of subtractions. It takes very little practice to render any one expert in this method, which combines the advantage of quickness and accuracy. By preparing cards from time to time, as occasion requires, one will find that he has, ere long, a pretty good stock of numbers, which, if carefully indexed, will prove very serviceable. The values of a few useful factors are appended:

Reduction of pounds to kilogrammes: Pounds × 0.454.

Reduction of kilogrammes to pounds: Kilogrammes ×2.205. Reduction of inches to meters: Inches  $\times 0.0254$ 

Reduction of meters to inches: Meters × 39.37.

Reduction of square feet to square meters: Square feet x 0.0929

Reduction of square meters to square feet: Square meters

Reduction of cubic feet to cubic meters: Cubic feet  $\times$  0.028. Reduction of cubic meters to cubic feet: Cubic meters x 35.32

Reduction of U. S. gallons to cubic feet: U. S. gallons x

Reduction of cubic feet to U.S. gallons: Cubic feet x 7:48. Reduction of imperial gallons to cubic feet: Imperial gal- $10ns \times 0.1604$ .

Reduction of cubic feet to imperial gallons: Cubic feet x

Reduction of U.S. gallons to imperial gallons: U.S. gal-

Reduction of imperial gallons to U.S. gallons: Imperial gallons  $\times 1.2$ .

ABOUT two thirds of the New State Capitol at Albany, N. Y., is now completed. The building thus far has cost \$5,000,000, and it is estimated that about \$7,000,000 more will be required to finish it entirely. If the State Legislaroof being in place by May, 1876.

# Recent American and Loreign Latents.

### Improved Safety Lock for Elevators.

Henry Carlile, Steubenville, Ohio,-This invention consists in providing an elevator with a pair of clamping jaws, which are actuated by the weight of the cage to seize the guides and arrest the downward movement of the carriage whenever the lift rope slacks or breaks. By the novel means employed in effecting this purpose, all chance of accident is removed, while the carriage may be held automatically at different elevations and stories. It seems admirably calculated for use in councction with the elevators employed by hotels, warehouses, and stores.

### Improved Feed Wates Heater and Filter.

George F. Jasper, Freeburgh, Ill.-The supply pipepasses downward through and beneath the filtering material, and the water discharged therefrom passes upward through the said material, and flows over into a scries of sediment troughs or pans, and thence into the heating tank proper, from which it is conveyed to the boiler. The arrangement of the filter below the tank increases the surface available for application of heat in the furnace, when desired ornecessary, as well as gives easy access to it for removal of the sediment when the furnace is fired up.

## Improved Seed Planter.

Jacob R. Sample, Liberty, Miss.—This invention relates to the simultaneous distribution of comminuted manures and cotton or other seed, and consists in a peculiar shapo of the opening and covering plows, together with the standards hy which they are attached to the frame. This insures great uniformity and accuracy in the application both of seed and manure to the soli.

## Improved Rotary Harrow and Roller.

Louis Belly, St. Anne, Ill.—This is an improvement in cultivating machines wherein rotary harrows are employed. The novel feature consists in an arrangement of parts whereby the harrows are supthe revolution of the barrows arrested when raised from the

## Improved Stereoscope.

Absalom H. McClintockand Henry J. W. Barker, Fort Scott, Kan. -This is an improved stereoscopic apparatus designed especially for use in object teaching in classes, so constructed that a copy of the picture may be before each pupil. All the pictures may thus be exhibited, replaced by others, and moved to bring them into focus at the same time and by the same operation. Several pairs of lenses are arranged in a box, and the pictures are raised and held before the former by suitable devices. The supporting frame moves transversely to bring each picture into focus.

# Improved BaleiTie.

Sewall J. Leach, Tuscaloosa, Ala.-A plate with a right-angled flange at each end is attached to one end of the hoop, and is a little narrower than the breadth of the latter. The flange is notched transeversely on the inner faces to lock the free end of the boop, which is correspondingly notched on its edges to fit the notches of the flanges. The latter are inclined in the direction to cause the hoop to draw to the bottom of the space between the flanges, and thusinsure the holding of it so as not to work loose. There is also a loop on one end of the tie for the free end of the hoop to pass through, to be kept in position at the time of fastening until secured by the notches

# Locomotive Attachment for Towing Canal Boats.

Charles Howard, New York city.-The driving wheels of the locomotive are constructed with a V-shaped groove in the periphery, so as to bring the bearing diagonally on the sides of rails without touching the tops. This adds to the traction in proportion to the angle or sharpness of the groove. The towing bars are applied on the bottom of the frame, are pivoted equidistant from the wheels near the center of the frame, and are of curved shape, extending beyond the wheels. They are bent at their ends into upward and slightly forward turned hooks, and swing toward the canal, allowing thereby a free adjustment to the different positions of the towing line. Suitable guide pieces applied to the bottom of the frame control the swing of the tow bars, and a spring forces the lattersideways, when there is no strain on them, preventing the obstruction of the track by the slackened tow line.

