

Scientific American.

ESTABLISHED 1846.

MUNN & CO., Editors and Proprietors.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY AT NO. 87 PARK ROW, NEW YORK.

O. D. MUNN. A. E. BEACH.

TERMS FOR THE SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN.

One copy, one year, postage included. \$3 20
On a copy, six months, postage included. 7 00
Club Rates.
Ten copies, one year, each \$2 70, postage included. \$27 00
Over ten copies, same rate each, postage included. 2 70

The postage is payable in advance by the publishers, and the subscriber then receives the paper free of charge.
NOTE.—Persons subscribing will please to give their full names, and Post Office and State address, plainly written. In case of changing residence state former address, as well as give the new one. No changes can be made unless the former address is given.

Scientific American Supplement.

A distinct paper from the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, but of the same size and published simultaneously with the regular edition.

TERMS.

One year by mail. \$5 00
SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN and SUPPLEMENT, to one address. 7 00
Single Copies. 10

The safest way to remit is by draft, postal order, or registered letter. Address MUNN & Co., 37 Park Row, N. Y.

Subscriptions received and single copies of either paper sold by all the news agents.

VOLUME XXXV., No. 20. [NEW SERIES.] Thirty-first Year.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 11, 1876.

Contents.

Table listing various articles and their page numbers, including Academy of Sciences, Air compressing, Answers to correspondents, Aquarium, Arctic expedition, etc.

THE SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN SUPPLEMENT.

Vol. II., No. 46.

For the Week ending November 11, 1876.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Table listing contents of the supplement, including I. THE INTERNATIONAL EXHIBITION OF 1876, II. ENGINEERING AND MECHANICS, III. TECHNOLOGY, etc.

The Scientific American Supplement

Is a distinctive publication issued weekly; every number contains 16 octavo pages, with handsome cover, uniform in size with SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN. Terms of subscription for SUPPLEMENT, \$5.00 a year, postage paid, to subscribers. Single copies, 10 cents. Sold by all news dealers throughout the country.

All the numbers of the SUPPLEMENT from its commencement, January 1, 1876, can be supplied; subscriptions date with No. 1 unless otherwise ordered.

To SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN SUBSCRIBERS WHO WISH TO TAKE THE SUPPLEMENT.—A subscriber to the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN may change at any time to the SUPPLEMENT, or may have both papers sent to him, by remitting to us the difference between the amount already paid for the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN and the SUPPLEMENT prices above mentioned. Remit by postal order. Address

COMBINED RATES.—The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN and SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN SUPPLEMENT will be sent together for one year, postage free to subscribers, on receipt of \$7.00.

MUNN & CO., PUBLISHERS,

37 Park Row, New York. Single copies of any desired number of the SUPPLEMENT sent to any address on receipt of 10 cents.

ULTRA-DARWINISM.

The story is told of a tourist among the mountains of Wales who, amazed at the contrast between the thought and the language of a village preacher's sermon, asked an explanation of the marvel. The honest preacher confessed that, in default of ability to write an original sermon, he made a practice of translating the sermons of a leading English divine, first into Welsh and then into the dialect of his hearers: by which time, he naively remarked, "the author himself wouldn't recognize them."

Sometimes we think there must be some such process of translation continually going on with regard to scientific discoveries, a translation into a dialect as unscientific as the Welsh preacher's was un-English; and what is worse, the the would-be scientific teachers usually manage to impart a greater confusion into the thought than the Welshman did into the language.

Anything about Darwinism, for example, in almost any religious newspaper will serve as an illustration of this process and its results. But the mischief is unhappily not confined to the religious press, so-called. It turns up in all sorts of places. One of the prettiest specimens we have seen lately appears in the last report of the Secretary of the Massachusetts Board of Agriculture. The chairman of the committee on poultry, discussing new breeds and how to produce them, gravely remarks:

"We are not of the number of those who believe in the ingenious but improbable theories of Darwin. The principle of selection, perseveringly carried out" (practice of selection, we presume, is meant), "is productive of wonderful results; but there is a limit beyond which progress is impossible, and it seems to us that the burden of proof rests with these philosophers till they can show hybrids which are capable of producing their like continually and not exceptionally. Neither do we believe with another learned professor that the strong desire of the original Bengal tiger to conceal himself, while crawling through thickets and canebrakes, produced the stripes on his body. If it did, why, we may ask, did not that desire go a little further, and produce a skin of a pea-green tint, which would have been a much better protection?" The italics are not ours.

