my batteries. We wished to attain great velocities over rough surfaces, and we succeeded beyond any of our expectations. The idea was to find any defects in either the frame of the car or the battery and motor. The latter two were entirely successful, but there are a few things which can be bettered in the car.

"My experience shows me that we have much to learn from the French makers—they have been at it longer than we have, and are still several years ahead. Several of the auto makers in this country have sent for my battery specifications and are beginning to make types of their machine in which it is to be used.

"I have been experimenting with an electric coach to-day, and it is surprising how well it showed up. We climbed Eagle Rock, where the hill-climbing contests are held, with the greatest ease, and we really did not dare to attain our maximum speed.

"We cannot put the new battery on the market in any quantity as yet. The best we can do now is to make one a day. By October we will make at least six daily, and about Christmas we will be in shape to meet any demand. The trouble has been that special machines had to be built, but the last of these will be completed next week. Another disadvantage I have to contend with is paying 40 per cent duty on a certain sheet steel that must be imported from England or Germany, and then in only limited quantities. By Christmas three rolling mills will be able to make it for me here in this country, and then the present high price for the batteries will be reduced.

"This battery will drive all other methods of locomotion out of business, and in less than ten years the horrible odor of gasoline on the public highways will be unknown. As for lack of recharging stations, that is nonsense. In the last month forty-five new ones have been installed in the New England States alone, and this is going on all over the country.

"Next year I will wager that I can take a car of my own design, fitted with my motor and battery, and go to Chicago and return in less time and with more pleasure than any other machine in existence. There will be no breakdown, no explosion of gas or gasoline, and the trip will be made at an even twentyfive miles an hour.

"Another thing, the battery will be made in four sizes, so that when[°]fully charged it will run 25, 50, 75, or 100 miles, and if wanted, they will be made any size larger or smaller. Of course, the running power of the battery will depend to a certain extent on the work it is called upon to do. If the roads are rough and there are many hills, a charge will last a shorter time than if the conditions were such as are found in the city. But taking the maximum of bad going, the battery will only be exhausted about 25 per cent sooner than it would be under favorable conditions."

In looking up the official test made of the new battery in the delivery wagon at Altman's, the books showed that the old lead battery weighed 1,260 pounds and had a maximum of 25 miles, while the Edison battery which replaced it weighed 650 pounds and drove the wagon 36.8 miles. Besides which the old battery occupied 12.8 cubic feet, while the Edison took up only 8.5 cubic feet. This comparison was made by the Times.

PROPOSED FORTH-CLYDE SHIP CANAL.

The feasibility of a ship canal between the Forth and Clyde has been for many years a subject of discussion in shipping and commercial circles in Scotland. Several routes were suggested at various times, but no definite steps have been taken toward the preparation of plans. At present the prospect of the construction of such a waterway is, however, considerably brighter. A company of London financiers is taking an active interest in the project, and has, it is said, adopted the scheme put forward by Messrs. D. and C. Stevenson, a prominent firm of harbor engineers of Edinburgh. Messrs. Stevenson surveyed the route some years ago from Alloa, on the Forth, to Arrochar, on Loch Long, and are now making a further survey in detail, preparing plans, etc. An Edinburgh committee or syndicate is acting in conjunction with the London promoters, and the sum of £10,-000,000 (\$48,665,000) has been pledged, on condition that Parliament shall guarantee interest on capital. The promoters are confident that Parliament will aid the enterprise to this extent, in view of the importance of the canal for naval purposes.

Scientific American

and 17 feet draft. Both canals are seriously incumbered by numerous locks.

"At present all vessels bound from the east to the west coast of Britain, or vice versa, have to pass around the end of the island, through the Pentland Firth, with its rapid tide and dangerous sea, combined with deceptive currents and prevalent fogs, or 'south about' through the overcrowded English Channel, so frequently the scene of disastrous collisions. It cannot be doubted that a ship canal through the narrow neck of land which separates the east and west coasts of Scotland, capable of carrying the largest vessels, would not only obviate all the dangers and delays incident to the 'north about' and 'south about' routes, but would effect much saving in time, in the cost of insurance, in wear and tear of vessels and their engines, and hence in the cost of transit; it would also for strategical purposes be of the greatest importance.