## Improved Corn Coverer and Cultivator.

James Copeland, Bloomingdale, Ohio.—The vertical arm of a standard is slotted to receive a wheel that supports the forward part of the machine when adjusted as a coverer or double shovel plow. When the machine is to be used as a cultivator, the standard may be removed and replaced by a similar standard, the lowerarm of which is without a slot, is curved slightly forward, and has a hole formed through it to receive a bolt for holding a cultivator plow.

#### Improvement in Manufacturing Shoes

Charles F. Hill, Baltimore, Md.-This invention consists in a shoe in which an insole, receiving the lasting nails, is covered by another insole, and the whole united by a line of stitching passing through the outer sole, upper, and the two soles.

#### Improved Office Door Plate.

Thomas S. Kennard, Exeter, N. H.-This invention consists in the application of time-indicating wheels and an inscribed slide to a slotted recessed plate, in such a manner that, when said slide is in a certain position, the device will indicate that the occupant of the office is out, and also the time of his return: and when in another position, that he is in, the name of the day of the week being indiated and the wheels locked in position in each case.

### Improved Hydraulic Safety Valve.

John F. Taylor, Charleston, S. C.—This invention relates to certain improvements in hydraulic safety valves, whereby the valve is weighted with great convenience and facility by the fluid employed. It consists in a valve chamber provided with openings in its seat connecting with the escape pipe, in combination with a valve having different areas of pressure upon its opposite sides, the chambers upon the opposite sides of the valve being connected by a channel through the valve, so that the unit of pressure upon the valve is the difference between the opposite areas of pressure.

#### Improved Combined Hoc and Chopper,

Charles H. Gaylord, Osceola, Ark.—This invention consists in a tool by which the workman may cut up the soil on cach side of a owof plants as he passes along, and then, giving it a half revolution, cut the weeds or surplus plants in the front and rear; the firstoperation being effected by a chop toward himself, while the second is produced by a chop from himself. The construction of the tool is such that the two effects are secured without changing the position of the workman, consequently with much less labor and fatigue, as well as with a great saving of time.

#### Improved Extension Table Slide.

James Plenkharp, Columbus, Ohio.—The grooved slides are connected by castings of angular form, with a dovetailed base. The lower half of each casting is provided with a projection or shoulder at its angle, the same being notched to receive a fastening screw or nail. Thus the castings are secured to the slides without being weakened and hence rendered liable to break at their angle, under ture appropriate funds promptly, there is a prospect of the the thestrain put upon them by the weight or pressure supported by the table top.

#### Improved Car Coupling.

Henry C. Chapman, Port Jervis, N.Y. - The outerend of the coupling link is raised or lowered by a looped rod, in which the link rests, and by which the said link may be elevated or depressed to suit the various hights of drawheads on different cars. By having a recess made in the face of the drawbcad, into which the looped rod which supports the link may recede when the cars bump together, the said rod is prevented from being injured in the collision. The loop rod is suspended from a U crank of a long rod which extends across the end of the car, and which is readily turned from the side of the latter.

#### Improved Clamping Attachment for Tinners' Machines.

William H. Burnett, Stanfordville, N. Y.-A standard is east with a rlbbed socket-shaped top part and clamp screw for supporting firmly the operating machine parts, and with an enlarged base. For the purpose of dispensing with the permanent attachment of the standards, and for making them detachable, a strong clamping device, with circular top part fitting closely around the base of the standard, is applied by a clamping screw. The standard may be secured to any part of the bench, and also turned readily into any direction over and beyond the latter.

## Improved Blind Bridle.

Francis Schwalm, Clarksville, Cal.—This invention consists in forming the cheek pieces of the bridle so that they operate as cranks on the blinds, which blinds are attached to their upper ends. By means of this improvement, the blinds may, at the will of the driver, be drawn tightly over, and so as to close the horse's eye, and held in that position until the danger is passed.

## Improved Exhaust Regulator.

Charles C. Gregory, Fredericton, Can.—As the steam enters a receiver it forces up a spring piston. It then expands until the pressure is equal to that at he nozzle, when the spring will begin to react on the steam, and, while steam remains in the receiver to be forced out, will maintain a continuous uniform blast at the nozzle. A valve ported entirely by the rollers and front wheels of the frame, and in the nozzle is provided for opening and closing it, to regulate the escape by opening the passage wider when the greatest pressure exists in the receiver, and closing it when the pressure decreases. This valve is operated by the piston. In case the steam should, at any time, enter the receiver in excess of the means of escape by this apparatus, the excess will be automatically allowed to escape through a pipe by the opening of a valve lifted by the piston, when the last arrives at a certain predetermined hight.

## Improved Gas Beater and Condenser.

Sylvanus Warren, New York city.-This is an improved apparatus, to be placed between the exhauster and the purifier of a gasmaking mechanism, for beating or scrubbing the gas, and condensingfrom it the tar and ammonia. By suitable construction, while the gas is passing through the central compartment of a drum, cold, tepid, or warm water or air may be forced through the end compartments and small connecting pipes, to regulate the temperature of the gas as it passes to the purifier.

# Improved Shingle Bolting Machine.

William A. Fletcher, Beaumont, Texas.—The pivoted rest for the bolt is provided with two clamps, operated by a single shaft, having right and left screw threads. Said clamps are worked by a single crank for opening and closing them.