This is a very pretty specimen, since it combines in a short paragraph so many of the leading errors of theory, fact, and logic, which characterize the writings of anti-Darwinists everywhere. The first stroke is to beg the question by calling the theories of Darwin, in a lump, "ingenious but improbable." There is no possible reply to that except a flat denial, and that goes for nothing in an argument. The next stroke is an appeal to "fact," in an authoritative way, very convincing to those who do not know that the facts are overwhelmingly against the position taken.

Our poultry man's logic was in this wise: If specific evolution by variation is true, then crosses between related species ought not to be invariably infertile. They are infertile: in other words, are incapable of producing offspring able to breed with each other and breed true. Therefore evolution is false, and the theory of distinct and separate specific creations is true.

But it happens that the asserted infertility of plants and animals produced by crossing those of different species is not true: and in producing this as a crucial test of Darwinism, the objector only confesses his profound ignorance of Nature. Among plants, fruitful bastards are plentiful; so they are among insects, fish, and birds. And they are not uncommon among the higher animals.

For example, systematists have never questioned the specific distinctness of the hare (Lepus timidus) and the rabbit (Lepus cuniculus); yet for a quarter of a century a cross of these two species has been bred for the table in France. In their natural state, the two species will not pair, but when bred together from birth there is no aversion; they pair freely, and produce offspring which are neither hares nor rabbits, but a clearly marked hybrid species, capable of propagating itself by pure in-and-in-breeding. But this is not nearly so remarkable as the cross of goats and sheep—two distinct genera—bred for industrial purposes in Chili. In this case it happens that only the offspring of the he-goat with the ewe are fertile, the ram and the she-goat pairing but rarely, and then without result.

But we have wandered a long way from our typical anti-evolutionist. The faults we have pointed out are followed in the same short paragraph by two others, equally characteristic and possibly more common among that sort of writers; the first is misrepresentation, the second, erroneous inference from incorrectly apprehended facts.

If he ever existed, the "learned professor," who accounted for the tiger's stripes by the strong desire of that animal to hide himself in cane-brakes, has been dead a great many years: so many that Darwin and his friends may fairly be reckoned innocent of any responsibility for his intellectual vagaries. Only pretentious ignorance could seriously refer to such an ultra-Lamarckian view in connection with modern Science: but our poultry man evidently thinks the hypothetical learned professor a model Darwinist, and the example given a true illustration of the accepted method of evolution. It is a characteristic blunder of the school of thinking and writing which he so happily represents.

But the most charming exhibition of scientific and logical verandancy—pea-green tintedness, one might say—also characteristic of the school, appears in the closing question. It is triumphantly funny. Just think what a conspicuous object a pea-green tiger would be in the customary haunts of tigers!

Whether he got his color by desiring it, or by the natural process of variation, with the survival of the fittest, a pea-green tiger would be equally an impossibility; while for

protection amid thickets of vertical stems, white and brown, and casting the blackest of shadows in the glow of a tropical sun, no other coloring of his coat would serve nearly as well as the one he now enjoys. Tiger hunters declare that a motionless tiger is all but invisible amid jungle growths, even when his form is fully exposed.

At this late day it would seem impossible for an intelligent man—much more a man who aspires to be a teacher in any department of nature-study, even poultry breeding—to cram so many typical blunders unwittingly into one short paragraph; but there they are, and we suppose that men will go on doing the like just as long as it remains more fashionable and "orthodox" to denounce Darwin than to read him, so much easier to settle questions of scientific theory off-hand than to examine them by the light of sound experience and verifiable observation.

WAS IT VULCAN?

In our recent article on the intra-Mercurial planet, we published a communication in which a correspondent reported his having witnessed the transit of a dark body across the sun's disk on July 23, 1876, at about 3 P. M. The instrument used, a 2 1/2 inch telescope, defined the object as a clearly cut circle, not jagged nor presenting the well known characteristics of a solar spot. Observations made a few days after revealed no trace of the phenomenon.

Our correspondent's observation is now confirmed by the letter given below. The writer, Mr. Samuel Wilde, is a gentleman of wealth, owning a private astronomical observatory, in which is located the 6 1/2 inch refracting telescope to which he alludes. This instrument is the largest of its class in the State of New Jersey. It will be noted further that both Mr. Wilde and our correspondent B. B. saw the phenomenon from the same locality, Montclair, N. J., at nearly exactly the same time; so that, the conditions of weather, etc., being precisely similar, the mutual confirmation of these two independent observations is all the more marked.

