadway, New York

TERMS TO SUBSCRIBERS

One copy, one year for the United States, Canada, or Mexico \$3.00
 One copy, one year, to any foreign country, postage prepaid. \$4.00

THE SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN PUBLICATIONS.

Scientific American (Established 1845).....\$3.00 a year
 Scientific American Supplement (Established 1876)..... 3.00 ..
 American Homes and Gardens..... 3.00 ..
 Scientific American Export Edition (Established 1873)..... 3.00 ..

The combined subscription rates and rates to foreign countries will be furnished upon application.

Remit by postal or express money order, or by bank draft or check.

MUNN & CO., 361 Broadway, New York.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MARCH 24, 1906.

The Editor is always glad to receive for examination illustrated articles on subjects of timely interest. If the photographs are sharp, the articles short, and the facts authentic, the contributions will receive special attention. Accepted articles will be paid for at regular space rates.

"WHAT'S IN A NAME?"

We note in the columns of the daily press that the Cunard Company are proposing to bestow upon the two 25-knot turbine steamers, the time of whose launching is not far removed, the names "Lusitania" and "Mauritania." As we stand in meditative contemplation of these most interesting products of the art of nomenclature, we are asking, like one of old, "What's in a name?" Saving and except that they end in the characteristic last two syllables favored by the company, they fail to call up any familiar associations either of people or country. "Umbria" we know and "Etruria" we know; but who are these?

A reference to the encyclopedia discloses the fact that "Mauritania" and "Lusitania" were the ancient names, respectively, of Morocco and Spain—two of the countries which are playing a leading part in the present diplomatic amenities at Algeciras; from which it is evident that the Cunard Company, forecasting a peaceful outcome, have decided to perpetuate the conference by an appropriate christening.

One member of our staff has suggested that because the two new ships are the longest in the world, search was made among the names of countries living and dead for names that bear a similar distinction; while a contemporary has discovered that the ships will never need to take in water ballast, their names being heavy enough to keep them on an even keel in any weather.

But in all seriousness we do think it would be a thousand pities if these two noble ships, representing the highest effort of the shipbuilder's art, should be dispatched to this country carrying names which have no appropriate significance whatever. Surely the Cunard Company possess, among the names of the earlier ships, some that might fittingly be perpetuated in these, their latest vessels. The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, therefore, offers the friendly suggestion that the company revive the names "Britannia," and "Hibernia"; the one being the name of the first ship to carry the Cunard flag across the Atlantic, and the other the name of one of the "Britannias" three sisters. There would be a peculiar fitness in the choice, inasmuch as the "Britannia" and "Hibernia" of 1907, like their namesakes of sixty years before, will mark the opening of a new era in the wonderful story of the navigation of the Atlantic.

NEW WATER SUPPLY LEGISLATION.

The legislature of the State of New York has seldom been called upon to discuss a bill of greater importance and urgency than the one now being pressed upon its attention for increasing immediately the water supply of the city of New York. It is gratifying to know that this whole question is in the hands of a Board of Water Supply, whose members are strictly non-partisan, and all men of sterling worth. Its engineers have formulated a plan, the broad outlines of which are discussed elsewhere in this issue, which embodies the results of investigations extending over many years, and carried on by the very best engineering talent of the day. If favorable legislation is secured, and active construction at once begun, it will be possible to complete in a few years' time a sufficient section of the new system to ward off the threatened risk of a water famine. That such a famine is possible if immediate steps are not taken to prevent it, will be evident from the following considerations:

