Scientific American.

ESTABLISHED 1845

MUNN & CO., - - - EDITORS AND PROPRIETORS.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY AT No. 361 BROADWAY, - - NEW YORK.

TERMS FOR THE SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN. (Established 1845.)

One copy, one year, for the U.S., Canada or Mexico..... One copy, six months, for the U.S., Canada or Mexico... \$3.00 One copy, one year, to any foreign country, postage prepaid, £9 ks. 5d. 4.00 Remit by postal or express money order, or by bank draft or check. MUNN & CO., 361 Broadway, corner Franklin Street, New York.

The Scientific American Supplement

(Established 1876)

is a distinct paper from the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN. THE SUPPLEMENT is issued weekly. Every number contains 16 octavo pages, uniforn in size with SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN. Terms of subscription for SUPPLEMENT, 500 a year, for the U.S., Canada or Mexico. \$600 a year, or £1 & Sd., to foreign countries belonging to the Postal Union. Single copies 10 cents. Sold by all newsdealers throughout the country. See prospectus, last page. **Combined Rates.**—The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN and SUPPLEMENT will be sent for one year, to one address in U.S., Canada or Mexico, on recept of seven addings. To foreign countries, eight dollars and fifty cents a year, or £1 Hs. 11d., postage prepaid.

Building Edition of Scientific American.

(Established 1885.)

(Established 1885.) THE BUILDING EDITION OF THE SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN is a large and splendidly illustrated periodical, iss ued monthly, containing floor plans and perspective views pertaining to modern architecture. Each number is illustrated with beautiful plates, showing desirable dwellings, public buildings and architectural work in great variety. To architects, builders, and all wao contemplate building this work is invaluable. Single copies 5 cents. By mail, to any part of the United States. Canada or Mexico, \$2.50 a year. To foreign countries, \$3.00 a year, or £0 28.40. Combined rate for BUILDING EDITION with SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, and SUPPLEMENT, \$9.00 a year. To foreign countries, \$6.50 a year, or £2.58.2d., postage prepaid.

Export Edition of the Scientific American (Established 1878)

(Estimated 1978) with which is incorporated "LA AMERICA CLENTIFICA E INDUSTRIAL," or Spanish edition of the SCLENTIFICA CALENTIFICA ENDUSTRIAL," on Spanish edition of the SCLENTIFIC AMERICAN, published monthly, uniform in size and typography with the SCLENTIFIC AMERICAN. Every number contains about 100 pages, profusely illustrated. It is the funest ecientific industrial export paper published. It circulates throughout Cuba, the West Indies, Mexico, Central and South America, Spain and Spanish possessions—wherever the Spanish large guaranteed circula-tion in all commercial places throughout the world. \$3.00 a year, or 40 l2s.4d., postpaid to any part of the world. MUNN & CO., Publishers, 361 Broadway, New York.

The safest way to remit is by postal order, express money order, draft or bank check. Make all remittances payable to order of MUNN Less Readers are specially requested to notify the publishers in case of any failure, delay, or irregularity in receipt of papers.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1897.

Contents.

.

(Illustrated articles are marked with an asterisk.)

Aluminum prices of	377
Aluminum, prices of Archæological news	375
Among plant the gurannest	010
Armor plant, the government	
proposed	370
Bicycle brake, the Bullard*	378
Bicycle tire pump, Simpson's*	372
Butterflies, costly.	371
Cyclists, dietary of	371
Cyclists, dietary of Diving dress, a novel*	377
Electric time switch, Williams'*	372
Electric trine switch, winnams	375
Fear, the cult of	
Flowers, artificial coloration of.	378
Gas lighting devices	372
"Gone," a stage illusion*	-379
Graphophone, a low priced*	375
Greek city unearthed, a	377
Grinding mill, double, Straub's*.	372
Inventions recently patented	38
Machinery sectionalized	379
Magic, illusions*	379
Mail tubes, pneumatic*,	369

Marble, black, artificial. 372 376 380 371 379 375 374 380 370 370 of...... Pneumatic mail tubes, New York*..... 381 York*. Science notes. Steamship "tug of war "* Storage cells, charging (??60)*... Torpedo boat destroyer Pluton, trial trp of *... Trade marks, registering.... 375 376 380 $\frac{376}{375}$

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF

Scientific American Supplement

No. 1145.

For the Week Ending December 11, 1897.

Price 10 cents. For sale by all newsdealers.

PAGE I. AUTOCARS.-The Radius of Action of Electric Motor Carriages. -An important article by HIRAM PERCY MAXIM, giving valua-18304 ble data. II. BOTANY AND HORTICULTURE. – Acanthopanax Sessilifio-18307 18305 III. CHEMISTRY.-Beeswax Assay. IV. COMMERCE.-The Commerce of the Great Lakes.-By CHARLES 18299 18309 18303 tion. VIII. FIREARMS.—Automatic Weapons.—3 illustrations. IX FUELS.—The Manufacture of Briquette Fuel.—An interesting $18306 \\ 18302$

CHANGES IN UNITED STATES PATENT LAWS.

