CENTENNIAL NOTES.

THE REMINGTON BUTTON HOLE MACHINE,

Among the thousands of curious machines seen by the visitors to Machinery Hall, one of the most novel is the Remington button hole machine. Since the advent of the sewing machine, many attempts have been made to produce something that would make a batton hole, and usually such efforts have been directed toward an attachment for an ordinary sewing machine. So far as known, such efforts (either as an attachment or a complete machine) have been attended with only partial success; and until the production of the Remington machine no device for completely finishing a button hole has been a perfect success. This machine is complete in itself, being about the size of an ordinary sewing machine, but is made upon entirely new principles. The invention seems to be based upon the idea of a single thread as used in handmade work, forming a loop stitch exactly as made by hand, and which is concluded to be the only proper stitch for such work. A combined shuttle, bobbin, and needle is attached to the needle bar, resting in a socket in the latter. A hole of the required size is first cut in the material to be worked, then the latter is pushed upon a coneshaped piece, and, by a movement of the operator's knee, is firmly clamped; and when once in position, it is automatically revolved around the cone, and does not require any manipulation to insure perfect work. The stitch is formed by a loop (taken from the needle after it has passed through the cloth) carried up and thrown over the shuttle and needle. When the cloth is taken from the machine, the button hole is found to be complete, the ends being strongly barred or stayed; and no handwork of any kind whatever is required.

The speed of this wonderful machine is from 1.800 to 2.000 holes in 9 hours' work: and judging by the rapidity and ease with which the work is handled, both by the machine and the operator, this large product seems easy of accomplishment. The range of work includes shirts, linen collars, knit goods, underwear, and many other classes of goods. The machine is simple in construction, durable, and not liable to get out of order.

A CORK WATER COOLER.

Cork, as is well known, is porous, and is a non-conductor of heat. These peculiarities have been taken advantage of in the manufacture of a water cooler made entirely of cork, which is displayed in the Spanish section, and represented below. It is made of a slab of the wood, bent round cir



cular heads of the same and bound with brass hoops. The porosity of the cork allows the water to percolate slowly to the surface, and there to cool in evaporating, while its nonconducting nature prevents the heat of the sun warming the water within.

.... Spontaneous Fracture of Glass,

A SINGULAR ACCIDENT .- A light of glass, eight feet square, slightly marred by an accident, was removed for a new one on King street, in Troy, the other day, and placed against the side of a building. Suddenly the glass flew into a thousand pieces. One of the fragments struck a workman, and penetrated the right leg of his pantaloons, and cut a deep gash in his knee. No cause can be assigned for the singular explosion. It was accompanied by a loud noise, which was heard some distance away.-American Architect and Building News.

REMARKS: This occurrence was doubtless due to the im. perfect annealing of the glass. The British Journal of Pho. y says, on the detection of bad glass

DECISIONS OF THE COURTS.

Supreme Court of the United States.

PATEN1' REFRIGERATOR .- BROWN & SEAVY, APPELLANTS V8. ENOCH PIPER. [In the matter of the appeal from the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Massachusctts. -Decided October, 1875.]

for the District of Massachusetts. -Decided October, 1875. j Mr. Justice Swayne delivered the opinion of the Court. The patent of E. Piper, March 19, 1861, held by the court to be anticipated by the lee-cream freezer. The claim to "preserving fish or other articles in a close chamber by means of a freezing mixture, having no contact with the atmosphere of the preserving chamber, substantially as et forth," when taken in connection with explanations contained in the specification, construct to be for application to articles to be preserved of the degree of cold necessary to preserve them, hymeansof a "close chamber," in which they are to be placed, and "a freezing mixture, having no communication with the at-mosphere of the preserving chamber." The application of an old process to a new subject without any exercise of the inventive faculty, and without the development of any idea which can be deemed new or original in the sense of the patent law, is not patent-able.

United States Circuit Court---District of Massachusetts.

PATENT PRESSING MACHINE FOR TAILORS.—GRANTED JUNE 8, 1858.—LEVI B. STORRS VS. PATRICE HOWE et al.

[In equity.-Before Clifford, J.-Decided September 2, 1876.]

[In equity.—Before Clifford, J.—Decided September 2, 1876.] In a suit for infringement of a patent the burden of proof is upon the plaintiff or complainant to show that the patentee was the first and original inventor of the improvement, and that the patent has been infringed by the party against whom the suit is brought. The patent, if introduced in evidence, affords a prima facie presumption that the patentee is the original and first inventor, and, in the absence of proof to the contrary, is sufficient to entitle the party instituting the suit to recover for the alleged violation of his exclusive rights. A combination of old ingredients is not infringed unless it appears that the alleged infringer made or used the entire combination. Equivalents are such ingredients as will perform the same function as the one described, and which were well known at the date of the patent as proper substitute for the ones actually described in the patent. [Chas. H. Drew. for complainant. John S. Abbott, for defendants.]

