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## the crisis.

The Scientific American occupies a unique position in the press of the United States. It is devoted to what may ili the best sense be termed the arts of practical presersent to its readers the cieative side of mankind having it as an exponent. In political economy ssound doctrine regards destruction of life and of property as a world's loss, notas the loss only of the person or persons directly affected. The war between the States, now that thirty years have elapsed since its conclusion, still plays its baleful part in iupoverishing the nation. During a part of its continuance its expense was put at one million of dollars per dien. Now it costs nearly one half of that in one single item of revenue expenditure.
The blue and the gray are again united; the evil passions a wakened by war have sunk to rest ; but the financial effects are still felt and will be felt for years to come uniess they are overwhelmed by the weight of new misfortunes which may be brought upon us by another war. For, like a lightning stroke out of a clear sky, an issue is suddenly created betweeu the United States and England, which, incredible as it would have seemed a week ago, may lead to war. I
it does, the conflicts of past generations will sink into insignificance compared with the new one, and every quarter of the globe will be involved in a struggle which will put back the cause of civilization and of independent government to an extent which can be measurable only hy centuries.
Out of the overgoverued nations have emerged two powers which represent the greatest freedom of gov ernment. These two nations are objects of jealousy and dislike to the rulers of almost despotic type which are over the older countries. In England. as in the United States, there is true representative government The maintenance or the royal family is merely the figurehead of a monarchy and need rank as little wore than as a hariuless extravagance. The real government is as free and as representative as ours. It the two great powers which are representative of the highest degree of freedom in governmental affairs undertake an internecine war, it means the relegation of mankind to a still firmer grasp of despotic or imperial rule.
England in the past has been very aggressive. She has acquired great colonies by methods which her own uistorians and moralists condemn. Recently she seem to feel that she has enough, aud her methods have changed, for the England of to-day is far different from the England of fifty years ago. Any accessions of ter ritory she may contend for are sought by far more moderate methods than of old.
Some seventy years ago the Monroe doctrine was enunciated by the United States. This doctrine, op posing the increase of the territory of any Eurcpean government on the western hemisphere, seems to have been justified at the time by the events in Europe. To-day, pushed to its utmost development, it would make us the guardian of almost all the western at beck and call of every neighboring South American republic to fight its battles against European powers. This is a pretty serious burden. It may lead to congratulatory messages from the countries whose cause we espouse, but it will act as a constant menace to our peace.
But the Monroe doctrine never will or can lead us into a nore fatal consequence than a war with England. Our every interest is so tied up with her that whatever our animus may be, the contest would have the aspect of a civil war. The similarity of natures, the identity of language, the ties of blood relationship between the two countries, the friendship en gendered by the great amount of intercourse which has of late years obtained between the two lands, are elements which would give to any contest the nature of fraternal strife. The business aspects of the case are no less serious. Our vast exports are sold to Eng land and are carried in English ships. She is our great customer for cereals and cotton and other product in which we act as almost the world's purveyor. If a war occurs between us and our best customer, every blow we strike at her prosperity is a blow at our own lions of damage; the succeeding weeks would see re publican and representative government made contemptible in the eyes of the world, while lives and property would be annihilated in battles of unimagined destructiveness.
The simple message of the President, which message consequences. The fall in prices of securities and in produce represents an enormous aggregate. This would tend to hring people to their senses, unless by the perversity of human nature the misfortune be seized upon as an excuse or a reason for incurring others-a species of desperation which may find a pre cedent easily enough in the workings of human nature. The finances of the United States, under what seemed to be conservative treatment, were progressing sat by
the issue of bonds, and new issues were contemplated. All this went on smoothly because of the high credit of the country. Now, a week has changed it all. The further issue of bonds, in proportion as it becomes more difficult, appears more necessary: The very hopes of the Administration are defeated by its own act. The Christmas season of 1895 will be long remembered by those ruined in the erisis brought about by needless precipitancy. Already in the impairment of the value of securities and in the injury to the country's credit our standing, in a possible war, has been impaired.

