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## the wilson cooked meat patents.

The decision of the judges in the cases of the Wilson Packing Company, of St. Louis, against certain Chicago and St. Louis meat canning companies for alleged infringements of the patents of William J. Wilson and John A. Wilson, appears in full in the Official Gazette for February 7.
The cases were tried in the Circuit Court, Northern District of Illinois, before Judges Drummond and Blodgett, and were dismissed on the ground that the patents upon which they were base were void for want of novelty. The court
also held that in all doubtful cases involving the validity of also held that in all doubtful cases involving the validity of cess described in the patent has been extensively sold is a consideration of great weight, but not enough in itself to sus. tain the patent. "The rights of the public are to be protected as well as those of individuals, and a monopoly should not be allowed unless the right to it is clearly shown.'
The most important questions in the case grew out of the patent of Wm. J. Wilson, reissued April 6. 1875, describing a process of preserving and packing cooked meats for transportation. The process as described c.msists in first cooking the meat by boiling in water, so that all the bone and gristle can be removed and the meat yet retain its natural grain and integrity. While yet warm with cooking the meat is pressed into a box or case with suitable apparatus, the pressure being sufficient to remove the air and all superfluous moisture, and make the meat form a solid cake. Then the box is sealed or closed air-tight upon the meat.
The court beld that the cooking of meat in this way has always been known; that the sealing of the can is substantially the Appert process described in Durand's English patent in 1810; and that the process of pressing meat to re move moisture previous to packing is described in the Mar shall patent of 1864. It was also employed by De Lignac in 1855; while cooked meats were canned by Lyman in 1869 . The only possible novelty in the process described by Wilson in his original patent of 1874, was the packing of the meat while yet warm with cooking, and this specification (omitted from the reissued patent) cannot, the court held, fairly be considered patentable.
Anotber element of the case was the form of the can in which the meat was packed, the claims in controversy being the first and third of the Jobn A. Wilson patent
of 1877 , which claims covered substantially a can of pyraof 1877 , which claims covered substantially a can of pyramidal form with rounded corners with the ends slightly flaring to form shoulders against which the head or end pieces rest. The can might bave any number of sides, four being preferred. The advantage of the pyramidal form lay in its discharging the meat (when opened at the larger end) in a sólid cake
It was shown that this form of can was old. It was de scribed in the French patent of Emile Peltier, recorded in 1859; also that this was a form in common domestic use before the Wilson patent was obtained. In the opinion of the court the well-known grlsses and moulds used by house keepers in domestic life for preserving jellies, boned turkey, head-cheese, etc., were all, from the very necessity of the uses to which they were applied, more or less flaring, coni|cal, or pyramidal in shape, and made so, presumably, for the purpose of turning out or discharging the contentsin a solid cake.
Touching the specific construction of the Wilson cans, it was shown that the distinctive features, rounded corners and offset ends, were employed by Gibbie and Perl as early as 1872. The claim as to the form and construction of the can was, therefore, pronounced invalid for lack of novelty. And the same was true of the other claim In dispute touching 112 the method of packing the meat by pressure, with subse quent sealing. Marsball had done the same in 1864, and Lyman in 1870. It was further shown that while the complainants did not confine themselves to the form and con for infringent was bas on which the claim for infringement was based, the defendant's cans were in all
case made differently. They were made by turning a rim of the head down over the outside of the body or shell of the can and fastening the head with solder-a form of construction practically adopted by the plaintiffs also, "probably because all packers find they can make a can just as tight and useful, and more cheaply, by turning the head over the out side of the
the patent."
The inter
The interests involved in these cases are very large; and if the decision of the Circuit Court is sustained by the Suprem Court, the result will be to throw open to public use a pro cess that has been made, by the naturally increasing demand
for preserved meats, the basis of a great industry which has been monopo lized by a few large establishments.

## RAILROAD COLLISIONS AND THEIR DREVENTIVES.ROOM FOR INVENTION.

While every precaution which the block system or any other system can devise to prevent collision on our railroad should be encouraged or adopted, it nevertheless will be found impossible, if constant buman vigilance is a necessary
factor in the means of safety employed, to entirely avoid the recurrence of this class of accidents. The greater the in crease of traffic, the greater the danger to which the travel ing public is exposed from collisions, and year by year the travel on our railroads increases. Trains following one ${ }_{j}$ another in rapid succession, and running at high rates of speed on the same lines of rail, in the dark of night as well as
a blinding snowstorm, court danger, and collisions similar to the late Spuyten Duyvil disaster will continue to occur. Collisions in fact, like the assassin's stab, are now more to be dreaded from the rear than from the front, and as buman vigilance cannot be depended upon to avoid them, automatic means of securing safety controlled by the engine of a train in motion, or operative only by the undue or improper stoppage of it; should be devised, and, if only as useful aux. iliaries, be generally adopted.