We hazard no opinion as to the nature of the occurrence. The circumstances, on one hand, are in every way opposed to its being a sun spot, while on the other they certainly tally with the descriptions given by Lescaubault and others of their observations of a supposed Vulcanian transit. The problem is one for the astronomers to solve, and to them we leave it. Meanwhile, here is Mr. Wilde's letter:

To the Editor of the Scientific American:

Accidentally hearing of the article in your paper of October 21 on the subject of the intra-Mercurial planet and of the observation by your correspondent (B. B.), it brought to my mind an observation of the sun I had on the same day, Sunday, the 23d of July last. Having some friends visiting at my house, they desired to see the spots on the sun. Knowing that none had been visible for some time, and the day being exceedingly warm and my observatory some little way off, they concluded to stay in the house until I ascertained if any were visible. At about one quarter to three o'clock I directed my telescope (a 6 1/2 inch) toward the sun's disk, and immediately perceived a well defined dark round spot on the lower left portion of the sun, substantially as given in B. B.'s drawing. I watched it 25 or 30 minutes, when, the sun becoming obscured by a passing cloud, I returned to the house. Knowing that the spot was of unusual character, entirely different from any sun spot I had ever seen before, I remarked to my friends that none of the usual spots were visible, but that I had observed a dark round spot, apparently moving, which looked like the photograph of the transit of Venus. Not knowing of the expected appearance of Vulcan, I took no note of its motion; and the occurrence had passed from my mind until my attention was called to the article in your paper. I used the solar prism, thus having a white light. SAMUEL WILDE. Montclair, N. J., October 24, 1876.

The French scientific periodicals which have arrived since the above was written are filled with discussions and news relative to the supposed planet. M. Leverrier has reviewed his calculations, and now rejects all previous observations but five, three of which occurred in the month of March in the years 1849-56, and 1859, and two in October of 1802 and 1839. Combining these, he calculates an orbit with greater precision than heretofore, determining the positions of the imaginary planet within half a degree. The result is that he now announces the Vulcanian year as neither 42 nor 28 days, but as 33.0225 days.

Next comes Señor Ventosa, Astronomer of the Madrid Observatory, who proceeds to annihilate Weber's observation, on which all the present excitement is founded. On April 3, at 23h. 18m. Berlin time, Señor Ventosa saw a sun spot and noted its position. On April 4, at 4h. 25m. same time, M. Weber saw his supposed Vulcan, and noted its locality on the sun's face. Calculating back from Weber's position, for a period of 5 hours and 7 minutes, brings Weber's planet in exactly the place where Ventosa saw the spot. Ergo, Weber saw a sun spot; and as M. Leverrier himself accepts this conclusion, there is an end of Weber's fragile foundation. But this need not arrest the work of astronomers who are still watching the solar face. There are the two observations of our correspondents which yet remain, and which are certainly much more valuable and better authenticated than that of M. Weber.

M. Janssen, the distinguished physical astronomer, has sent to the French Academy of Sciences the following notes which offers excellent suggestions to observers. The roundness of an observed body, he says, on the sun's face is not a specific characteristic of an intra-Mercurial planet, neither does its disappearance after five or six hours incontestably prove a planetary transit. There exist, however, features determined from the constitution of the photosphere which allow, even during the brief instants of a fugitive observation, of deciding whether the phenomenon seen is

solar or extra-solar. The sun's surface is covered with granulations, which are called various names, but which are perfectly familiar to any practised observer. These become modified near the sun spots, and the latter (independently of the penumbra, which rarely is absent, especially about round spots) are surrounded with circular faculae which almost invariably throw out appendages. A body in motion, interposed between the eye and the solar surface, should produce a succession of eclipses of the granulations, covering those toward which it progresses, and uncovering others behind it. This phenomenon of emersion and immersion is the most decisive test during a rapid observation. It requires, it is true, a good instrument of ample enlarging power; but observations made with small instruments are in any case doubtful, as they cannot include all the true characteristics of the phenomenon.

