May 13, 1899.
LABORATORY TESTS OF THE BICYCLE
There is probably not a machine in the world that works on such a small margin of safety as the bicycle. It has been estimated that the safety "factor" is only about $11 / 4$ in the lightest machines, and while this is probably too low an estimate, it is eertain that, when a powerful 200 -pound rider is climbing a steep hill, or worse, coasting down a hill whose surface is rough and lumpy, the margin of safety must be a very narrow one-narrow, that is to say, compared with that allowed in other forms of me chanical construction. Thus the bridge builder provides sufficient steel in the various members to insure that the heaviest possible loads that can come upon them wil notstrain the metal above from 20 to 30 per cent of its elastic strength and even in designing structure that are subject to quiet or static loads, the material is not strained beyond 33 per cent of its strength that is to say, the parts are made not less than three times as strong as is necessary to bear the greates stress that will be put upon them.
We cannot calculate the maximum stresses of a bicycle with the nicety with which they can be computed in engineering structures and in the heavier classes of machinery. The conditions of use and abuse are so various-the hard use of a power ful but cautious rider being les severe than the abuse of some reck less boy-that the only way in which the proper strength for wheel can be determined is by trial, and by a careful selection and tes of the materials of which it is con structed. If an engineer were told to work out the strain-sheet of a bicycle in the same way as he would that of a railway bridge, taking
the possible maximum stresses due to the work of heav. and powerful riders upon rough and hilly roads, and proportioning his parts so that the metal should not be strained above 10.000 to 15,000 pounds to the square inch, the wheel built from such a strain-sheet would be as heavy as the primitive boneshaker of thirty years ago

A comparison of the bicycle considered as a means of transportation with a railway car brings out the re markable fact that it takes forty-six times as much dead weight of material to carry a man on the railway as it does on a wheel. For a modern coach carrying sixty people will weigh about 70,000 pounds, which is at the rate of 1,167 pounds to the passenger; while a modern bicycle will weigh only 25 pounds. If we take the Pullman car as an example, the disparity is yet nore striking; for here we have only twenty-four passengers carried on a car weighing 100,000 pounds, or say 2 tons to the passenger. In this case the car weighs 167 times

## MACHINE FOR TESTING BICYCLE FRAMES.

rider alternate transverse bending stress due to the pressure on the pedals. The frame is mounted upon two blocks, one under each hub as shown, the crank-hanger being left unsupported. The stresses due to the weight of the rider are reproduced by means of a short-throw crank driven by a pulley, and a spring connecting rod, as shown, one end of which is clamped to the seat-post, while the crank engages a sliding head which is attached by a powerful spiral spring to the other end. The rapid rotation of the crank thus imparts a series of cushioned blows whose effect on the frame is similar to that due to the speed of the machine, inequalities of the road, the pneumatic tires, and that part of the rider's weight which is on the saddle. The crank-shaft stresses are applied by means of two con-

bicycle gear and its equivalents.
A safety geared to 120, in one revolution of the crank, covers the same distance as that covered by one revolution of an ordinary wheel ten
feet high, or two strides of a man thirty-five feet high.
necting rods, one on each side of the frame, which are driven by eccentrics set at $180^{\circ}$ and carried on a second pulley-driven shaft. The stroke of the eccen trics is of course very short, merely sufficient to bring a heavy bending stress alternately on each side of the crank-hanger. One machine out of every lot is placed in this instrument of torture, and if, after it has been merrily thumped and wrenched for a stated length $\bullet$ time, its tubing is true, brazing sound, and the whol frame in line, it is considered that no fair usage on the road can ever break or distort it.
One must confess that, interesting as the various departments o a bicycle factory undoubtedly are the most fascinating plant is to be found in the department of tests, for here we see the fruition of the labor of the whole establishment. That all-absorbing question as to the respective ease of running of a chain and a chainless wheel is here determined to absolute demonstra tion on an ingenious device know as a float dynamometer. The wheel is muunted at the side of a tank of water in which are two separate floating platforms. On the first platform is mounted an elec tric motor, on the other a friction brake. The motor is connected by a universal joint shaft with the axle of the bicycle, and the friction brake is similarly connected with the shaft of a pulley upon which the rear or driving wheel of the bicycle runs. It is evident that the power given out by the floating motor will be in part absorbed by the internal resistance of the bicycle, the rest being returned at the floating brake.
The tangential reaction of the motor will cause the float on which it is carried to tilt over; similarly, the pull of the brake will tilt the brake float. If, now, the tilting in each case be corrected by moving a sliding weight on a horizontal graduated arm, the readings of the two arms will show respectively the power given to the bicycle by the motor and the power returned to the brake from the bicycle. The difference will represent the loss by friction in the bicycle. If a chainiess and a chain wheel be tested in the same apparatus, their respective efficiency can be determined with the greatest accuracy.
It is found that, with the gears new and perfectly clean under light loads, there is practically no difference in efficiency between the Columbia chain and chainless wheels, but, as the load is increased, the chainless wheel begins to show a gain which grows rapidly as the load is still further augmented-a result which shows the bevel gear to be superior to the chain cear for hill climb ing, and superior to it in all cases where the chain has "stretched" or is fouled with grit or dust.

BICYCLE GEAR-WHAT IT IS AND WHAT IT DOES.
Those of our readers who were bicycle enthusiasts in the days of the old "ordinary" will remember that a wheel was designated by the diameter, in inches, of its driving wheel, which varied from 48 to 62 inches, according to the length of leg of the rider. Thus we were wont to speak of the respective merits of our 50 -inch Rudge, or 54 -inch Victor. or 60 -inch Columbia, as the case might be. The diameter of the driving wheel was the most variable ele. ment, and hence it was chosen as the designating feature.
With the introduction of the rear-driven safety it was no longer possible to was no longer possinle to
grade the wheels according to the diameter of the