United States Circuit Court---District of Massachusetts.

IRON-BRONZINGPATENT .-- HIRAM 'FUCKER V8. THE TUCKER MANUFACT'URING COMPANY.

[In equity.-Before Clifford, J.-Decided September 1, 1876.]

Setta.
IRON-DEONZINGPATENT.--HIRAN TOUCRER 25. THE TUCKER MANUFACTURING CONFANT.
IRON-DEONZINGPATENT.--HIRAN TOUCRER 25. THE TUCKER MANUFACTURING CONFANT.
In equity.--Before Clifford, J.-Deolded September 1, 1876.]
Clifford, J. This apply to the Commissioner therefor. In writing, and the requirement is that they shall he in the Patent Office a writing. and the requirement is that they shall he in the Patent Office a writing. and the requirement is that they shall he in the Patent Office a writing. Some commissioner for a Patent, description A description of the inprevention. (IS Stat. at Large, 20).
Tursant to that provision the complain and in this case applied in writing to the Commissioner for a Patent, description, he asserts has heretofore been japanned by covering it a surface with oily solutions of asphatium and pigmetis, and by the subsequent application of heat sufficient to produce hardness; and by the subsequent application. He asserts has been into a provide the subsequent application of heat sufficient to produce hardness; and by the subsequent application of heat sufficient to produce hardness; and by the subsequent supplication of heat sufficient to produce hardness; and by the subsequent supplication of heat sufficient to produce hardness; and by the description is siven in the specification of the steps to be taken in applying the process to a coffect the description as sufficient as the subsequent sufficient. The supplicing the process to a coffect the description of the steps to be taken in applying the process or a so that the application of the steps to be taken in applying the process to a coffect the description of the steps to be taken in applying the process to a coffect the description of the steps to be taken in applying the process to a coffect the description of the steps to be taken in applying the process to a coffect the description face at an overa and the application of the process (coff

used by, the persons manned in the answer before the complements expres-for a patent. * On she 15th of December, 1865, the original patent wasgranted to the com-plainant; on the 3d of March, 1865, he assigned the same to the respondents; on the 11th of September, 1866, the original patent was surrendered and was refs ued to the respondent as the assignees of the complement; therespon-dents, on the 37th of August, 1872, reassig ed the invention as secured by the reissued patent to the complement. Throughout that period, to wit, from the 3d of March, 1865, to the 37th of August, 1872, it appears that the respondents held the title to the invention as secured by the original and reissued patent, and it appears that they, during that time, manufactured quantities of goods by the process described in those patents, and that they relised patent, and it appears that they, during that time, manufactured quantities of goods by the process described in those patents, and that they paid royalties to the complainant for the right to use to process, and that throughout that whole period they acknowledged the validity of the patent-ed invention. None of those matters are in controversy, but the charge is that respon-dents, since they rea. Igned thepatented invention to the complainant, hav-ing unlawfully continued to use the same without license, and have refused to pay any royalty to him for such, or to acknowledge the legal and just rights under the letters patent. Suffice it to say that the proofsfully estab-lish that charge, and show that the respondents went immediately to work to see if they could not effect the same results as those accomplished by the patented process without infringing the same. and they now contend that they have been successful infringement is merely colorable, and that the complainant is clearly emitted to an account and to an injunction. " [Chauncev Smith, Watter Curits, and Chartes M, Reed, for complainant.

The court is of the opinion that the invention consists of the work plate, the two guides, constructed and arranged as described, in combination with a sewing machine or stitching apparatus. Construed in that way, it is very clear that the respondents have not infringed the complainants' letters patent, as they do not use the guide for the hat. Where the invention con-sists entirely in a new combination of old elements or ingredients, the law is well settled that a suit for infringement cannot be maintained unless it appears that the respondent has used all of the elements or lagredients of the new combination. (Prouty vs. Ruggles, 14 Fet., 341; Vance vs. Camp-bell. 1 Black, 428; Gould vs. Rees, 15 Wall., 133. Seymour vs. Obsorne. 11 Id., 55.) bell. 11 ld

Dett. 1 Disck, sec; Gouit 28. Rees, 15 wall., 183. Seymour 28. Osborne. 11 id., 55.) Patents may doubtless be granted for a new device, and for the same in combination with old elements, and if both inventions are properly de-scribed and claimed, the patent will be valid for both; but it is not neces-sary to pursue that inquiry in this case, as the court is of the opinion that neither the description of the supposed improvement nor the claim of the patent in question brings the case before the court within that rule. In-ringement not being proved, the bill of complaint must be dismissed. Decree, that bill of complaint is dismissed. [Eduard Avery, for complainants.]

