

MUNN & CO., Editors and Proprietors. PUBLISHED WEEKLY AT

No. 361 BROADWAY, NEW YORK.

A. E. BEACH.

O. D. MUNN.

TERMS FOR THE SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN. copy, six months, postage included..... 1 60

Clubs.-One extra copy of THE SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN will be supplied gratis for every club of five subscribers at \$3.20 each; additional copies at

ame proportionate rate. Postage prepaid. Remit by postal or express money order. Address MUNN & CO., 361 Broadway, corner of Franklin Street, New York.

The Scientific American Supplement

is a distinct paper from the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN. THE SUPPLEMENT is issued weekly. Every number contains 16 octavo pages, uniform in size with SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN. Terms of subscription for SUPPLEMENT, \$5.00 a year, postage paid, to subscribers. Single copies, 10 cents. Sold by all newsdealers throughout the country.

Combined Rates.-The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN and SUPPLEMENT will be sent for one year, postage free, on receipt of seven dollars. Both papers to one address or different addresses as desired.

The safest way to remit is by draft, postal order, express money order, or registered letter

Address MUNN & CO., 361 Broadway, corner of Franklin Street, New York

Scientific American Export Edition.

The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN Export Edition is a large and splendid periodical, issued once a month. Each number contains about one hundred large quarto pages, profusely illustrated, embracing: (1.) Most of the plates and pages of the four preceding weekly issues of the SCIENTIFIC AMERI-CAN, with its splendid engravings and valuable information; (2.) Commercial, trade, and manufacturing announcements of leading houses. Terms for Export Edition, \$5.00 a year, sent prepaid to any part of the world. Single copies, 50 cents. [37] Manufacturers and others who desire to secure foreign trade may have large and handsomely displayed an nouncements published in this edition at a very moderate cost.

The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN Export Edition has a large guaranteed cir-culation in all commercial places throughout the world. Address MUNN & CO., 361 Broadway, corner of Franklin Street, New York.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1886.

Contents. (Illustrated articles are marked with an asterisk.)

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN SUPPLEMENT No. 580

For the Week Ending February 27, 1886.

Price 10 cents. For sale by all newsdealers.

PAGE J. CHEMISTRY, ETC.-Decomposition and Fermentation of Milk 8461 II. ENGINEERING AND MECHANICS.-The Ethics of Engineering

	PracticeAn address by Mr. JAS. C. BAYLES, before the Ameri-	0
	can Institute of Mining Engineers	456
	Lifting a 40-inch Water MainWith engraving 84	457
	The Inter-oceanic Canal Question	457 18
	The Mersey Tunnel 84	457 ir
	Improved RevolverWith 4 figures	⁴⁵⁸ is
	Motors for Street RailwaysResults of experiments on mechani-	
	cal motors for tramways made by the jury on railway appliances	st
	at the Antwerp ExhibitionBy Capt. DOUGLAS GALTON 84	¹⁵⁸ t]
Ш	I. TECHNOLOGYAlizarine DyesProcess of dyeingRecipes for	

various colors..... 8463 Cement Paving.-Composition made by the Wilkes' Metallic A New Bleaching Process.—The "Mather-Thompson" system.... . 8464 ' system.... 8464 Instruments for Drawing Curves.-By Prof. C. W. MACCORD.-1. The Hyperbola.—2 figures. Experiments with Fibers.—By Dr. THOS. TAYLOR.—Detection of 8465

Fraud.—Method employed.—Cotton mixed with linen.—Experi-ments with flax.—Wool tested with acid.—Tests of dyed black silk.. 8465 matic Plates -By CH SCOLIE Orthochr

THE LA GUAYRA AND CARACAS BAILWAY,-A RECLAMA-TION.

In our SUPPLEMENT of Nov. 21, 1885, we gave illustrations of some of the remarkable engineering works pertaining to the above railway, together with a few historical particulars of the origin and progress of the enterprise, which were derived, as there stated, from an English contemporary, Engineering. Probably by some inadvertence, the writer omitted to state that the originator and planner of the great work was an American citizen, Mr. John Houston, C.E., well known in engineering circles here, and specially distinguished for his success in mastering difficult situations. We give in another column a letter from Mr. Houston, in which he modestly rebukes the injustice done him by the omission of his name as the real author of the surveys, maps, and plans of the work; and we take pleasure in now rendering to him honor to whom honor is due. Mr. Houston is entitled to the highest credit for his services in laying the foundations for this extraordinary example of engineering.

