## New Mechanical Inventions.

An improved Machine for Separating Fur from Pelts or Hides has been invented by Mr. Samuel M. Ball, of Fanwood, N. J. In this machine the fur is removed from the skin by a combination of pickers, carrying aprons, and separating screens, arranged in a compact manner. The machine is cheap as well as simple.
Mr. Gideon McBride, of Dover Hill, Ind., has invented an improved Tellurian for the use of schools, etc., which in a simple manner illustrates the elliptic orbit of the earth around the sun and that of the moon around the earth, together with all the phenomena resulting from the relation of these bodies to each other.
Mr. Lorenzo Meeker, of Oswego, N. Y., has invented animproved Lifting Jack. This has a peculiar construction of a clutch and leyer for lifting the load, in combination with a clutch for sustaining it during the alternate movement of the lifting clutch, and differs from other lifting jacks in the construction and arrangement of the sustaining clutch and lever, and in the devices for disengaging.the clutch from the bar when it is desired to retract or lower the latter.
In a new Millstone Gearing devised by Mr. Garrett W. Schreurs, of Muscatine, Iowa, the spindle of the runner stone is so stepped and geared that its motion can be instantly stopped at pleasure or in event of an accident.

## BEARD's THILL COUPLING.

The annexed engravings represent a new invention designed to prevent carriage thills from rattling. It consists of

a steel spring, and the manner of its operation will be seen Fin. 2
 at a glance from our engravings. It is claimed that this spring is neat, cheap, effective, and far more durable than rubber. It can be inserted without uncoupling the thill or removing any part of the vehicle. Fig. 1 is a section of the entire device, and Fig. 2 shows the spring separate. It was patented October 30, 1877, and is sold by Luke Beard, 75 Hubbard avenue, North Cambridge, Mass.

## A Telephonic Alarm.

The speaking of the telephone is admittedly so weak that it can only be caught by keeping the instrument in immediate contact with the ear. Hence there is transmitted through the telephone in its present form no sound which would be intense enough to announce to any one who was in a large room, and who did not hold the telephone close to his ear, that a message was about to be sent from the transmitting station. The consequence is that a warning apparatus must be attached to the telephone, so that there may be no fear of missing a projected telephonic conversation.
It is clear that the conducting wire of a telephone can be used to sound a bell as an alarm by means of a current from a galvanic battery, and thereby the defect referred to would be supplied. But the necessary apparatus would considerably raise the price of fitting up a telephone apparatus, and besides, one most important property of the telephone, viz., producing the required electric current automatically, would be partly lost. I have, then, invented another warning apparatus, which, I believe, is quite workable.
Hitherto telephones have been so constructed that only one pole ( N in the figure) of the magnet is effective; I now use also the second pole, S , by providing it with a coil of wire, which is simply inserted in the circuit behind the first coil. (The dotted lines in the figure will explain this connection; the two ends $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ are connected with the binding screws fastened to the telephone; from this the circuit goes to the second telephone.) Before this pole of the magnet a tun-ing-fork, A, may be very easily set up, which, with the telephone, is simply fixed on a resonance case, $\mathbf{B}$; this arrangement should be made both at the transmitting and receiving stations, and both forks should be in unison. If now the sending station wish to signal that a conversation is to be begun, the fork of that place will be sounded with a fiddlebow; the currents thereby induced in the coil are powerful enough to set the fork of the receiving station in such intense vibration that the sound may be distinctly heard in a large room; warned by this signal a person can in the usual way put the telephone to his ear and listen to the words from the transmitting station; and so vice versa.
I have made an experiment in a large room, when about 100 people were present, and all could hear the sounds of the fork, which in the manner described was set in vibration by a second fork in a distant room. The two forks were König $\mathrm{Ut}_{4}$; lower forks give less clearly heard tones; with higher forks I was unable to make any experiment, since I had not two sımilar ones at my disposal.

Let me mention two other experiments which I have made. The first is of importance in connection with the question as to how the clang-tints of tones are reproduced through the telephone. In one of the two telephones described substi
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tute for the $\mathrm{Ut}_{4}$ fork a higher one, and sound this by means of a fiddle-bow, and there will be heard with another in serted telephone of the ordinary construction tones of even 12,000 double vibrations per second, a sign that the variations of the magnetic condition of a magnet perceptibly octions of the magnetic condition when the forces producing these variations change cur, even when the forces producing these variations change
their size 24,000 times in a second. This result, moreover, was not to be expected, since, as is known, magnetic polarization requires time to accomplish. Whether these higher tones are comparatively weaker than the deeper cannot be determined, but probably this is the case.
In another experiment I used the telephone to test the electric vibrations indicated by Helmholtz and others, which are produced by the opening of the primary current of an induction apparatus in the induced coil, when the ends of the latter are connected with the armatures of a condenser. For this purpose I inserted the telephone in the circuit between coil and condenser, and observed the effect when the current in the inducing spiral was opened.
When the ends of the induced spiral were not connected with the condenser, I heard a dull report in the telephone; when again these ends were connected with the condenser, this report was accompanied by a shorter, higher sound, whose vibration-number might perhaps be determined by a musical ear; a proof of the existence of the vibrations mentioned in the last case. The observations were made with a telephone the iron membrane of which was very thin and had a very deep tone.-W. D. Röntaen, in Nature.