PATENT BILLIARD TABLE. -- HUGH W. COLLENDER DR. JOHN E. CAME et al. [In equity.-BeforeClifford, J..-Decided September 2, 1876.]

PATENT BILLIARD TABLE.-HUGH W. COLLENDER vs. JOHN E. CAME et al. [In equity.-BeforeClifford, J..-Decided September 2, 1876.]
A patent, in due form, was granted to the complainant on January 12, 1858, for a new and useful improvement in uniting comparatively hard substances to elastic foundations of billiard cushions, and that the same was surrendered on March 19, 1867. on account of a defective specification, and reissued to the same patentee for the same invention. Due application was subsequently made for an extension, and the record shows that the released patent was subsequently extended for the further term of seven years from the expiration of the first term. Gains and profits, it is charged, have been made by the respondents by infringing the exclusive right secured to the same invention. They also the respondents by infringing the exclusive right secured to the same about the specification of the complainant by the said released patent, and he praysfor an account and for an injunction. Process having been issued and service made, the respondents appeared and filed an answer. They deny that they have made, used, or sold cushions for billiard tables in accordance with the specification of the complainant's patent, or that they have made any gains or profits by infringing the exclusive right secured to had been used by the persons therein named, and at the places specified in the asswer. * The claim of the patent is:
An india rubber billiard cushion constructed with an imbedded spring back, having woven about it light and close-fitting fibrous casing or covering, as described, for the purpose specified.
Mechanical differences undoubtedly exist, but the general mode of contance with the specification of the complain. Such as the same is a such as the same is a such a secribed in the aspecified.
Mechanica differences undoubtedly exist, but the general mode of complained the spin such as the same is the same.
Mechanical dif

NEW BOOKS AND PUBLICATIONS.

CHOKE BORE GUNS, AND HOW TO LOAD FOR ALL KINDS OF GAME. By W. W. Greener, Author of "Modern Breech Loaders." New York city: Cassell, Petter, and Galpin.

Mr. Greener, a well known gunmaker of Birmingham, England has introduced into that country the American practice of contracting the muzzles of fowling pieces, so as to deliver the pellets of shot in a compact mass, to ensure longer range and greater penetration. The system has met with great success there, not only in the field, but in shooting matches and in competitive trials. Mr. Greener has written an excellent handbook of the improved weapon; and he gives accounts of its proved efficiency which are conclusive and convincing. His remarks on the choice of a gun and care in its use are practical and sensible; and he gives our country full redit for the valuable invention which he has improved on and introduced into England. We recommend his book to all lovers of field sports.

AN ELEMENTARY HANDBOOK OF APPLIED MECHANICS. With Eighty-eight Diagrams. AN ELEMENTARY HANDBOOK OF THEO-RETICAL MECHANICS. With One Hundred and Forty-Five Diagrams. By William Rossiter, F.R.A.S., etc. Price 75 cents each. New York city: G. P. Putnam's Sons, Fourth avenue and 23d street.

Our readers have frequently read our criticism on the various numbers of Messrs. Putnam's two "Science Series," elementary and advanced. All the treatises selected for publication in this cheap and popular form are of the highest excellence; and the two now before us are full of condensed, intelligible, and accurate information, given to the reader in a strictly progressive and inductive manner. Books such as these are wanted to answer the domand for practical education, now so loudly heard in all our trades and handicrafts.

THE COMPENDIUM OF ARCHITECTURAL SHEET METAL WORK, with Rules and Directions for Estimates, etc. Price \$10. Salem, Ohio: The Kittredge Cornice and Ornament Company.

This is one of the most elaborate trade handbooks we have ever seen. It ontains over 550 pages of tables of proportions, dimensions, and prices of all kinds of ornamental metal work, and is lavishly illustrated with dia-

grams, etc. It is likely to be very useful to architects and builders employing sheet metal dccorations. The Kittredge Company's price catalogue is distinct from the technical information and tables; and the latter afford means for ascertaining, by a simple plan of analysis, the complete and exact cost of every description of work.

THE TEXTILE COLORIST, No. 10, Volume II. Published Monthly. Subscription Price, \$12 a year. New York city: John Wiley & Son, 15 Astor Place.

This valuable periodical maintains its high character as the standard authority on bleaching, dyeing, and printing textile fabrics. The com-plete volumes form handsome and elaborate treatises on the whole art and cience of dyeing.

Inventions Patented in England by Americans,

[Compiled from the Commissioners of Patents' Journal.)

From September 5 to October 4, 1876, inclusive. ABDOMINAL SUPPORT - J. Herts, New York city

AIR CURRENT.-J. Y. Smith (of Pittsburgh, Pa.), Leamington, England

AXLE AND BOX.-J. F. Pray et al.