## THE NAVAL RESOURCES OF THE UNITED STATES

AND THE BRITISH EMPIRE-A COMPARISON.
Captain A. Mahan, of the United States navy, has pointed out in his celebrated work on the influence of sea power in history, that a preponderance of naval power has been the controlling element which has ultimately brought victory to the nation that possessed it. His conclusions are largely based upon the European wars of the time of Nelson, and the late civil war in America.
In view of recent startling and ominous developments in the diplomatic relations of this country and Great Britain, it will be, we think, timely and interesting to inquire into the present status of the navies of the two countries, and also to inquire as to what are the battleship-building resources possessed by each. It should be noted that in the subjoined tables no account is taken of ships that possess a speed of less than $71 / 2$ knots per hour. or that are armed with obsolete smooth bore guns. Ships that are building, but within measurable distance of completion-such, for instance. as the Io wa-are included in the follow ing tabulation:
first-clase battlessitps of tiel line.

| number. | Average displacem nt. | Average | Belt | Total displacement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States 4 ships. | 10,563 tons. | 16.42 kno | 18 ju. | 42, |
| reat Britain. 29 | 3,000 | 17.47 |  | 376,900 |
| arcond-clase battleseips. |  |  |  |  |
| United States 3 ships. | 5,703 tons. | 16.7 | $12 \mathrm{in}$. | .17,11 |
| Great Britain. 12 | 9,502 ' | 13.63 | 24 " | 114, |
| third-clase battleships. |  |  |  |  |
| United States 5 ships. | 4,40! tons. | 11.9 knot | to 12 in . |  |
| Great Britain. 11 | 7,075 " | 13.43 | 12 | 77,820 |
| coast defenge battleships. |  |  |  |  |
| United Statee-The 6 knot boats ar med with smooth hore guns are reckoned as obsolete. |  |  |  |  |
| Great Britain. 13 ships. 4.040 tons. 11 knots. 8 to 12 in. 52,530 tons. total battleseips of all clasees. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | " 621,280

In estimating the relative strength of the two navies from the above table. it nust be borne in mind that the basis for comparison should be the total displace ment, rather than the total number of ships. Displacement is the capital which the naval designer has to go upon; and if he make a judicious distribution of weights, he will always produce the more effective weights, he will always produce the more effective
fighting inachine out of the bigger ship. If a 10,000 ton and a 15,000 ton ship carry the same armament the larger vessel will carry that armament mor steadily, more speedily, with greater command, and owing to the wider separation of the individual gun stations, with less exposure to disablement of guns and crew. Estimated on this basis, Great Britain possesse a superiority of fighting power in first-class ships-of the line of 9 to 1 . In battleships of all classes the superiority is $71 / 2$ to 1 .


Estimated, as before, on the basis of displace ment, this table shows a preponderance for Great Britain in cruisers of $5 \frac{1}{2}$ to 1 .
Of merchant steamers which are built to meet the
 United States have 4 and Great Britain 26.

|  | Number. | Displacement. | Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great Britain..... | 62 | 259 tons. |  |
|  | torprdo boats. |  |  |

By displacement, the preponderance in torpedo By displace

Summing up the totals for battleships and cruisers combined, we get :

## 

Which shows Great Britain to possess a superiority in fighting ships of all descriptions of $6_{10}^{4}$ to 1 .
In the event of a war with that country, these are the odds against which we should have to contend at the outset.
As against this unpromising opening it will be urged that we are a resourceful and energetic people, and that we should quickly create a navy. To this it must be answered that modern navies are of slow growththey are not created. The modern battleship, costly and intricate, puts a heavy discount upon mere resourcefulness and energy, of which we have abundance, and a heary premium upon gun, ship, and armor building plant, of which, for the magnitude of the task in hand, we should find that we possessed an altogether inadequate supply. With every factory, mill and shipyard working at full blast, it would take from seven to ten years to cancel that preponderance of ${ }_{6}{ }^{4} 40$ to 1.

