

In all the machines used, up to this time, the armature had its magnetism reversed as it rotated, and this involved a great loss and waste of power. The French cabinetmaker, Gramme, conceived the idea of using a ring and rotating this ring between the poles of a magnet in such a way that there should be no reversal of poles, but merely the traveling of the poles around in the ring. This ring was surrounded with poles from which the induced current was taken. The idea here involved was so unpromising that several electricians wrote very decidedly concerning it, opposing and ridiculing it. Nevertheless it produced in practice a machine which possessed a remarkable merit in yielding a large quantity of electricity with a very small expenditure of power. In this country, Mr. Palmer, of Boston, Mr. Wallace, of Ansonia, Mr. Brush, of Cincinnati, Mr. Weston, of Newark, and Mr. Hoekhausen, of New York, have all developed machines which involve some of the general principles contained in the earlier productions, and all of which are excellent in their way. By one or other of these machines we are now enabled to produce light by an expenditure of power so small as to render its production cheap; probably not far from a fair average is that of 1,000 candles per horse power. Consequently this light has opened to it a wide field of usefulness and practical application which did not exist when it was more expensive.

Touching the practical uses of the electric light, Professor Morton said that the illuminating of large workshops, of public buildings, places of amusement, gardens, and the like, is undoubtedly an accomplished fact, and this use of the electric light, we feel confident, will largely extend. But it has been suggested that more than this will soon be reached, and that the electric light will take the place of other sources of illumination, gas, for example, in private houses. It would be very foolish for any one to attempt to predict what may or may not be accomplished in the future, but in such a case as this we may at least look back at the past and see what has been the history of the same thing, and judge something of future probabilities from past experiences.

Thereupon the speaker described at length the unfulfilled promises of Mr. Jobart's method of dividing the electric light, which twenty years ago was thought to have solved the great problem of electric lighting. He would by no means have it inferred that better success could never be attained. On the contrary, there are several very promising directions for experiment, on one of which, no doubt, Mr. Edison is at present embarked; but the difference between a promising line of experiment and a successful result all the world's history teaches us is often a distance of many years, to say the least.

The method of producing light by heating a platinum wire by the electric current was then exhibited and explained, and its difficulties enlarged upon. Also the production of light in Geissler tubes, and by the extra current as employed by Professors Houston and Thomson, of Philadelphia, in which direction he thought something might be attained. Of the speedy substitution of the electric light for the gas light, Professor Morton was very skeptical; no such radical change as many expect need be expected this century.

An interesting feature of this lecture was the exhibition of an improved gas burner giving a light of 250 candles with the consumption of forty cubic feet of gas an hour.

THE ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT IN THE MECHANICS' FAIR, BOSTON, MASS.

At the Mechanics' Fair held four years ago in Boston there were nine entries classed under the head of electrical inventions; to-day there are eighteen. This increase marks the great advance we are making in the application of electricity to the useful arts.

Even in the approach to the exhibition building, which is opposite the Boston and Providence depot, corner of Columbus avenue and Pleasant street, one face is illuminated at night by an electric light, which simulates the white gleam of moonlight, throwing dark shadows and enabling one to see to pick up a pin on the sidewalk with perfect ease.

The illumination of the main building by electricity is the most important feature of the exhibition. One side of the large hall is lighted by five lamps which are run by the Wallace Farmer machine, and the opposite side is lit by four lamps run by the Brush machine. The Wallace Farmer lights are provided with plate carbons two inches by five or six in area. The voltaic arc plays across the smaller side. From three to five lamps are run upon one circuit by the Wallace Farmer machine. If one light should happen to go out, the others in the circuit are not extinguished, for the plate carbons close together and the light is relit. These lights necessarily flicker to a certain extent; they are, however, steadier than would be imagined when the great play of the voltaic arcs in each lamp is considered. It has been demonstrated at the fair that five lights at least can be furnished on one circuit by the Wallace Farmer method. This in itself is a decided achievement.

The Brush lamp makes use of what may be called the pencil carbon points in contradistinction to the Wallace Farmer carbon plates. Each of the Brush machines furnishes four lights, which are fed by four different currents running on two conductors to each lamp. The Brush lights appear to be steadier than the Wallace Farmer lights, but not so powerful. The question of the amount of power used by both machines and the resistances of the circuits of both machines enter, however, in the question of the amount of current generated which produces the lights. The Brush lamp is certainly very steady in its action. The Wallace Farmer

lamp and the Brush lamp do not differ in principle with the exception of the use of broad plates by the one and pencils by the other. The carbons of the Brush light are electroplated with copper, which, it is claimed, prevents the heating of the carbon below the point of burning and regulates the consumption at the points.