Photography nowadays gives us such perfect solar images that it may best be used in work requiring great precision. The photograph of a transit, if made with a proper instrument, carries with it the stamp of authenticity, and is better than the most perfect observation of the ablest astronomer. In order to search for Vulcan by photography, a succession of pictures of the sun will have to be taken so rapidly that no time, sufficient for a transit to take place, will elapse between any two. A revolving apparatus using dry plates and working automatically, so as to take a photograph once an hour, would answer all requirements best, especially as the astronomer, by using dry plates, can afterwards develop his images at his leisure, or need not concern himself with photographic manipulations at all. A certain number of such instruments, says M. Janssen, distributed systematically over the globe and kept going for a few years, would explore the solar regions so thoroughly as to settle all question as to whether an intra-Mercurial planet does or does not exist.

#### THE CENTENNIAL AWARDS.

Viewing its work as a whole, the Centennial Commission has done wonders, it has made the Exposition a grand success, it deserves the hearty thanks of the people, and will get them. But its action in respect to the awards will, we fear, give considerable dissatisfaction.

It was decided to make supplementary awards, and there upon a board of judges of appeal was constituted. Had this board proceeded to review cases where injustice and oversight was charged, and to issue favorable reports when the facts warranted, signed by its particular members, all would be well; but probably incited by exhibitors who would not abate their demands one jot, and who wanted just exactly as valuable a report as their competitors had received in the first instance, and nothing else, the Commission concluded to render all reports equal by erasing the names of all the judges on all the reports, and substituting therefor the signatures of Director General Goshorn and Secretary Campbell.

The action, we learn, has been taken in the face of the opposition of General Walker, the Chief of the Bureau of Awards, and of prominent members of the Commission.

#### THE RETURN OF THE BRITISH ARCTIC EXPEDITION.

The British arctic expedition under Captain Nares, comprising the steamers Alert and Discovery, has returned, the Alert having arrived at Valentia, Newfoundland, on October 27. The ships left England on May 30, 1875, and entered the ice off Cape Sable, on July 29. After a severe struggle, the north side of Lady Franklin Bay was reached, and here the Discovery was left in winter quarters. The Alert pushed on up to latitude 82° 27', and there wintered. At this point the sun was invisible for 142 days, and the lowest temperature ever recorded was experienced. The mercury fell to 59° below zero, and remained so for a fortnight, and at one period reached 104° below zero. Sledge parties were fitted out, one of which traveled 220 miles to the eastward, and the other went to the north, proceeding on land up to 83° 07', and thence on the ice to 83° 21'. Further on, nothing but ice could be seen, which was so rugged that scarcely a mile of advance could be accomplished daily. The fies in some places measured 150 feet in thickness. Four men died from the effects of the cold. Finally, becoming convinced that it was impossible to get any nearer to the pole, and seeing that his men were succumbing under the hardships, while the Alert herself had been much damaged by the ice, Captain Nares started homeward, leaving Smith Sound on September 9 last.

This expedition, it will be remembered, was fitted out with every aid to polar exploration which Science could devise or the experience of the oldest arctic explorers could suggest. That it has failed to reach the pole is proof of the enormous difficulties to be overcome in that undertaking, rather than of any inadequacy to the task of those who attempted it. Indeed, we may believe that, after latitude 82° is reached, the obstacles augment in some compound ratio. The results which have been obtained are, however, of considerable importance. Captain Nares has reached the highest northern point ever attained, latitude 83° 21'. The Austrian expedition, which sailed, in 1872, toward Spitzbergen, only reached Cape Fligely in 82° 5', and sighted Cape Vienna in 83°. It is remarkable, however, that the Polaris, ill equipped as she was, reached 82° 16', and wintered in 81° 38', while Hall, with a sledging party, pushed onward to 82° 30'. Captain Nares has, therefore, advanced 51 geographical miles further north than the American explorer, and has approached within 400 miles of the pole.

The details of the other accomplishments of the expedition which have reached us are very meager, but indicate that Captain Nares' forthcoming report will be of consider-

able value. President Land, usually marked on arctic maps, has no existence. Lady Franklin's Strait is really a bay; and from the fact that travel was conducted on the ice to the highest point reached, it would seem that no open polar sea was encountered. The northernmost point seen in Greenland, was in latitude 82° 57'. Excellent coal was found near the place where the Discovery wintered, and a number of valuable scientific collections and observations were made.

The Pandora is still in the ice, and was met by the Alert on October 16 (where, not stated), when she signaled "all well."