From notices published in the foreign press concerning the changes in United States patent law that will which are not available for any other class of work, take effect on January 1, 1898, it is clear that the new and a class of labor specially skilled in the art. Under conditions created by the amended law are not fully understood abroad. Thus we have seen several statements to the effect that an application for United This would involve laying off indefinitely a trained States patent lodged after January 1, 1898, will be rejected in all cases if it is filed more than seven months after the filing of an application for a foreign patent be necessary to engage men that were ignorant of the for the same invention. This interpretation of the new law is erroneous. The actual meaning is this: If | ances. a foreign patent issues before the issue of the United States patent for the same invention, the United States patent; and as soon as a foreign patent issues, the United States Patent Office may reject an application covering the same invention if the United States application was filed more than seven months after the foreign application. It is, therefore, apparent that when the United States patent issues first, the interval between the dates of filing is of no moment whatever. Further, a rejection of the United States application under the seven months' clause of the new law can be declared only after the *issue* of a foreign patent for the same invention. Thus it will appear that even when the United States patent is applied for more than seven months after the filing of a foreign patent application relating to the same invention, a valid patent may be obtained in this country, provided the applicant succeeds in securing the issue of the United States patent before that of the foreign patent. This fact will be of particular importance in the case of inventions protected by British, German, Russian or Scandinavian the ingots are obtained by purchase in the open market. applications, since the issue of patents upon such foreign applications can be delayed for a considerable time if the inventor desires.

The new law changes the requirements for novelty in other respects also, and after January 1, 1898, an application for United States patent may be rejected, inter alia, upon reference to any foreign patent issued (to another inventor) more than two years before the filing of the United States application. Another ground of rejection is the issue of a foreign patent antedating the applicant's invention. In regard to this provision, we would observe that the date of an invention made abroad can be established only by the issue of a foreign patent, or the issue of a printed publication describing the invention, or the communication of the invention (for instance, by letter) to a person residing in the United States.

After January 1, 1898, it will often be of vital importance that an application for United States patent should be filed before the required date. Informalities in application papers are liable to cause a refusal of the Patent Office to accept the application for filing until corrected, and the delay may prove fatal.

..... THE PROPOSED GOVERNMENT ARMOR PLANT.

The agitation of the question of a government armor plant will at least serve to enlighten Congress and the country at large as to the great cost and many risks and uncertainties involved in the manufacture of armor plate. The proposal that the government should build a plant and make its own armor was the outcome of the recent attempt to reduce and put a fixed limit upon the price that should be paid to private firms. The government had been paying as high as five hundred dollars per ton for armor plate, which, in the opinion of Congress, should be obtainable for between three and four hundred dollars per ton. The attempt to secure bids for the supply of armor for the three latest battle ships at the reduced price failed to secure any satisfactory results, and a board of experts was appointed to inquire into the cost of building a government factory and determine whether it could turn out material at less cost than the price demanded by the private firms.

In considering the question of cost of armor plate. there is one fundamental fact which must be borne in mind if we are to reach a just conclusion, and this is that the cost of manufactured products, other things

our present system it is possible that Congress may fail to make any appropriation for a current year. force of men, who would soon scatter in search of other work. When a new appropriation was made it would process and train them in the use of the special appli-

Another condition that has an important bearing upon the cost of armor plate is the rapidity with which patent, to be valid, must have been applied for within new and improved methods of manufacture are being seven months after filing the application for the foreign devised. Great as was the improvement introduced by the Harvey process, its results have been equaled, if not surpassed, by new processes employed at the Krupp works in Germany and in England; and the rapid progress of the art continually calls for radical and costly changes in the plant. These changes would cost considerably less if they were gradually introduced during the continuous working of the plant than they would if they were carried out hurriedly on the eve of an expected appropriation by Congress and after the plant had lain idle for twelve or twenty-four months.

> The estimate for a government armor plant includes provision for building the necessary furnaces for a complete steel plant, for it is considered that the capacity to produce the steel ingots is important to the successful and economic administration of an armor factory. This policy is consistent with the practice of all the largest concerns in the steel industry, which consider that the best results can never be obtained when

> The tone of the report is unfavorable to the building or purchase of a government plant, and justly so. The facts as above outlined prove that the best policy under existing circumstances is to give a fair price, which will take account of the special risks involved in armor plate manufacture, and encourage private companies to continue in the business. This system has worked to good advantage in Europe, where the armor plate is manufactured almost entirely by private firms. At the same time it is evident that the real difficulty in the whole matter lies in the capricious methods adopted by Congress in the matter of naval appropriations. This could be removed by laying down a plan of naval construction which should extend over a lengthy period, in which a stated appropriation should be asked for each year, the number, style and design of the ships being determined by the requirements and naval developments of each current year. Such a fixed policy in the matter of appropriations would have an excellent effect in any case. If the government wished to build its own armor plant, it could do so with the expectation of running it on an economic basis, gathering within it a corps of skilled experts and workmen, and modifying the plant from time to time to meet the developments of the art. If, on the other hand, the armor were made by private firms, its price would unquestionably be favorably affected by the steady employment which the new policy would guarantee

SOME CURIOUS OLD PATENTS.