We have said that both lamps do not differ in principle. In the Brush lamp the upper carbon is lifted by the movable core of a straight electro-magnet; in the Wallace Farmer by the armature of a horseshoe magnet; and practically the same mechanical device is used in both lamps to prevent the upper carbon from falling when the circuit is made. In the Art Gallery the two rival lamps confront each other, and one can judge better there of the relative brilliancy of the two. The details of the pictures are clearly seen in the brilliant lights, which are softened by heavy ground glass or opal shades. Great interest is manifested in these lights, which seem to be the prominent ones before the American public.

No less than twenty different electrical lamps were exhibited this summer at the Paris Exhibition; and three hundred lamps were lit during the nights of the past summer in the French capital. The Jablochhoff candle has not made its way to this side of the water, and American makers of dynamo-electric machines are attacking the problem of electric lighting by means totally different from those used in France. While we use the continuous current machines the French makers are altering their machines into alternate current machines, so as to obviate the unequal wearing away of the positive and negative carbons. The Jablochhoff candle dispenses with a regulator and thus enables more than one light to be produced by the same alternating current. The American regulators exhibited at the Mechanics' Fair would not work with an alternating machine.

The subject of electric illumination is evidently in its infancy; four years ago, however, the Mechanics' Fair could not have been so satisfactorily lighted as it is every night at the present time by the Brush machines and the Wallace Farmer machines.

The next important invention, and by some considered the most important, is the telephone. Both the Bell telephone and the telephones of the Western Union and Gold and Stock Company are placed on exhibition. The forms of the Bell telephone are well known; both the hand and the box instrument are at the fair, and are connected with the various telephone dispatch companies in and out of Boston, so that one can converse about the fair with one's distant friends. It appears from various trials that a message can be heard better from Cambridge than from a neighboring room in the exhibition building; there is a certain condition of outside resistance beyond the mere resistance of the circuit which seems to give the best effect. In the Gold and Stock Company exhibit can be seen and heard the various forms of Phelps' telephones and also Edison's carbon transmitter. The latter, in combination with a Bell or Phelps telephone, gives the best effect of any telephones or telephonic combinations. It is claimed that the New England Telephone Company (Bell's patent) have succeeded in improving their methods of communication in cities and towns. The same company also exhibit a new and very sensitive call. It is marvelous how quickly a new industry has sprung up with the introduction of the telephone! New forms of flexible telephone cords, provided with binding ends, which obviate the expensive terminals now in use, are exhibited by Mr. Hale, and are practical improvements. Redding & Co. also exhibit enamel covered wire for telephones and electro-magnets in general. Copper wire is coated with a very thin black insulating preparation which is said to stand heat and moisture remarkably well. More turns of this wire can thus be wound upon a given hobbin or magnet than of silk or cotton covered wire.

Edison's electric pen, which is well known to readers of this journal, has a liberal space devoted to it in the exhibition. Many specimens of its work are given, including some fine writing by Edison himself.

An apparatus for lighting street lamps and gas jets in fire engine houses is shown by Mr. Stevens; it seems to be a very practical device, and superior to that which has lately attracted much attention in London. Mr. Stevens makes use of the direct current to turn on the gas, and of the spark produced by the extra current to light it. Many forms of hotel electric annunciators and burglar alarms are exhibited. The exhibition building is protected from fire by the automatic electric fire signal company. The principle of their device consists in the use of a small coil which expands by heat and completes an electric circuit, which thereupon gives an alarm. If electricity could be used to heat the buildings, it could be said to afford in itself both the means of preservation and destruction of the fair.

THE FRENCH INDUSTRIAL EXHIBITION OF 1878.

While the Philadelphia Exhibition was still in progress in the summer of 1876, the French Legislature passed an act providing for the holding of an International Exhibition in Paris in 1878, to continue from May to October.

The preparation of the requisite buildings in the Champ de Mars and on the Trocadero was taken in hand energetically; and notwithstanding the ominous war cloud that seemed to be settling over all Europe, the work of making ready for the Exhibition was pushed forward with commendable dispatch.

A characteristic feature of the scheme was the appropriation of \$300,000 for the payment of an International Jury,

to consist of 650 members—350 French and 300 foreigners—aided by a Supplementary Jury of 350 members, 150 of whom were to be French.