There is no sentiment in statistics.
It is certain, moreover, that Great Britain would steadily add to her fleets as the war progressed; and with her great shipbuilding facilities she could float six ships to our one, as the following facts will show : In reply to inquiries instituted by the British Admiralty last year to ascertain the extreme warship building capacity of the private yards, it was found that, if these firms were given a free hand as to the details of the designs, they could build another navy, equal in fighting strength to the whole existing British navy, in from two to three years! To this must be added the building capacity of the government dockyards and shops. The astounding resources revealed by this investigation call for no elaboration on our part to show that Great Britain could rapidly increase her prepon
do so.
The fact that European diplomats seem disposed to take the British view of the question at issue make it highly probable that, in the event of hostilities, we should have to engage this colossal navy, with the
Incidentally, in closing, we would remark that the ink is scarce dry upon the paper in which our genera in chief, Neison Miles, has just told us that the very opening of hostilities with a great naval power would see every sea-coast city, on the Atlantic and Pacific, subject either to the humiliation of an indemnity or to the horrors of bombardment.
In making the foregoing comparison it is assumed that the United States would not submit to a conflict merely defensive-that her enterprise would soon cause the field of naval operation to become conterminous with the shore lines of both hemispheres. The estimate consequently assumes that the total force of both flets would be available.

## THE UNITED STATES BUREAU OF STEAM

Engineer-in-chief Geo. W. Melville, in hisannual re port for 1895 , recoumends that the sum of $\$ 300,000$ be spent in providing the cruiser Atlanta with new machinery and altering her from a single to a twin-screw ship.
According to Brassey's Naval Annual, the Atlanta is a steel cruiser of 3,189 tons displacement and 16.33 knots speed. She carries two 8 inch guns, six 6 inch, two 6 pounder quick-fire guns, two 3 pounder quick fire guns, and eight smaller quick-fire guns.

It seems that, though her present engines are of an obsolete type, the hull is "an excellent one, and well worth new machinery." With machinery of 5,400 horse power (her present horse power is 3,511 ), of the same type as that in the newly constructed Marblehead, the report states that we should " then possess a crniser equal to any of her class afloat." The new
machinery would weigh 142 tons less than the old; it would enable the ship to carry more coal; and it would give her 2 knots higher speed, equivalent to between 18 and 19 knots an hour.

The same changes are recommended for the Boston, The same changes are recommended for the Boston,
a sister ship. The Chicago is at present being reengined.

In these days of high speed cruisers, the above addition of 2 knots to the speed of these boats will prac tically add two new ships to our navy.
The value of liquid fuel for marine purposes is being determined by a series of tests on one of the torpedo boats of the Maine. It is recommended that one of the gunboats building at Newport News be made use of to carry out these experiments on a larger scale. Naval. designers the world over have for some time past recognized the fact that if the use of liquid fuel can be rendered practicable in the navy, it will largely
increase the radius of action of seagoing ships. To the United States the question of the use of petroleum fuel is of double importance, both on account of the abundance of our supply of this combustible and even

The range of action of the modern warship is limited
by her coal capacity and the distance of her field of by her coal capacity and the distance of her field of operations from the nearest coaling station.
A nation which possesses few of these
A nation which possesses few of these must provide its ships with specially large bunker space, as in the case of the cruiser Columbia. Any device which will enlarge the fuel endurance of warships will bespecially valuable to the United States; and there is nothing in sight to-day which would so effectually do this as the substitution of oil for coal in marine boilers.
Speaking of the use of water tube boilers in the navy, Mr . Melville recognizes the necessity for a boiler lighter than the well known Scotch boiler; and while admit ting that many types of the water tube system have proved successful on shore, he is of the opinion that "no single type has yet made its appearance which can be regarded as an altogether satisfactory substitute for the Scotch pattern."