In our German contemporary Glaser's Annalen some interesting particulars are given as to early British patents. It will be seen that the idea, at least, of some of our modern inventions was anticipated by these curious old patents. We give below some interesting examples :

The first patent specification, accompanied by drawings, is that belonging to the British patent No. 169, of 1673, which describes a machine for grinding seeds and extracting oil; also a machine for cleaning and dredging rivers, harbors, etc. The second patent, with drawings, is the British patent No. 186, of 1675, relating to a mining pump.

Thomas Master, a Pennsylvania planter, secured a British patent, No. 401, of 1715, for a process for treating corn. This patent is remarkable in that it states

paper giving the process of manufacture in France	18297
from a River to the Height of the Half Diameter of a Large Wheel.—A curious device for raising water, from an old print.—	
	18299
AI. MARINE ENGINEERING.—Electric Towage on Canals.—o inus- trations	18303
Steam Yacht for the English Ambassador at Constantinople2	
illustrations. XII. MECHANICAL ENGINEERING A Comparison in the	18295
Methods of Working Brass and Aluminum. Large Hydraulic Riveter for Locomotive Boilers.—1 illustra-	18298
Large Hydraulic Riveter for Locomotive Boilers1 illustra-	18298
XIII. METEOROLOGY International Meteorological Conferences.	10430
-By ROBERT H. SCOTT, M.A., F.R.S., Secretary to the Inter-	1020:
national Meteorological Committee XIV. MISCELLANEOUSSignora Zefthe Ahaira1 illustration	18306
A Gallant Regiment.—1 illustration	18300
Engineering Notes Electrical Notes.	18301
Miscellaneous Notes	18301
Selected Formulæ. XV. NAVAL ENGINEERING.—American Naval Engineers.—An	18300
English review of an article which appeared in an American	
magazine in which American naval engineers have found an able	
spokesman	1829
tive.—By CHARLES, L. WOODSIDE, Boston, Mass	1830
tiveBy CHARLES. I. WOODSIDE, Boston, Mass	
Tone Process in the Year 1896.—By COUNT VITTORIO TURATI, Mi- lan.—This article treats of screenwork, process plate, retouching.	
mounting and finishing of half tones	16297
XVIIITECHNOLOGYA Profitable SpecialtyThe manufacture and sale of furniture polishAn interesting article giving thor-	
oughly practical directions for making a staple and quick selling	
article	1830
Liquid for Gold Paint. XIXTRAVEL AND EXPLORATIONA Naturalist's Sojourn in	10300
the Crater of Mauna Loa	1830/

being equal, will depend upon the regularity of the that the invention was made by Mrs. Sibylla Masters. demand. The factory that keeps its fires going and its This is, perhaps, the first case of a patent granted for an invention made by a woman. hands employed from January to December will turn

An English patent (making steel, etc.), granted May out cheaper work than one that works intermittently. as orders may chance to come in. This is true of the 6, 1671, to Prince Rupert, Duke of Cumberland, wasassigned to King Charles II. simplest manufactures, and the cost of interrupted

and intermittent work will increase rapidly in plants A patent granted to Prince Rupert, Duke of Cumberwhich are expensive to build and employ difficult and land, gave him the right to take oath from his workcostly processes. Now it is safe to say that there is no ingmen that they would keep the invention secret. branch of the iron and steel industry in which the The Marquis of Worcester, on November 15, 1661, secured a British patent, No. 131, covering the following guarantee of steady employment is so necessary for inventions : A self-winding clock, rapid-firing guns and economic results as in the manufacture of armor plate, and this fact is clearly set forth in the report of pistols, a device for detaching runaway horses, and, lastly, a ship constructed to sail against the wind the armor plate board, which has just been made and capable, when anchored, of use as a water motor public.

It is estimated that a plant capable of making 5,000 or windmill.

The patent 183, of October 25, 1675, grants a London tons of armor a year, this being the capacity of the merchant, Justinian Angell, the right to erect two existing private plants, could be built for \$3,750,000; but lighthouses at the mouth of the Humber, and to colthe board considers that it would be inexpedient to lect a duty from the skippers. erect such a plant unless Congress is prepared to pro-

vide enough ships each year to keep the plant in con- By letters patent No. 255, of August 23, 1687, the