CANCELING STAMPS, ETC. -T. G Palmer, Shultzville, N. Y. CLOSING DOORS, ETC. -J. T. Foster, Jersey city, N. J. FLUTING MACHINE. -A. Rose, New York city.

GLOVE.-W. F. Foster, New York city.

HOSIERY.-G. W. Gregory, Boston, Mass.

INSECT FAN.-S. W. Lambeth et al., Philadelphia, Pa. KNITTED FABRIC .- C. H. Landerberger, Philadelphia, Pa

LUBRICATOR.-T. Haynes, Kansas, Mo. MAKING SUGAR.-D. M. Weston, Boston, Mass MINING MACHINERY .- P. Sheldon, Jamestown, N. Y. PAPER BAG MACHINE.-G. H. Mallary, New York city. PAPER BARREL, ETC.-W. H. Murphy, Syracuse, N. Y PAPER BARREL HEAD.-W. H. Murphy, Syracuse, N. Y. PISTON PACKING.-Adair Packing Co., Bowling Green, Ky. PRINTING AND CUTTING MACHINE.-W. Heckert, Providence, R. I. PULP BOX MACHINE, ETC. -S. Wheeler, Albany, N. Y. PURIFYING OIL, ETC.-G. W. Tilton, New York city. RAILWAY SIGNAL.-F. W. Brierley, Philadelphia, Pa. REDUCING ORES, ETC.-A. T. Hay, Burlington, Iowa. REFRIGERATOR.-E. B. Smith, Albany, N. Y. ROCK DRILL, ETC.-W. Weaver, Phœnixville, Pa SEWING LEATHER, ETC.-E. Drake, Stoughton, Mass., et al. SEWING MACHINE.-J. Butcher, New York city. SEWING MACHINE, ETC.-R. Whitehill, New York city SEWING MACHINE SHUTTLE, -J. Butcher, New York city. SEWING MACHINERY .- J. Folk, Brooklyn, N. Y. SPOOL-PRINTING MACHINE. - I. Dimock, Hartford, Conn. STONE SAW, ETC.-R. S. Robertson, Pittsburgh, Pa. STOVE, ETC.-J. J. Jarves (of Boston, Mass.), Florence, Italy, et al. TEACHING SINGING.-G. N. Carrozzi, Chicago, Ill. TIE BUCKLE.-H. W. Ollver. Jr., Pittsburgh, Pa. TROUSER PROTECTOR.-J. H. Anderson, New York city. VENTILATING SHIPS, ETC .- W. F. Thiers, New York city, et al. WINDING YARN, ETC.-I. L. G. Rice et al., Cambridge, Mass.

ιog

"We have more than once experienced, and, doubtless, many of our readers have similarly suffered, the loss of a large glass vessel through the occurrence of a crack, produced no one could tell how; but when, on one occasion, an unusually thick vessel fell to pieces before our eyes, though it had not been touched or heated for some days, the cause became plain to us. The fracture and the cracking arose from the imperfect annealing of the glass, which remained whole till some unnoticed vibratory impulse caused sufficient molecular disturbance to allow the stronger of the unequal strains existing in the mass to assert itself, and, overcoming the cohesion of the whole, to produce a crack or a complete fracture, according to its strength. To guard against such accidents, a suggestion has been made, by G. Hagenbach in Poggendorf's Annalen, to examine all articles by polarized light, when, if a hidden flaw exist, its presence will be revealed by the occurrence of prismatic colors. He was led to this discovery by the examination of some fragments of two glasses which had suddenly cracked in the unexpected manner we speak of; they all showed prismatic colors."

[Chauncey Smith, Walter Curtis, and Charles M. Reed, for complainant George L. Roberts, for defendants.]

STITCHING HAT LININGS. -GLOVER SANFORD et al. vs. MERRIMAC HAT COMPANY. [In equity.—Before Clifford, J.—Decided September 2, 1876.]

Gifford, J.: Patentable inventions pertaining to machines may be divided into four the machines, as a car for a railway, or a sewing machine; Fatentable inventions pertaining to machines may be divided into four classes: frat, entire machines, as a car for a railway, or a sewing machine; second, separate devices of a machine, as the colter of a plow, or the divi-der of a reaping machine; third, new devices of a machine in combination with old elements, all embraced in one claim, or with separate claims for what is new, together with a claim for the new combination of all the ele-ments; fourth, devices or elements of a machine in combination, where all the devices or elements are cold. What the assign or of the complainants professes to have invented is a new and useful improvement in sewing machines; and he states in the specifica-tion that the lavenion is designed for the purpose of stitching the sweats or leather limings into hat; and that the invention consists in the peculiar form of the cowing machine or stitching apparatus. *