It was not until the close of last year that the participation of the United States was insured by the passage of a bill appropriating \$150,000 for that purpose. At that late date nearly all the space had been allotted, there remaining for the United States only 400 x 100 feet. Fully five times this amount was immediately asked for by our would-be exhibitors, but the vast majority had to be refused.

The Exhibition was formally opened May 1, 1878, though, with the exception of England, few of the exhibits were well advanced toward readiness. Relatively the American space was about one sixth that of Great Britain, one half that taken by Belgium, two thirds that of Austria, a little less than half that of China and Japan, a little more than that of the Netherlands, and about the same as was severally occupied by Russia, Italy, and Switzerland. Germany did not compete.

In view of these facts, the correspondent of the *Tribune* complainingly remarked that he was almost tempted to say that we had better not have come at all than to have come with such a meager display, especially as we might have had as much space as Great Britain if we had asked for it in time.

Thanks, however, to our most efficient and honorable Commissioner in Chief, an admirable selection of exhibits was made; and, as the result shows, the United States partially, at least, made up in quality what we lacked in quantity. In one other respect the Paris Exhibition has been peculiarly gratifying to all Americans: not a question has been raised as to the capacity, energy, and integrity of our official representative.

No official report has reached us with regard to the aggregate attendance upon the Exhibition; we believe, however, that it has been equal to, if it did not exceed, the attendance upon the Centennial Exhibition of 1876.

AWARDS AND HONORS AT PARIS.

The last great official act in connection with the Exhibition of 1878 was the distribution of prizes and honors, which took place Oct. 21, in the Palais de l'Industrie, in the presence of an immense and brilliant audience.

The complete list of the prizes awarded to American exhibitors appears in the SCIENTIFIC SUPPLEMENT of this week; it is happily far too long for insertion here.

The following named Americans received decorations of the Legion of Honor:

Commissioner-General Richard C. McCormick, who is made Commander; Professor F. A. P. Barnard and William W. Story, who were made Officers. Auguste H. Girard, secretary to the Commissioner General; Henry Pettit, Engineer and Architect of the Commissioner-General's staff; Thomas R. Pickering, Superintendent of the Machinery Section; Lieutenant Benjamin H. Buckingham, U.S.N., Naval Attaché; John D. Philbrick, Superintendent of the Educational Section; D. Maitland Armstrong, Superintendent of the Fine Arts Section; Professor Andrew D. White, LL.D., juror; Professor William P. Blake, juror, and Professor Edward H. Knight, LL.D., juror, were made Chevaliers. Cyrus H. McCormick and Walter A. Wood, who were in 1867 made Chevaliers, have been raised to Officers.

Several exhibitors were made Chevaliers, namely:

Charles Tiffany, silverware; Thomas A. Edison, phonograph; Elisha Gray, telephone; James Brewster, carriages, and F. A. Bridgman, the artist.

It is worthy of note that the men thus selected by the French Government for special distinction are all honored at home as hard working, capable, and useful men—heads of colleges, mechanics, artisans, manufacturers, inventors, artists, scientists, and civil and mechanical engineers.

Though our action was long delayed—indeed, until most foreign competitors had their goods prepared or on the way to Paris—and our exhibitors were far too few in number to adequately represent American industry, yet it is gratifying to note that a larger proportion were prize winners than fell to the share of any other country.

WHO WILL INVENT A SATISFACTORY MILKING MACHINE?

Noting some recent experiments with milking machines, the *Western Rural* remarks that it is safe to say that the milking machines now before the world are not what is needed. They will milk, but not so well as can be done by hand; and failing to get all the milk they tend to dry up the cows. The problem is a difficult one, yet the demand is urgent and the profit assured for any one who will solve it successfully. The *Rural* says:

"No time need be spent in endeavoring to demonstrate the desirability or the necessity of such an invention. This, therefore, existing, we cannot secure the machine too soon. Any opposition to such a contrivance as is needed, which comes of prejudice, should be immediately overcome within ourselves and by ourselves, that no unnecessary impediment shall be placed in the way of success. No stubbornness or 'old fogyism' should prevent us from making a careful examination of existing machines, that their merits or defects may be fully demonstrated, and genius thus shown what has been done and what needs to be done. It would be well if our agricultural societies would hold out large inducements to inventors to enter this field, and it is certainly the duty of dairy associations to do it."