#### THE NEW YORK AQUARIUM.

The new aquarium at the corner of Broadway and Thirty-fifth street promises to be a positive and genuine addition to the city's resources for instructive entertainment. It is a pity it could not have been placed, as first proposed, in Central Park, and made a part of the valuable zoological exhibition already so popular there; but as that was impossible, we are thankful that Mr. Coup has had the courage to undertake it as a private venture. Unless we greatly misjudge the interest which most intelligent people take in such things, the enterprise cannot fail to command its full meed of recognition and reward.

At present, however, the aquarium labors under serious disadvantages as an exhibition. The water in the tanks is still almost turbid with decomposing vegetable and animal matter, making it difficult to see the objects exhibited, and even more difficult to keep them alive and well; while the work of stocking has been seriously hindered by the bursting of tanks and the death of many rare and valuable fish and aquatic animals. Nearly all the first supplies, including two white whales, were lost before the tanks were in proper working order; and many objects which might otherwise have been saved were killed in consequence of the absorption by the water of poisonous vapors from the freshly painted and varnished interior of the main hall.

All these obstacles and mishaps, it is to be hoped, will soon be corrected and overcome; the water will be freed of organic matter by aeration; new objects of interest will be added, and in a little while we may expect to see an exhibition of aquatic life such as will compare favorably with those which have proved so popular and instructive abroad.

Already the collection contains representatives of many of our principal salt and fresh water fishes, with a few that are rare and curious, besides turtles, alligators, seals, a young whale, and a considerable number of the lower forms of marine life. In capacity the building compares favorably with the more important aquaria abroad: not so large as those of Manchester and Brighton, but fully equal in tankage to many of the most useful and successful. The main tank, which has a front of 65 feet, is the second in size, it is said, in the world. Here, at present, are numerous dog fish, a regular shark of considerable size, a gigantic sturgeon, several large sea turtles, and a number of skates and rays. In the center of the pavilion is a raised circular tank 30 feet in diameter, now occupied with a white whale calf from the Gulf of St. Lawrence. In front is a depressed pool of equal dimensions, where three active and clever seals have already made themselves the pets of numerous visitors. Back of the whale tank, and occupying the larger part of the western end of the pavilion, are the sea lions' pools, surrounded by an attractive rockery, and spanned by a rustic bridge from which a good view is to be had of the entire exhibition hall.

The northern side is devoted to a row of large tanks, lined with rockwork and tenanted at present with numerous representatives of our principal lake, river, and sea fish, besides crabs, lobsters, anemones, and the like. Four of these tanks present a crystal frontage of 8 feet by 10 feet each; and a dozen smaller ones have 4 by 5 feet fronts. On the southern side are twenty-five or thirty table tanks, glazed on all sides, for the exhibition of the smaller fish, crustaceans, etc.; a large tank for trout and allied species; and—one of the most valuable features of the aquarium—Mr. Mather's tank for fish hatching, now occupied in part by an interesting lot of California salmon eggs in process of development.

The arrangements for securing a constant circulation of water through the tanks, for aerating the water when it enters the tanks, and again when it is on its return course to the main reservoirs, and for hastening the oxidation of the organic matter originally in the water and constantly being added to it by its inhabitants, are ingenious and satisfactory. By these means only the loss by evaporation and leakage has to be replaced, the original supply of water being used over and over again, as in Nature, while undergoing a perpetual process of purification.

Specially to be commended are the educational features of this new enterprise, particularly those designed to assist practical students of marine life in the prosecution of their researches. As an adjunct to the aquarium, it is proposed to have a free scientific reading room, and a laboratory, provided with experimental tanks, dissecting tables, microscopes, and other appliances for the critical study of aquatic life and the anatomy of aquatic forms. This department, for which pleasant rooms have been provided over the main entrance, is under the direction of Professor W. S. Ward at whose suggestion it was established. It is proposed to admit to its privileges all such teachers and students as may desire to avail themselves of the opportunity thus offered for the practical pursuit of zoological studies.

The general aspect of the main pavilion, with its rustic work, and foliage is quite pleasing, and we have no doubt but that it will soon become a place of great resort. Its popularity and its profitableness as well, we think, would be in-

creased by a large reduction of the admission fee; but that is a matter which the proprietors will have to demonstrate for themselves. It is to be feared, too, that an unfavorable impression of its value will be gained by many who visit it just now, for the collection is comparatively meager; and though containing much that is curious and interesting, it falls so far short of what one might expect from the bombastic advertisement that it is really disappointing, for the money. As a beginning, however, considering the difficulties to be overcome in starting an enterprise so largely experimental in character, it is worthy of every encouragement.