The water supply of New York is at present confined almost exclusively to the Croton watershed, with which it is connected by two aqueducts, the "old," and the "new." The new Croton aqueduct, completed fifteen

years ago, in its present condition, when running at its full capacity, can deliver 295 million gallons of water per day to the distribution reservoirs in Manhattan. The old Croton aqueduct, as now being repaired, can be relied on to convey about 80 million gallons per day. New York city, therefore, has aqueduct connections with the Croton watershed which are sufficient to bring in a maximum of 375 million gallons per day. In years of extreme drought, however, the Croton watershed, even if every reservoir within it should be drawn down until it was empty, can be prudently relied upon to yield, in years of extreme drought, not more than 300 million gallons per day. Nevertheless the consumption of Croton water has already averaged for an entire month as high as 318 million gallons per day, and for a whole year 292 million gallons per day. Now for ten years past the consumption of water in New York city has increased at the average rate of 14 million gallons per day, a rate of increase which renders it certain that unless a new supply is soon provided New York city must face the untold inconvenience and danger of a water famine.

STEEL MANUFACTURERS AND THE CHAIN BRIDGE.

The Merchants' Association of this city is determined to leave no stone unturned in its efforts to expose the daily increasing scandal of the delay in building the Manhattan Bridge; and they have recently addressed a strong letter to the Hon. Herman A. Metz, comptroller of the City of New York, which has received a favorable answer.

In the campaign carried on in the daily press by the engineers who were anxious to discredit the accepted design for a chain bridge, it was repeatedly stated that the chain bridge would cost more to build than a wire bridge, and that the steel manufacturers would be unable to manufacture eye-bars of the great size required, that would come up to the requirements of the specifications. These statements were merely part of a cloud of pseudo-technical dust with which an effort has been made to confuse the issue.

Now the Merchants' Association has effectually disposed of this contention by writing to several of the leading steel manufacturers, firms who would be possible bidders for the construction of the chain cable bridge, and asking them whether such a bridge could be built, and whether it would cost more in time and money than a wire bridge. In every case the association was assured by these firms that they were prepared to submit bids and undertake the construction of the bridge according to the plans. They further stated in their replies that the Manhattan Bridge, if built on the eye-bar plan, will require less time for its construction than it would if built on the wire cable plan.

We do not make any comments upon the breach of professional etiquette involved in the starting and keeping alive of a daily-press agitation of this character by engineers of more or less standing—that is a question for the Society of Civil Engineers or for the papers devoted exclusively to civil engineering interests to pass upon—but we do consider it to be a strange anomaly that, although the engineers who are opponents of the chain bridge have been feverishly anxious to discuss, or prompt the discussion of, this bridge question in the daily press, or before non-technical bodies, they absolutely refuse to allow it to be brought up for discussion and decision before a qualified board of engineering experts. It is not the fault of the public if it is driven to believe that the refusal to submit the plans for the two bridges to a tribunal of expert engineers, is due to the fact that the advocates of the wire bridge are well aware that the decision would be in favor of the more modern, more scientific, cheaper, and more quickly constructed chain-cable design.

A TIMELY WARNING.

In our issue of December 9 of last year, commenting on the need for providing some form of horizontal guide or fender rails on the supporting columns of the Subway on all curves, we said "the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN is of the opinion that on such curves as those at the Grand Central Station and Times Square; and at all turnouts such as that at Spring Street which are liable to be taken by express trains at high speed, it would be advisable to attach some form of guard rail to the lines of posts on the outer side of the curve." That the warning was timely was shown on the morning of March 15 last, when the third car of a heavily-crowded express train jumped the track at the Spring Street turnout, and was only saved from collision with the columns by the strength of its couplings, which fortunately proved sufficient to hold it in the general line of the train and fairly close to the rails, until the emergency brakes had brought the train to a stop.