"In designing such a canal the first points to be settled were, What are the essential requisites? These may be stated as follows:

"1. That it should be of such dimensions as to admit ships of the largest class of merchantmen, and also vessels of the royal navy, passing freely and with perfect safety from sea to sea, practically at all times.

"2. That it should be as free as possible from locks, hydraulic lifts, or other mechanical appliances, involving possible delay or risk to ships using it.

"Having assumed these, as I think, indispensable conditions, the next question for solution was, Can a route be formed from the German Ocean to the Atlantic which fulfills them, and also can the canal be formed at a cost which will prove remunerative?

"After careful study of the country and the levels, the conclusion was arrived at that a route along the valley of the Forth gives the only practical solution of the problem. There is, as is well known, a tract of country, extending from Alloa, on the Forth, westward along the valley of the Forth to within about 10 miles of Loch Lomond, where the surface of the ground is only from 30 to 50 feet above mean sea level and the stratum is an alluvial deposit. Between this and Loch Lomond the ground rises rapidly and attains a maximum height of 236 feet above mean sea level and dips again to the south end of Loch Lomond, the surface of which is only 22 feet above mean sea level. The distance across this higher ground, from the 50foot contour on the one side to the 50-foot contour on the other, is about 8 miles, 134 miles of it being above the 200-foot line.

"Loch Lomond (which has ample depth for vessels of the largest draft) is to be utilized as canal toward the north end of the lock to Tarbet, and thence across to Loch Long there is only a distance of 13/4 miles, the ground attaining a maximum height of 130 feet above the mean sea level. Loch Long is practically the Atlantic Ocean, and the navigation of it is safe and the water of ample depth. This, then, is the route proposed by my firm, and the surface of Loch Lomond, which as I have said is only 22 feet above the mean level of the sea, is the proposed summit level of the canal, and having a water area of 21,000 acres and ample gathering ground-290 square miles-it would form an inexhaustible reservoir for supplying the locks with water. Only two locks at either end, at Alloa and Loch Long, would be required, as the level of the canal is only 13 feet and 17 feet above high-water level at these places, respectively. The exact route to be chosen will, of course, depend upon more minute inquiry than has yet been made.

"The eastern approach to the canal, which will be tidal, will be formed by deepening the Forth or making a cut inland, with a depth of 25 feet at a low-water spring tides, from opposite Grangemouth to the locks which it is proposed should be placed about 2 miles above Alloa. This will give a depth of 43 feet at highwater spring and 38 feet at neap tides. The distance from Grangemouth to Alloa is 6 miles. From Alloa the canal will pass to the north of the links of Forth and to the northward of the town of Stirling, then along the valley of the Forth to Gartmore and enter Loch Lomond near the mouth of the Endrick, a disfeet—and side slopes varying with the nature of the material. The locks will be capable of passing the largest vessels afloat, or about 600 feet in length and 80 feet in width, with smaller locks alongside for smaller vessels.

"It would have been desirable to have proposed a canal of sufficient width to allow two of the largest vessels to pass each other at any place on the route; but in roughly estimating the cost it was found that this would involve so large an expenditure as to make it doubtful whether such a work would, in the meantime, prove remunerative. Frequent passing places, however, will be made at suitable intervals.

"There are no very serious difficulties to be overcome; though the cutting is no doubt a heavy one. There are few railways or road crossings of any importance, and the excavations are largely in soft material and the disposal of the excavations could be easily effected, owing to the proximity to the canal banks of waste ground.

"A ship canal of these dimensions would not only accommodate merchant vessels, but would prove of the greatest advantage to His Majesty's navy, as the largest ships of war at present in existence could pass through from the one coast to the other in about eight hours, and thus the facility for defending the coast in time of war would be very much increased.