## h FOWL MONSTROSITY.

bY John michels.
An interesting instance of a strange malformation in the head of a fowl has been exhibited alive at the New York
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Aquarium, and as Professor Fr. Stengel of Columbia College vouches for its authenticity, it may be presumed to The illustrationen.
The illustration will convey an excellent conception of the peculiarities of the fowl in question, which is said to
have a monkey's face. It will be noticed that the ordinary beak of a bird is absent, and that the nose and lips of an animal are fully developed.

The nose appears to be formed by an extension of the comb, which at the point of junction suddenly change from a bright red to a pale fleshlike color; the lips, which are large and protruding, having the same hue.
Both lips and nose are formed of a moderately hard car tilagenous substance, having a smooth surface, the nostrils being very similar to those observed in many species of monkeys.

The tongue is also modified in form, rounded at the point, and having unusual power of lateral motion.
With the exceptions 1 have named, or shown in the illustration, the general appearance of the specimen is normal, and indicative of its being of the Cochin China breed.
We have doubtless here an interesting specimen of one of those strongly marked and abrupt deviations of structure which occasionally occur without any apparent cause.

Surch cases are rare with birds in a state of nature, but happen with greater frequency with those which have be come domesticated.
This monstrosity probably arose from an arrest of devel opment rather than arrest of growth, and is doubtless capa ble of being transmitted. Breeders take advantage of such freaks of nature to produce what is called a variety.
Speaking generally, it is conceded that changed conditions and external infiuences produce variation from type, and considerable effect upon organisms of all kinds.
There are, however, instances in which decided variation arises without any apparent exciting cause, and Darwin with his usual caution "provisionally" calls it "spontaneous;" he attributes such variations, whether consisting of slight individual differences or of more strongly-marked devia tions of structure, as depending much more on the constitu tion of the organism than on the nature of the conditions to which it has been subjected.

## The Apparent Size of the Moon

To the Editor of the Scientific American:
You have frequently noticed that the moon looks very much larger when it rises and sets, than when it is nearly over head, on the same night, the objects on its surface appearing magnified. I have accounted for the variation in its apparent size on the principle of the refraction of light, more rays being bent and brought to the eye while they pass through the dense medium, when the moon rises and sets, than when the rays pass through a rare me dium, as when the moon is nearly overhead.
The rays, when the moon is near the horizon, pass through more air than when directly overhead.
I have heard it said, and think I read it in the Scientific American, that the moon only looked larger by comparison with objects near the horizon. It did not occur to me how to test the matter until a short time since $I$ made a triangular hole through a piece of card board and placed it 21 inches from my eye and looked through it at the moon. When it was rising near the horizon it would fill the space marked $A$, sometimes $B$, and (when overhead) $C$.


Does not this prove that the moon does really look larger by being magnified through the medium of the air?
Please mention this in your paper with remarks, which Please mention this in your paper with remarks, whic
may enlighten others. $\quad$ Yours, etc,
Obs. may enlighten others.
Laconia, N. H., Dec. 25, 1877.
A. This apparent difference in the size of the moon, according to its position in the heavens, is (as has been frequently explained before) merely an optical illusion.
When we regard the celestial vault, it has the appearance to us of a very much depressed spheroid, instead of a hemisphere, and, for this fact, the zenith looks much nearer In looking at objects along a horizontal plane, we are accustomed to estimate their relative sizes and distances by comparison. Now, in viewing objects situated above, as we lack the same means of comparison, and hence are apt to greatly under-estimate their distance, the rising moon may appear much larger than a tree placed beside it on the may appear morizo ; but, when she reaches the zenith,
verge the tree (which at the horizon served to give us an idea of the tree (which at the horizon served to give us an idea of
greater distance) being absent, we with our under-estimate of vertical distance unconsciously make an exaggerated allowance for it, and, doing this, we likewise underestimate the apparent size of the moon and see it smaller.

Col. W. H. Reynolds has concluded a contract with the English Government by which the Post Office Department has adopted the Bell telephone as a part of its telegraphic system. In a recent telephonic experiment in connection with the cable, 213 miles long, between Dover and Calais, there was not the slightest failure during a period of two hours.