We regard the situation at Spring Street as being particularly dangerous for two reasons: First, that whereas at the Grand Central Station and Times Square the curves are both sharp and long, and therefore, must always be conspicuous in the minds of the motorman, the curve at Spring Street being only what

might be called a jog in the line, to enable the tracks to swing out sufficiently to admit of an additional track at that point, does not appear to be as formidable a curve as the two above mentioned. When a motorman is running at full speed, and particularly should he be behind time in the rush hours, there is a strong temptation for him to take the turnout at a higher speed than he would if the turnout were merely the commencement of a long and formidable-looking curve. And yet it is a fact that such short curves as are found at turnouts or where the tracks spread to pass on either side of an island platform, are very much sharper than the longer and more important curves. Furthermore, in the Subway, the important curves are "spiraled," or "eased," that is to say they are parabolic in curve, and the centrifugal thrust of the train against the outer rail is so gradually developed as not to be perceptible to the ordinary passenger. On turnouts and jogs the change of direction of the track is so abrupt that if, as happens every day on the Subway, the motorman on the express trains fail to make the proper reduction of speed, there is a jolt and lurch to the train which tells very plainly what a terrific strain is being thrown upon the guard rail and upon the flanges of the car wheels.

A personal inspection of the track at Spring Street after the accident showed very clearly the point at which the centrifugal thrust of the flange of the wheel against the guard rail became great enough to enable the flange to get a sufficient "bite" on the metal to enable it to lift itself and its load over the rail and so cause the derailment. The general manager of the company has issued an official statement, which assigns the derailment to the fact that the wheel had slipped on the axle and that this widened the gage between the wheel flanges and caused the trucks to jump the track. This may be perfectly true; but it simply proves what a terrific lateral thrust is being exercised on the Subway cars if the guard rail reaction is sufficient to shift a wheel on its axle; for these wheels are thrust onto their axles by a hydraulic pressure, which amounts, we believe, to as high as 15 or 20 tons.

Another fact that makes the situation at Spring Street one that calls for great care in running the trains is, that the turnout necessitates a break in the continuity of the line of columns, and that as a train swings over to the right and crosses this line diagonally, it is in a position in which, if the leading car of a train that was running too fast were derailed, it would run end-on into the first of the columns, beyond the turnout, with one or two results: Either a number of the columns would be carried away and the street above crash into the Subway, or, should they be able to resist the impact of the 300-ton train, the columns would shear their way through the first car, splitting it in two.

We do not wish to be alarmists. The Subway and its equipment are absolutely first class; and there is not the slightest risk at Spring Street, or elsewhere provided the trains are slowed down to the proper speed for which the curves at this point were laid out by the engineers who built the road. The rules of the company as to reduction of speed on curves should be of the most stringent character, and accompanied with the severest penalties in case the lawful speed is exceeded. There can be no doubt that at present motormen are running over portions of the line, where they are expected to slow down, at a higher speed than is consistent with a prudent and safe operation of the road; and the most flagrant instance of this, especially during the past six months, has been the particular spot at which the recent derailment occurred.

THE DURATION OF LIGHTNING FLASHES.

Faraday made observations which to him proved that lightning flashes lasted as long as one second, whereas Dove, by his observations on tops, illuminated by a flash of lightning, was led to infer that the duration of lightning must be exceedingly short.

L. Dufour has suggested using rapidly-rotating devices, such as employed by Wheatstone in measuring the duration of electric sparks, for determining the duration of lightning flashes. He thus distinguished "instantaneous" and "rapidly succeeding" flashes of lightning and those of a "certain duration."

The oscillating character of lightning flashes has been proved by B. Walter from photographic records, which showed a wave-shaped fluctuation in luminosity.

In a recent issue of the Elektrotechnische Zeitschrift Mr. K. E. F. Schmidt records some experiments made on a rapidly-rotating disk, 10 centimeters in diameter, on which a white cross on a black background had been drawn, the members of the cross being 2 millimeters in breadth. This disk being driven by clockwork, performed 50 to 60 revolutions per second, and the following observations were made by its means on heavy evening thunderstorms:

1. In connection with many flashes, the cross would appear once brilliantly and sharply.

2. The cross would more frequently appear two, three, or even more times as a well-defined image, either in so rapid a succession as to give the impres-

sion of an instantaneous occurrence, or else at appreciable intervals. Whereas one cross was very luminous, the second as a rule was weaker, and so on with diminishing intensity. The relative position of the various bright crosses as well as their succession showed the greatest variability. The impression was frequently produced of the disk rotating in the direction of the hands of a clock, while it really rotated in the opposite direction; or it would appear pendulating.