In view of the fact that the two cruisers Powerful and Terrible, of 14,000 tons displacement, now building for the English navy, are to be furnished with boilers of this type, the above statement by so distinguished an authority issignificant. Mr. Melville evidently considers that for use in large ships the water tube boiler is yet in the experimental stage; and his opinion is shared by many naval experts on the other side, who strenuously opposed their adoption in these two costly ships.

## THE JANDARY SKY.

Jupiter is still the only planet conveniently situated for observation. He rises now about 7 o'clock in the evening, so that by 10 o'clock he is well above the roofs and trees. The position of this planet among the stars is very interesting just now. On the first of January he is quite close to the fourth magnitude star $\delta$ Cancri, and a little south of the Beehive cluster in Cancer. Not only is a means thus offered by which those unacquainted with the stars may, with certainty, recognize this curious stellar region, but the picturesqueness of the view is increased, and a more striking idea of the profundity of space may be form ed when one sees the united light of hundreds of dis tant suns outshone by the reflected rays from a com paratively nearby and insignificant planet.
Yet, although Jupiter may be called insignificant when compared. with a sun, he is anything but insig nificant when studied in his own character of a giant planet. It is an impressive thing. to any thoughtful person, to look upon a globe 1,300 times as large as the earth, and contemplate the bare possibility of its being inhabited, either now or at some future time. If I were asked, "What is the most instructive sight that the telescope reveals in the heavens?" I should be strongly tempted to reply, "Tie planet Jupiter, with
his clrcling moons." There-and it is a spectacle not his clrcling moons." There-and it is a spectacle not
reserved for the possessors of the largest telescopesone perceives the law of gravitation operating visibly on an enormous scale; one sees globes larger than the moon tracing out elliptical orbits so swiftly that a single evening's observation plainly reveals their change of place; one beholds eelipses with their mechanism displayed as the finest model could not do it; and the play of shadows on the face of another planet; and the movement of clouds; and the alignment of zones, shading off from a brilliant equator to dusky poles; and the rapid turning of a vast world upon its axis of rotation.
In reference to this rotation, I may remark that now, when the planet is visible the entire night, an excel lent opportunity is presented to see one complete turn of Jupiter on his axis. Let the observation begin at 8 P. M. and end at 6 A. M. Between those hours the observer will have seen all sides of the giant planet in succession, and when he leaves the telescope the face of Jupiter will have resumed the appearance it had at the time his eye was first applied to the tube. And in the meantime he will have beheld many a scene that has puzzled the astronomers, for the surface of Jupiter is strangely and wonderfully variegated.