#### DETOXICATED TOBACCO.

A correspondent, referring to our recent article "A Cigar Scientifically Dissected," asks whether there be not some method whereby tobacco can be rendered innocuous and yet have its agreeable aroma preserved. The fact that numerous attempts in this direction have been made, and yet there is no substitute for tobacco and no de-nicotinized tobacco in general use, is in itself a sufficient answer to the question. It is the combination of poisons which we enumerated which produce the agreeable taste and smell, and to remove any of the ingredients seems simply to render the tobacco unpalatable.

Upon many persons coffee exercises a very deleterious influence; but they can, and often do, drink a chicory infusion, which tastes very like that of the Arabian berry, though totally destitute of all the aroma of coffee. Similarly it is possible that there may be some vegetable which is sufficiently near in savor to tobacco to render it valuable as a substitute or as an adulterant for the genuine leaf; and it might be well for botanists and chemists to undertake researches with a view to discovering the same. Meanwhile the most successful efforts to render tobacco less hurtful have been those involving mechanical means. The Turkish nargileh or water pipe, in which the smoke is drawn through water, is probably the least harmful method of smoking practised, a fact proved by the thick dark scum of oil which appears on the water after use. A nargileh is easily made out of a wide-mouthed bottle. The tube attached to the pipe bowl is led down beneath the surface of the water which half fills the vessel, and the smoke is withdrawn through another tube which enters the empty space above the water. Numerous pipes have been patented in which the smoke is filtered through cotton or sponge, or led into a little chamber where the oil is deposited, and thence withdrawn. Attempts have been made to treat the smoke chemically during its passage through the filter. M. Ferrier soaks the cotton in a solution of tannin, and dries it in the air. The tannin, he claims, retains the nicotine in chemical combination. French chemists who have tested this plan are widely at variance. Cahours confirms Ferrier's experimental results, and says that the nicotine is wholly removed. Barral objects that nicotine is not capable of uniting with tannin, and that the latter substance is not less injurious than nicotine. We do not find many records of investigation in this branch of the subject, and researches here also might be valuable.

After the water pipe, the safest way of using tobacco is to smoke a mild quality in a pipe made of meerschaum, charcoal, or porous unglazed clay. The pipe bowl then absorbs the oils to a considerable extent, as the coloring of pure white meerschaum plainly shows; and the impurities should be frequently burned out, or new bowls substituted, in order to keep the absorbent qualities unimpaired. The most hurtful method of smoking is the Cuban paper cigarette, where the deleterious fumes of burning paper are added to those of the exceedingly strong tobacco enveloped.

It may be justly considered that in most cases the use of tobacco is an abuse; but it is equally true that devotees of the weed have lived to the most advanced ages, and that thousands habitually smoke without being able to appreciate any deleterious results. There is no standard, therefore, whereby the evil effects of the habit can be gaged for everybody. Dr. Smith, some years ago, read a paper before the British Association, in which he adduced experiments showing that, while tobacco smoking causes a large increase in the rate of pulsation of some persons, in others no increase whatever occurs; and hence he demonstrated a marked diversity in the mode of action of tobacco on different systems. A typical experiment cited is that of a person who began smoking a pipe with the pulse at 74.5 beats a minute. In nineteen minutes the rate rose to 110, then to 112. Finally, at the end of half an hour after the commencement of the smoking, it was at 88.9. For more than two hours it remained above the natural average of frequency and force. In a person of full habit, such acceleration of the heart leads to apoplexy. It is clear that, if in one individual tobacco is able to produce conditions favorable to a disease which may kill at any moment, and in another is practically inert, it is useless to argue either that it is generally highly dangerous, or, on the other hand, destitute of dangerous effects. As we said in our previous article, the ingredients of tobacco are separately poisonous; the probabilities are that they are collectively so in every case. But some systems are strong enough to withstand their effects either wholly or in part; and for every individual to discover whether his particular constitution belongs to this last class, involves in all cases a course of experiment in learning to smoke which is universally admitted to be one of the most unnatural, nauseous, and disagreeable experiences of the human existence.

EQUAL parts of tin and copper form a white speculum metal as hard as steel.