3. In connection with an extremely sharp powerful cloud lightning, an eightfold cross would appear brilliantly for a moment, all the arms lying at the same distance, while one of the crosses had a somewhat greater intensity than the remaining.

From the above it is inferred that Walter's statement of the extreme variability in the discharge phenomena of lightning flashes is correct.

The time which actually elapses between the partial discharges can be computed only if the images appear for a moment, as according to physiological investigation on the duration of "after-images" (Nachbilder), the duration of the phenomenon cannot be upward of 1-50 of a second, i. e., the duration of one rotation. From other observations it is inferred that the duration of a discharge is about 1-1000 of a second, whereas the lightning referred to under No. 3 evidences a discharge phenomenon including at least eight partial discharges of equal intensity and succeeding each other at regular intervals of about 1-1000 second each.

In connection with the lightning referred to under No. 1, the visible discharge must have come to an end after less than 1-35000 to 1-40000 second, and the partial discharges mentioned under No. 2 must have been of the same short duration.

A determination of the duration of lightning is the more important, as it will give a means of ascertaining the time of oscillation, provided the lightning really constitutes an oscillating phenomenon. The period of discharge would thus be less than 1-30000 second.

THE IMPENDING EXHAUSTION OF THE WORLD'S IRON SUPPLY.

Several months ago the chief of the Swedish geological survey, in pursuance of a resolution adopted by the Swedish parliament, prepared a report showing the extent of the known deposits of iron in the world, and the rate at which such deposits are being consumed. While there has been some dissension as to the exactness of certain details contained in the report, it may be accepted as a substantially accurate investigation of a subject of vital importance to the world. Most disquieting in this report is the conclusion that we are likely to run short of iron within a single century if the present rate of consumption is maintained.

The world has only 10,000,000,000 tons of iron ore available. Of these Germany has twice as many tons as the United States. Russia and France each have 400,000,000 tons more than this country. Our annual consumption of iron is placed at 35,000,000 tons, which is more than a third of the world's total consumption. Commenting on the known and generally-accepted facts of the situation, the Iron and Coal Trades Review in one of its recent issues stated: "We would seem to be within a little more than half a century of an absolute iron famine. This fact raises problems of serious consequence to the world's iron industry and to the outlook of civilization itself."

The efficient consul-general of the United States at Paris, Mr. F. Mason, has analyzed with considerable astuteness the problems involved in this threatened industrial catastrophe. From an elaborate report of his we abstract the following facts:

It is well known that the high-class ores of the lake district in America will, at the present rate of consumption, be exhausted within less than fifty years. The Mesaba deposits, with the present annual output of 12,000,000 tons or thereabouts, will not outlast twenty-five years, and it requires only a simple calculation to demonstrate that a continued yearly consumption of 35,000,000 tons of ore by the iron and steel industries of the United States will, within the lifetime of many persons now living, eat away entirely the 1,100,000,000 tons which, according to the Swedish report cited, constitute our country's entire workable supply as at present known. Inasmuch, therefore, as the United States possess but about one-ninth of the world's ore deposit and yet consumes more than one-third of the total annual output from all countries, the conclusion is direct and unavoidable that the future economic policy of American iron masters should be to secure by all practical means, the largest possible ore supply from the mines of other countries. How can this be most economically and effectively accomplished?

The problem is largely one of transportation, in which the item of marine freight rates plays a dominant part. An economic long-distance ocean rate for heavy, low-class merchandise, involves necessarily two conditions, viz., vessels specially adapted to the trade, and return freights that will bear an equal or higher

charge for transportation. The ship that brings ore from Spain, Sweden, and other European countries to the United States, must have each trip an eastward-bound cargo that will be more than ballast and yield a regular and definite profit. There is but one material which will meet the requirements of the case, and that is coal.