"It is believed that the route suggested through the Forth Valley would prove the most satisfactory for a ship canal. Both approaches are in smooth water and free from every danger to navigation. The western outlet into Loch Long is exceedingly favorable, as ships of the largest class could at once proceed to sea quite free from the interruption and liability to grounding which they would meet with had the canal debouched into the River Clyde. The entrances to the Forth and Clyde are now so well marked by lighthouses and fog signals that vessels of any burden can run for these waters with the most perfect confidence.

"When considering the best route for the canal after vessels enter Loch Lomond, two other routes were feasible besides that already described:

"1. Across Lock Lomond to the opposite shore near Arden and then by a cut about 4 miles in length, partly open and partly in tunnel, to the Clyde to the northward of Ardmore Head.

"2. Along Loch Lomond to its southern end and through the vale of Leven to the Clyde at Dumbarton.

"The relative merits of the different routes from Loch Lomond to the sea, so far as distance is concerned, are as follows:

"The Arden route is therefore the shortest, but it would be the most expensive, owing to a length of tunnel of $1\frac{4}{4}$ miles, which could not be overcome by open cutting, as it passes under land about 300 feet in height. The Dumbarton route is shorter than the Tarbet route by about $7\frac{1}{2}$ miles, but as the Dumbarton route involves 5 miles additional canal, where vessels could only go about 5 miles an hour, and 6 miles of the Clyde, where the speed will probably be restricted to about 8 miles an hour, the time occupied by the journey either way will be about the same. In steaming up Loch Lomond and also down Loch Long vessels may go at full speed, and there would be no interruption from river traffic.

"The cost of the undertaking cannot be more than approximately estimated without particular investigation as to the nature of the strata in the line of the canal; but my firm estimate the cost of the Tarbet route, with their present knowledge derived from the ordnance and geological surveys, and including interest during construction, at £10,000,000 (\$48,665,000). The cost of the management and maintenance my firm estimate at £60,000 (\$291,990).

"The saving in distance that would be effected may be stated thus:

"1. From the Clyde to ports on the east coast of Scotland, northeast of England, and northwest of Europe the distance saved would be from 529 miles to

In regard to this proposed deep-water canal across Scotland, David Alan Stevenson, C.E., says:

"The east and west coasts of Scotland are now connected by two canals, namely, the Forth and Clyde, from Grangemouth, on the Forth, to Bowling, on the Clyde, and the Caledonian, constructed at national expense, between Inverness and Fort William. Both of these canals are quite inadequate to meet the present wants of shipping, as they can only accommodate vessels of a small class. The first named is mainly used by barges, while the Caledonian can only be used by vessels of about 150 feet in length, 38 feet beam, tance of 29 miles.

"Near the Loch Lomond end there are 5 miles of high ground, which, according to the geological survey, is the old red-sandstone formation. This part of the work will be open cutting. The distance from the junction of the loch and canal to Tarbet is 14 miles, and across the neck of land to Loch Long 1¾ miles. Loch Long is 15 miles in length to its junction with the Firth of Clyde. The total distance from Grangemouth to the Firth of .Clyde is 65¾ miles.

"Regarding the dimensions of the canal, it is essential to its success that it be made on a large scale and free from locks, excepting at the seaward extremities. As the level of the water of the canal will be only about 13 feet above high water, one lock will be sufficient at high water, but two may be necessary at other times of tide. It is proposed to make the canal throughout with a depth of 30 feet, with a width at the bottom the same as the Suez Canal—namely, 72 238 miles, in the majority of cases the distance being much more than halved.

"2. From the Forth to ports on the west coast of Scotland, northwest of England, Ireland, America, and the Mediterranean the distance saved would be from 487 to 141 miles; in all cases, except the American and Mediterranean route, the distance being more than halved.

"3. Type ports to the St. Lawrence River, the distance saved would be 150 miles.

"4. West of Britain and northeast of Ireland to middle western ports of the Continent, the distance saved would be from 377 to 98 miles."

The canal will affect an enormous tonnage, estimated by the sanguine engineers at 9,500,000 tons per annum. At an average rate per ship and cargo of 1s. 6d. (36 cents) per ton, this would yield a revenue of about £700,000 (\$3,406,550).—Rufus Fleming, U. S. Consul at Edinburgh.