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THE GOVERNLEENT SUIT FOR THE CANCELLATION OF THE BELL TELEPHONE PATENTS,

A suit for the cancellation of the Bell telephone patents was brought by the United States before an Ohio circuit court, in the city of Columbus. Much was hoped for from this action, but it has received one defeat already. The question of jurisdiction by a circuit court over a company not in its district was raised. It was decided that the Bell Company, being a Massachusetts corporation, was outside of the jurisdiction of an Olio court. Although a stockholder in the Ohio local companies, this was held not to be sufficient connection to establish a partnership. The opinion was long one, co vering 52 pages of type writing. The decision was given without prejudice, leaving it open for the plaintiffs to bring suit else where. The government is just where it started from, with one exception. It can bring a motion in the Supreme Court for a man damus compeling the hearing of the case by the Ohio tribunal.
The objections to bringing the case in Massachusetts were that, it being the home of the company, a bias favorable to its interests was to be feared. This decision, unless overruled by the higher court, virtually restricts the place of proceeding to the Massachusett circuits. It is in another sense an unfortunate decision It virtually tends to establish the fact that a monopoly asstoekholder, can perpetrate injustice in outside States as a stockholder in local companies, while it is protect ed in it
On January 24 the united telephone suits come before the U. S. Supreme Court on appeal. Burdened by concessions and incomplete as records or the fill facts, a great deal is not to be hoped for from these cases. If, as the final outcome, the patent can only have its claims restricted to conform in some sense to the specification, some good will then have been done. At present the patent is held to cover all and ever mode of transmitting speech electrically.

## THE STATUE OF LIBERTY, NEW YORK

Now that Liberty has been dedicated to her task of enlightening the world, there are some timorous minds who fear that something will happen to overthrow her Where such a mass towers aloft in an exposed posi tion, there are, of course, dangers; but investigation shows that all that human forethought could do pro bably was done to insure her safety and permanency.
There are five dangers to be feared, namely, earth quake, wind, lightning, galvanic action, and man. If we down the goddess, the ruin of New York would be so universal and complete that little thought would be wasted on her disappearance from her pedestal.
Man may some day pull down the statue, just as he has built it up-as the Communists overthrew the Colonne Vendome in Paris. Or a hostile fleet may take it for a target-as the Turks bombarded the Acropolis, in sheer wantonness. But such a fate could not be averted by any mechanical devicè in connection with the statue's "setting up." It would hardly be practicable, desirable, or artistic to inclose Liberty in shell-proof armor.
'The statue's power to withstand the shocks of the wind may be considered as satisfactorily provided for There are four upright iron posts, which support the whole framework inside. According to the plan de signed by Mr. C. C. Schneider, civil engineer, these posts are bolted to six steel girders set upon the ped estal, having four similar girders let into the masonry at right angles to and below them.