It is in respect of quantity and quality of coal supply that the advantage of North America over European countries is decisive and overwhelming. Whatever may be the facts concerning ores, the known coal measures of the United States render their fuel supply secure, abundant, and of excellent quality for centuries to come. There are hundreds of thousands of acres of gas and coking coals of high quality in the Appalachian region—to say nothing of other fields—which have as yet been hardly scratched by the pick and drill of the miner. New coal deposits of greater or less extent and value are being discovered from year to year. With what is now known the present enormous annual output of 280,000,000 tons of bituminous coal can be maintained for hundreds of years without exhausting the available supply. In Europe, on the contrary, the years of adequate coal provision are definitely numbered. In England experts estimate the duration of the workable coal measures to be from sixty to one hundred years. Germany has a somewhat longer lease of industrial life dependent on coal supply, but already the subject is so acute that a heavy contract for the delivery of German coal to France, for iron and steel works, is understood to have been canceled recently at heavy loss to the sellers, because, it is definitely understood, the imperial government objected to the depletion of the national coal supply for the benefit of neighboring countries. France has native coal for a generation or more, but the mines are deepening, the cost of production is gradually increasing, and economists are looking with growing apprehension to the future. Twenty-five or at most thirty years hence, the question of an adequate fuel supply will be a serious problem for France.

In 1903 France consumed 42,694,100 tons of coal, of which 34,217,661 tons were the product of French mines, while the remaining 8,476,439 tons were imported. Cardiff and Belgium coals are delivered at Havre at prices varying, in ordinary seasons, from \$4.63 to \$5.21 per ton. This is the competition which American coal would have to meet, since from that port of debarkation, common to all imported coals, the costs of duty and freighting to the interior would be the same.

The railway freight rate on coal from Havre to Paris is 70 francs per carload of 10 tons, or \$1.35 per ton for a haul of 143 miles. The rate by the River Seine, which is open to navigation practically the entire year, is from \$1.05 to \$1.10 per ton. Add to this the import duty of 26 cents and it will be seen that the Belgian and Welsh coals can be landed in ordinary times at the docks outside the walls of Paris for about \$6.36 to \$6.50 per ton. The wholesale price charged by importers to local dealers for bituminous coal is at present, slightly more than \$10 per ton. Is there not in the margin of \$3.50 and \$3.64 between these figures an opportunity for American coal, provided the whole transaction, including mining, railway and ocean transportation, and transshipment at sea-ports, is so organized and managed as to develop a large trade and reduce expenses per ton to a minimum? In other words, can American bituminous coals of the grades adapted to gas manufacture, domestic use, and general industrial purposes, be delivered in large quantities at Havre for a cost not exceeding \$5 per ton.

It remains to consider the correlation between these conditions and the future ore supply of the United States and certain European countries, as described in the first section of the present report. Coal imported into France pays a duty of 26 cents per metric ton. In respect to duty, freight up the Seine to Paris, and other charges American coal would be on the same basis as Belgian and British coals, which come into France principally by that route.

The demand for foreign coal will increase with the gradual exhaustion of the French mines, and the consumption will be augmented in proportion to whatever reduction can in future be made in the present high cost of fuel. There are millions of tons of good coking and gas coals in the Allegheny and Cumberland districts of the United States which can be produced with profit at the mouth of the mine for an average price of \$1 to \$1.25 per ton. When the railroads now projected or under construction are finished and in operation it should be possible to carry such coals to tide water for a freight rate not much, if anything, in excess of \$1 per ton.

When in 1902 the project of exporting American coal to Europe was actively discussed, it was the consensus of expert opinion that the successful development of such a trade would require the construction of a special class of vessels which would do for the ocean-going coal traffic what they had done for the ore and

coal trade of the Great Lakes, namely, steel barges of 10,000 tons burden, staunchly built, with quarters for a crew of ten to fifteen men, and engine power sufficient for a speed of 8 or 10 knots per hour, which would give steerageway sufficient for safe handling in all weathers. Given a fleet of vessels, with loading docks for coal along the Chesapeake Bay or Atlantic coast, and a reliable return freight, and the problem of a large and expanding coal export to Europe, which depends primarily on an ocean freight rate not exceeding \$1.25 to \$1.50 per ton, would be practically solved.