THE TELEPHONE CONTROVERSY.

Among the most recent and ablest contributions to the literature of the telephone is an exhaustive article by Prof. Edwin J. Houston, of the Central High as early as 1861. School, Philadelphia, contributed to the pages of the Franklin Journal. He presents with great clearness the invention of Reis, and in his favor as the prior inventor brings an overwhelming array of evidence.

A considerable number of illustrations are given, with descriptions fully explaining the nature of Reis' inventions and those of Bell, Blake, Berliner, and others. Many valuable particulars of the history, experiments with, and practical uses of Reis' telephones are given. The article closes with a series of letters from a number of scientific persons, residing in different parts of the country, in reply to a letter from Prof. Houston, asking them to say whether, in their opinion, Reis was the inventor of a practically operating speaking telephone, and if so, whether or not it worked substantially in the same manner as the Bell quality. telephone. Some twenty responses are given, and the writers, without exception, testify in favor of Reis, and adverse to the pretensions of Bell. Among these witnesses are: Prof. C. A. Young, of Princeton College; Prof. Wm. A. Anthony, of Cornell University; Prof. Francis E. Nipher, of Washington University, St. Louis; Prof. A. E. Dolbear, of Tuft's College; Prof. Ogden N. Rood, of Columbia College, New York; Prof. C. F. Brackett, of Princeton; Prof. Charles F. Himes, of Dickinson College, Carlisle, Pa.; Charles M. Cresson, M.D., of Philadelphia; Prof. C. F. Van Dyck, New Brunswick, N. J.; Prof. C. Seiler, Philadelphia; Prof. W. L. Hooper, College Hill, Mass.; Prof. Geo. B. Merriman, Rutgers College, N. J.; Prof. H. C. Buck, Boston, Mass.; Prof. H. S. Carhart, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill.; Prof. Charles K. Wead, University of Michigan; Prof. H. W. Wiley, Department of Agriculture, Washington; Prof. W. O. Semans, Ohio Wesleyan University, Delaware, O.; Prof. Charles S. Hastings, Yale College, New Haven, Conn.; Prof. John B. De Motte, De Pauw University, Greencastle, Ind.; Prof. Leonard Waldo, Yale College.

If the views of these intelligent examiners are correct, then the original decision of the United States court which awarded to Bell the honor of the discovery of the speaking telephone was an error, and the vast monopoly created under the broad claims of Bell's patent of 1876 is based upon a stupendous fraud.

A suit in the name of the people of the United States s now in progress by the Department of Justice, havng for its special object to nullify the patent in case it s proved the claims were unjustly granted. The most trenuous efforts are being made by the supporters of he patent to arrest the progress of this suit; but the general public feeling is greatly in favor of having it hen they should be promptly pullified, and the tele-

ments pertaining to the suit before mentioned. Prof. Bell says, referring to his 1876 patent : "When I filed my application, I asserted that I was the first inventor of that art. I believed so then; I know so now."

In this letter Prof. Bell also pays his respects to Prof. Elisha Gray, and shows the allegation that he, Bell, derived information from Gray's caveat is without foundation. The men who have been active in fomenting the present suit are also shown up in this letter, and handled without gloves. We must say we sympathize with Prof. Bell, in view of the mass of stuff thrown at him by all the various parties, and all at once, as it were. We regret our space prevents us from publishing his letter in full.

One of the ablest newspaper articles on the telephone controversy is that recently contributed to the Evening Post by Mr. Frederic H. Betts, of the New York bar. We subjoin a portion only of his summary of facts:

The controversy has turned upon the right of Bell to control the whole art of the electric transmission of speech by means of his patent of 1876. As bearing upon this latter proposition, the facts that we have above narrated are important, and they may be summarized as follows:

"1. Reis had described what he called a 'telephone'

"2. With that instrument he had transmitted not only musical tones, but human speech, to the extent of individual words, at least, though not ' with a distinctness sufficient to every one.' He had also transmitted the inflections of the voice as in interrogation, exclamation, surprise, calling, etc. His instruments were capable, therefore, of reproducing to some extent the quality of sound as well as its pitch.

"3. Bell had never, up to the date of his patent, transmitted a single articulate word, or any 'quality' of sound.

"4. In a statement made by him a few days before his application for the patent of 1876, he had admitted, expressly, that his instrument transmitted 'the varying pitch of the voice' only, but 'not the

"5. The best that can now be done with the instruments described in the Bell patent is that, under exceptionally favorable circumstances, a few words can be intelligibly transmitted. This may be due in part to the increased cultivation of the ear since the practice of using telephones has been introduced.