Venus is in Libra near Scorpio, and rises on the 1st of the month about 4 o'clock in the morning. At the end of January she will be in Sagittarius, rising about 5:30 A. M. Her reign is passing and will not be reumed until she reappears in the sunset next autumn
Mercury is in Sagittarius at the opening of the month, too close to the sun to be observed, but about the 23d, when he is in the eastern part of Capricorn, he will be visible in the evening, more tban 18 degrees east of the sun.
Mars is in Ophiuchus, moving toward Sagittarius nd on the 1st rises about $6 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$.
Saturn remains a few degrees east of $\alpha$ Libræ, rising on the 1st about $3 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{M}$. and on the 31st about 1 A . M But there are few who will care to break their rest ven for the sake of beholding that most singular of elestial objects, a planet with rings, especially since in the spring, Saturn will rise early in the evening.
Uranus is in Libra, not very far east of Saturn, and Neptune is in Taurus, well situated, but too faint for satisfactory observation, even with a telescope of coniderable power.
The moon is wauing when Janurry opens, although
but just past the full by a few hours. New moon occurs late in the afternoon of the 14th; first quarter on the evening of the 22d in Aries; full on the morning of the 30 th in Cancer.
Perigee occurs an hour before midnight on the 3d, and apogee about the same hour of the night on the 19th. The moon is in perigee for a second time this month on the evening of the 31st.
The lunar conjunctions witb the planets occur as follows: Jupiter on the $2 d$ justbefore midnight (the planet will be less than $2^{\circ}$ south of the moon, a pretty sight); Saturn on the evening of the 9th, invisible; Uranus on the worning of the 10th; Venus on the morning of the 11th; Mars on the morning of the 12th; Mercury on the morning of the 16th, invisible; Neptune on the morning of the 26 th , invisible ; Jupiter (second time), before sunset on the 29th
The wonderful variable star Algol, in Caput Medusæ, is now well situated for observation. It will be at a minimum on the 9 th, half an hour after midnight. The observer should begin to watch it, using either the naked eye or an opera glass, early in the evening, noting the gradual diminution of its light as compared with the small stars near it. It remains at minimum but a few minutes, although teree or four bours are required for it to regain its full brilliance. Another minimum occurs on the 11th, at 9:23 P. M.
The star Myra, in Cetus, which is as remarkable among long-period variables as Algol is among short period ones, is now brightening. It began to be visible with a field glass about the middle of December, and it will probably increase in brilliance for about two months. When brightest, it is sometimes of the third magnitude
An occultation of the first magnitude star Regulus, or $\alpha$ Leonis, by the moon, will occur about ten minates before 11 o'clock $P$. M. on the 3 A .
The earth arrives at that point in its orbit which is earest the sun at 1 o'clock on the afternoon of the 1 st Garrett P. SErviss.

## TO READERS AND SUBSCRIBERS.

The present number of the Scientific American brings to a close the labors of the year, and the next issue opens a new volume, a fresh page in the bistory of our work. To our many readers and friends, in all parts of the world, we offer hearty thanks for their generous support in the past, and we hope to nerit the continuance thereof by faithful endeavors in the future.
The commencement of the year is the time when nearly all subscriptions fall due, and we trust our sub cribers will be prompt in forwarding their remit ances, thus avoiding the loss of numbers by the cross ing off of their names. We earnestly hope they will send us, along with their own dues, the additional subscription of some friend or neighbor.
For this festive season it would be difficult to select more desirable or appropriate gift than a year's sub sciption to the Scientific American publications. In the office, the shop, the library, the household, the Scientific American is always a welcome visitor, at ractive, instructive and useful for every one.
The terms for the Scientific American remain as heretofore- $\$ 3$ a year, postage paid by us. Scientific american Supplement $\$ 5$ a year. Both papers combined, $\$ 7$ a year. Building Edition, $\$ 2.50$ a year. All three publications $\$ 9$ a year. Address Munn \& Com pany, publishers, 361 Broadway, New York.

## Cycle Notes

Two bicyclists, Theodore and Eddie Kraguess, ar rived in San Francisco a week ago, having ridden on their machines all the way from Minneapolis. The route they traveled was 2,856 miles long, and they rode it in thirty-eight days, an average rate of eventy-five miles a day. Some days they rode more and some less, and occasionally they rode until nearly midnight in order to keep up the average. They did not make the trip for mones or glory, but for pleasure. They had very trying times on the windy prairies, the sandy deserts, and the snow-covered mountains, and will not try to ride back again. They carried a ent, blankets, cooking utensils, and also food on the ong desert stretches, although in the main they relied for shelter and food on the farmers.
It is said the Bavarian Minister of War has author ized the purchase of 9,000 cycles which are to be used for the infantry and sharpshooters.
A proposition has been made recently by bicycle iders to several agents and manufacturers of bicycles that the manufacturers get together in a convention and agree to reduce numerous parts of their different machines to standard proportions.
In some respects the makers have been obliged already to agree upon standard sizes or parts. such as ims and tires. There is no reason why a similar agreement should not be reached regarding the fittings of almost every part, so that any repair shop, supplied with a reasonable quantity of standard repair parts, should be able to put any make of machine in order at short notice.-N. Y. Sun.