As return freights, the potash minerals of Germany have been suggested, but they are limited in quantity and restricted by various conditions, so that there remains but one available resource, and that is iron ores of Spain, Finland, and the Scandinavian Peninsula, three countries which, together, now mine about 14,000,000 tons per annum, but which, for want of cheap and abundant fuel, smelt not more than one-third or one-fourth of that amount. The time will doubtless come when most, if not all, European countries will prohibit the export of native coal, except to their own colonies. The imported fuel supplies of France, Italy, Spain, and Scandinavia will then have to come mainly from beyond the Atlantic. It will be strange indeed if American foresight shall fail to recognize the opportunity which time will ripen and the laws of demand and supply will offer to American enterprise.

THE EFFICIENCY OF THE GAS ENGINE.

What becomes of the heat in the fuel which goes into a gas-engine cylinder? Part of it, usually about 25 per cent, is converted into work, about 40 per cent is absorbed by the water jacket, and about 35 per cent is lost by radiation and through the exhaust pipe. If we can reduce the amount which is wasted, the percentage turned into work will obviously be increased. Other things being equal, the amount which is absorbed by the water jacket depends upon the amount of surface exposed during inflammation. The higher the compression the less the surface surrounding the unit of compressed charge. Hence, more heat goes into the work. The Lenoir engine, firing at atmospheric pressure, requires nearly 100 cubic feet of gas per B. H. P. hour, while with a compression of five atmospheres an engine of the same horse-power will do the same work on 20 cubic feet of gas.

In a paper read before the Western Society of Engineers, Mr. C. E. Sargent presents a keen analysis of these losses, which it seems well worth summarizing in the following paragraphs:

The higher the compression within the limits of the pressure necessary for premature ignition, the greater will be the efficiency, but the kind of fuel governs the degree, and the compression necessary to ignite kerosene vapor, while not so volatile as gasoline, will not cause ignition. Natural gases can be compressed to 150 pounds absolute, alcohol to 190 pounds, and blast furnace gas to 210 pounds, and still require an electric spark for inflammation.

In considering the heat which is lost by way of the exhaust, it must be remembered that, when a cylinder full of gas and air is compressed and ignited, the reactions during combustion raise the temperature of the gases enormously, and that for every degree F. of rise in temperature, there is a corresponding increase of 1/490 of the volume of the gases even though with a proper mixture the combustion is not instantaneous.

If a full cylinder of combustible mixture is compressed from atmospheric pressure and temperature and heated further by chemical action, then, when the volume is constant, the pressure is increased. When the exhaust valve opens this pressure causes the familiar "sea-lion" bark apparently inseparable from the gas-engine. This is the second loss of the internal combustion engine, and when we consider that from 35 to 40 per cent of the heat is wasted in this way, is it any wonder that engineers have tried to minimize the loss? We all know the efficiency of the direct-acting steam pump and the gain by a more complete expansion even though we obtain a lower mean effective pressure, and consequently less power from the same cylinders. To utilize the heat and pressure in the exhaust, compound gas engines have been suggested, tried, and in a few cases with some success.

The working fluid of the internal combustion engine, unlike steam, is practically a perfect gas, so that the efficiency of the gas engine may be increased if we can expand the burnt gases to a greater volume than before compression. As in a steam engine there is a limit to the degree of expansion desirable. When the pressure equals the power required to overcome the friction, a further expansion reduces the efficiency of the engine. Hence the decrease in efficiency as the load is lightened.

In a single-expansion steam engine it has been found that a terminal pressure of about four pounds above the atmosphere is the most efficient pressure of release, while on account of the lower mechanical efficiency of the gas engine a terminal pressure of from 6 to 8 pounds seems to give the greatest economy.