"Mr. Watson, Professor Bell's assistant, has testified of experiments made at 40 State Street, in 1879, with reproductions of the original instruments:

"'The reason why the results were better, at 40 State Street, is in my opinion due to the fact that we were in a very quiet place, and that we were more practiced in listening for faint sounds than in 1875. "6. No such instruments as Bell described and illustrated in his patent of 1876 have ever been used for any practical or commercial purpose, without modifications of form and further patented improvement in material.

"It must also be added that Bell was not the first person to use, in a system of telegraphy, the undulatory current of electricity. A system of telegraphy founded upon the use of an undulatory as distinguished from intermittent or pulsatory current is described in the patent of Thomas A. Edison dated August 12, 1873, No. 141,177."

Protection of American Birds.

The annually increasing destruction of American birds for purposes of fashion, and the consequent startling decrease in the numbers of many of the choice varieties, have aroused the American Ornithologists' Union to form a Committee on the Protection of North American Birds. The secretary, Mr. E. P. Bicknell, announces the objects of the committee to be the gathering of all possible information on the subject of the pushed forward in the most vigorous manner. If destruction of birds and the steps necessary for their the Bell people, as they claim, are the rightful own-preservation in future; the diffusion of this informaers of the exclusive art and apparatus of conveying tion among the people in order to create a sentiment speech by electricity, their claims will in this manner in favor of the birds, the formation of bird associations be settled and confirmed. If, on the other hand, their and other protective measures, and in time the framclaims can be clearly proved to be invalid and wrong, ing of a suitable statute, for enactment in the several States and Territories, which shall give the same or

		mon mey should be promptly nummed, and the tele	States and Perilies, among share Brie and bie
I	A New Photographic Apparatus.—With engraving	phone made free to the people.	tection to the smaller birds that the game laws afford
	IV. ELECTRICITY, PHYSICS, ETCOn the Theory of the Electro-	We publish in another column an interesting reply	the larger.
	magnetic Telephone Transmitter.—By E. MERCADIER	from the father of Prof. Bell, in answer to the letter we	
	phone.—By E. MERCADIER	,	the wholesale slaughter of these pleasing little visitors
	Frew's Improved PyrometerWith engraving	ligh surgeon, Dr. Tait. The latter stated that Mr.	• • •
	Dew.—Abstract of a paper read before the Royal Society of Edin- burgh.—By Mr. ATKEN.—Source of dew.—Observations of the tem-	Bell, Sr., and his son were both in Glasgow at the	
	perature of the groundExperimentsEffects of windExcre-	time Reis' telephone was exhibited there, and sug-	•
	tion of drops of liquid by plantsRadiating power of different sur-	• • •	
	······································	gested that Prof. Bell, Jr., might have derived his ori-	
, V	V. ASTRONOMY.—Meteorites.—The Dhurmsala Meteorite	ginal telephone knowledge from those exhibitions.	birds will all be gone. Prompted either by their use-
	TODD 8467	Prof. Bell, Sr., however, explicitly denies that he or	fulness in checking the increase of insects harmful to
	VI. ARCHITECTUREThe New "Burgtheater" in ViennaWith	his son Alexander ever saw the Reis telephone while in	vegetation, or simply by their cheery presence, we cer-
	full page engraving	Glasgow, and his statement will be accepted as con-	tainly owe these little songsters a friendly protection.
		clusive.	A very pretty little sermon on the preservation of these
	graving	A recent number of the <i>Electrical Review</i> , London,	feathered friends will be found in "The Birds of Kil-
	VH. MISCELLANEOUSNotes on Manual SpellingBy JAS. C. GORDONOrigin of Finger SpellingFinger alphabetsWith	contains a long letter from Prof. Alexander Graham	lingworth," told by Longfellow in his "Tales of a
	engraving of American alphabet	Bell, addressed to Attorney-General Garland, in which	Wayside Inn." The secretary of the committee invites
	Fruits and Seeds for Dress TrimmingOrigin of the use of	he expresses the deepest indignation at the charges of	
	Fruits and Seeds.—Preparation by MR. COLLIN	fraud in various forms which he alleges are made	
	VIII. BIOGRAPHYHon. Hiram SibleyThe founder of the Sibley College of Mechanic Arts of Cornell UniversityWith portrait	against him by the Department of Justice in the docu-	•