Mr. Schneider found the greatest amount of surface exposed to the wind to be $3,475 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$., and the centra point of the wind's pressure to be 62 ft . above the base. Then, estimating the greatest wind pressure to be looked for in this latitude at 100 pounds to the square foot-a pressure that would be reached only when the wind was blowing at the rate of 140 miles an hour-the pressure of the wind at the foot would be $3,475 \times 100 \times 62=21,545,000$ foot pounds. The centers of the main posts rest upon the girders at a distance or one side, of 17.38 ft . from the centers of the bearing of the girders in the masonry, and, on the other side at a distance of 13.78 ft .; consequently, the utmost pos sible wind strain on the points of support of the statue would be $\frac{21,545,000}{1738}=1,239,600$ on one side, and $21,545,000$
$\frac{13 \cdot 78}{}-=1 ; 568,100$ on the other side.
The weight of the statue was given to Mr. Schneider as 160,000 pounds by one authority, and as 400,000 pounds by another. The greater weight was taken in calculating the bending moment on the girders, while the liphter weight was used in calculating the wind strains, thus producing in each case the greatest straiu to be provided against. It was then found that the greatest moment of resistance required in the supports
Was 3;083, or for each upper girder $\frac{2083}{3}$
ing an outside resistance of 20,060 pounds to the square nch for the girders, which were 1 lade of $5 / 8 \mathrm{in}$. steel, the moment of resistance of each one was found to be 337, or 43 more than required. The steel, n oreover, was tested for a breaking pressure of 80,000 pounds o the square inch instead of the 20,000 allowed in the calculation, so that the safety of the girders may be regarded as assured. But there are also backing plates and rods attached to the main posts and girders, great,$y$ increasing the strength, and there is little doubt that before the statue could be overturned by the wind the pressure on its plates would be sufficient to dear hem apart.
Against lightning, provision has been made by soldering four $5 / 8 \mathrm{in}$. copper rods to the inside of the statue gainst the external copper plates to a height of 15 ft . bove the pedestal. These rods pass through the pedstal, each inside of a 4 in . iron pipe tamped with coke dust, extending 5 ft . below low tide level in the earth. In the lower four feet of these pipes the copper rods are closely coiled like springs, thus giving a greater mass of metal in the wet ground connection. While therc are some who insist that the wass of metal in the ground should equal the amount exposed to the lightning, it is probable that in this case the protection is ufficient. The statue itself is the best kind of a conductor as far as her pedestal ; hence no outside rods are needed, and the copper rods extending into the ground will doubtless carry off any frashes that Liberty may attract. In auy event, no damage would be done to the statue by a stroke of lightning, but only to the pedestal to the exient that the rods were unable to carry off the current.
Acrainst galvanic action, an ingenious insulation of the copper from the iron framework has been employed, the insulating material used being asbestos cloth oaked in shellac; and the device has been managed so cunningly that in no place do the two metals come in contact with each other. It was at first feared that the durability of the statue would be threatened by the great expansion and contraction it would be ubjected to under different temperatures, thereby wearing out the copper rivets; or even straining the frame. Experience so far has shown that the mottled or corrugated surface, due to the hammering the copper had received, has prevented much of the expansion that the direct rays of the sun would otherwise have caused. No two contiguous parts received the same mount of heat, and the expansion in midsummer was ound to be much less than had been feared. Whether expansion and contraction will eventually produce a erious injury of any kind cannot now be decided ; but the indications are not at all alarming.

## FFFET OF THE USE OF WHITE PAPER UPON THE EYES.

Many believe the eyesight is impaired by the use of white instead of colored, or at least tinted, paper, and at times the subject comes up for discussion. So far as we have seen, no positive evidence has yet been secured to prove the injurious effect of white paper on the eyes, and some recent inquiries lead us to doubt if such evidence is to be had.
A company engaged in the sale of tinted paper recently urged us to say a word against the use of white paper for " billing, letterheads, records," etc., for that t does more to keep the oculist and optician busy than any other cause. Further along they say: "There is no doubt that, in a few years, tinted paper will be used for purpose above named [billing, letterheads, records, etc.], and the white paper now used will be an exception to the rule. In the interests of the clerks and bookkeepers, we appeal ţo you," etc.
Dr. St. John Roosa, one of the best authorities on the eye hereabout, said, when his attention was called to this: "I have never yet noticed any special' ill ffects upon the eye from the use of white paper. I have treated many bookkeepers and others who work with the pen, and do not remember to have heard any complaints against white paper, nor any commendations for tinted paper. My investigations show me that a principal injury to the eyecomes from improper arrangement of the light when writing."
He says he does not believe that people who use tinted paper for writing are freer from eye troubles than those who use white. As for himself, he has used both, first one and then the other, hoping to be able to note the different effects upon the eyes. None, how ever, were observed. Dr. Roosa might have gone a step farther, and said that instances could be cited where those accustomed to white paper having sud denly changed, and adopted that with a decided tint found a inal-influence exerted on the eye, and were compelled to go back to white paper.
It is well known that using the eyes too much or in bad lights will serve to hasten the development of my opia, presbyopia,' strabismus, and dantonism where there is hereditary inclination; but there is no recprd of a case, so say the authorities, where the use of white paper hastened or the use of tinted paper retarded such development. It is not likely, therefore, that tinted paper will replace white in the business trand actions of the future.