If, however, collisions cannot be altogether prevented, there is one thing that can be done to make such accidents less destructive of buman life than they usually are, and that is to construct our railroad cars so that they will not crush or telescope. We bave no desire to travel in trains which shut up with all the ease of a well-constructed telescope whenever a little sudden resistance is brought to bear upon them. Railroad cars might be constructed so that they could not thus close up and pack one within the other, and from the frequency of these telescoping occurrences we bave no hesitation in saying that either the style or construction of the cars now in use on our railroads is defective, so far as their liability and capacity to telescope is concerned, and that some radical change or improvement in the construction of them to avoid this danger is needed.

Furthermore, as the running of one train into the rear of anotber is now of such frequent occurrence, and as in such case it is generally only the last car or two of the advance or stalled train which are so badly damaged as to occasion any great sacrifice of life, why not make the last car of a train purely a safety one, a sort of buffer car to receive the shock, and from which all passengers should be excluded? Such car need not necessarily be of special construction, provided it and all the cars in the train are of superior rigidity, and so built or framed that they cannot telescope; consequently the delay and inconvenience which attaches to the use of a rear car of different construction from the rest when making up a train would be avoided. Possibly in an overcrowded train there would be a strong temptation to use such car for other than its safety purpose, but this could be strictly probibited until it ceased to be a rear car by the adding of another. Possibly, also, railroad companies might object on the ground that it was merely bauling dead weight for an emergency which might not occur, forgetful of the fact that in a single accident such precaution would be the means of saving many lives and economical in a pecuniary point of view by reducing claims for damages sustained.
Again, in view of the many burning accidents which have occurred, why should our railroad cars be made of the combustible material they now are, or not be provided with selfoperating extinguishing apparatus, or be otherwise beated than they are? But we do not care to pursue this subject further, excepting to remark that if native ingenuity is not sufficient to discever a block system in which the locomotive $i_{3}$ the active agent, or to devise a car that will not telescope and when ignited furnish fuel for a fire to burn up buman bodies, then we confess to having greatly over-estimated it, and shall be deceived if, in the near future, the means of safety we have suggested, or better ones, be not found, once the tide of invention sets in this humane direction.

## THE PARK ROW FIRE.

The coroner's jury called together to discover the cause of the Park Row fire, find that the fire originated from an overaxed flue near the front wall of the Nassau street entrance. Further, that the flue was improperly constructed and defective from age, and a recess or chase in the wall cut in close proximity to the flue after the completion of the building, had caused a fracture in the side wall of said flue; the elevator shaft which had recently been erected near the flue, or opposite to it, being a most efficient agent in distributing the fames through the whole building.
One important effect of the fire and the attending circumstances has been to draw public attention very forcibly to the crying need of more and better appliances for rescuing persons beset with flames and for enabling people to escape by their own efforts from burning buildings.
It was seen that an important building in the heart of a great city possessing what is supposed to be the most perfect and efficient fire and water service in the world-a building mainly devoted to business offices and occupied by adults, could be burned in the daytime, and so rapidly that the escape of all the tenants was impossible. It appeared also that the means at the command of the firemen for rescuing persons cut off by the flames were relatively less efficient than they were forty years ago. Their ladders were too short to reach above the third floor, and they bad no appliances for getting ropes or other means of escape to the upper floors. A number of those who escaped the flames owed their salvation to the accidental occurrence of business signs nearly connecting with those on the front of an adjacent building, and to the skill and pluck of a passer-by (the black boy, Cbarles Wright), who climbed an icy telegraph pole and detached a wire stretching from it to the front of the burning building.

These startling discoveries have caused a general awaken-ing-popular and official-to the neglect of life-saving ap. pliances bitherto, and bave opened the way to the ready and cordial acceptance of any new devices which may be calculated to prevent similar disasters in the future. Among the devices called for are extension ladders ca; able of reaching to the upper floors of lofty buildings; means of throwing
life-lines to any place where men, women, or children may