## Improved Steak Tenderer.

Daniel J. Shults, Mount Union, Pa.—This is a device by which steaks may be easily and rapidly made tender. It consists of two toothed plates, which are hinged at one end, to be adjustable to greater or less thickness of steak, and closed by means of a lever with sectional pinion pivoted to the inner plate, and gearing with a toothed stationary arm of the lower plate. Both plates are carried toward each other by swinging the lever to the front, and act with considerable power on the steak placed between them.

# Improved Target and Toy Pistol.

Warren Lyon, Mamaroneck, N. Y.-The first invention is a toy, for use with pea shooters and the like, for the amusement of children. It consists of two or more self-adjusting targets of equal weight, arranged on the ends of radial arms of equal length secured to a rotary shaft. The target is self-righting, and may include several grotesque figures. The same inventor has also devised a toy pistol which may be used in connection with the toy target just described. A piston is arranged in the barrel, and its rod connected at the rear end with a lever. The rod has a coiled spring on it to throw the piston forward. The lever is arranged in a vertical slot in the breech, above which it projects. The lower end has a notch below the pivot, in which a spring catch drops to hold the piston spring, and to be used for tripping it by the trigger. A stop is combined with the spring catch and trigger, to prevent damage to the catch by pulling the trigger too hard.

### Improved Grain Separator.

John Gordon, St. Catherine's, Can.—The novel feature in this invention is a hinged valve which may be arranged to connect at will the carrier board leading to the discharge with the carrier board leading to the suction channel. This is useful in case the separation of the wheat into lighter and heavier grades is not desired.

#### Improved Pump.

J. C. Chambers and S. Chambers, Dallas, Texas.—This invention consists in combining, with three bottom-valved cylinders, three valved connecting pipes, and a single discharge pipe, three differential pistons, of which one is always forcing water into the discharge pipe. This produces a continuous and uniform flow of water, and not only greatly lessens the time usually required, but also very considerably diminishes the labor.

#### Improved Sack Scale.

Pascal P. Parker, Parkersburgh, Iowa, assignor to himself and Milton I. Powers, same place.—To the inner edge of the seale pan are attached two standards, to which is secured an oval band baving an inwardly projecting flange formed upon its lower edge, and which is provided with an open spring ring for supporting a bag, and holding the mouth open while being filled.

#### Improved Land Roller.

Benjamin S. Healy, Cohocton, N. Y.-The new feature in this invention is an arrangement of the double tree and draft bars whereby the draft will always be applied to the front part of the frunc in whatever position the tongue may assume.

### DECISIONS OF THE COURTS.

# United States Circuit Court, -- District of Massachu-

PAPER BOX PATENT .- UNION PAPER BAG MACHINE COMPANY 58, LUTHER

CRASE et al. [Before Clifford and Lowell, J. J .- May Term, A.D. 1871-to wit; October 6, 1874.]

Lowell, J.:

The bill is brought under section 58 of the consolidated Patent Act of 1850, 16 Stat., 207, alleging that the plaintiffs own a patent granted to them December 24, 1872, as assignees of Lorenzo D. Benner, for an improvementin paper begs, of which said Benner was the original and first inventor; that the defendants hold a patent dated February 20, 1872, for an improvement elleged to have been invented by Luther C. Growell; that the patents interfere, and the plaintiffs pray that the patent of the defendants may be declared void. The answer deflex that Benner was the original and that inventor of the improvement patented at the plaintiffs; insists that Crowell was the inventor of that held by the defendants; does not explicitly confess or deny the interference between the two, and concludes with a brayer that the plaintiffs patent may be adjudged void.

It appears to us, on a comparison of the specifications, that they describe and claim the same invention, and the evidence proves that the plaintiff intended that their patent should cover the same Kroundas the defendants. The Patent Office decided in favor of the plaintiffs, after an interference backer repairly declared with Crowell's batent, which had already issue; upon the hearing, Crowell produced no evidence excepting his own statement, and Benner examined several witnesses, and both parties were heard in a repurent.

The oppoints of law are taken by the plaintiffs: First, that the decision of the

ment, and Benner examines series a minister of the argument.

Two points of law are taken by the plaintills: First, that the decision of the Patent Office is final between these Parties; second, that the decendants are estopped by the statement made by their assignor Crowell to the Patent Office respecting the date of his invention to intruduce evidence in this cause carrying his invention back to an earlier time than that which he specified in that

ing his invention back to an earlier time than that which he specified in that statement.

1. The decision of the Patent Office is never final upon the question of the novelty or priority of an invention. The rule may have been adopted at tirst from a consideration of the ex-Parte character of the proceedings at Washington, but it has never been confined, as is now maintained by the plaintiff, in cases in which no contest was had; and it is obvious that it cannot be so, in cases in which no contest was had; and it is obvious that it cannot be to the party, the result may be that the patent is valid as against him, which is void against all the result may be that the patent is valid as against him, which is void against all the result than that patented to the plaintiffs, the latter patent is conceeded to be void as against every one who had no hearing before the Patent Office, while the defendants' patent would be void as against himpaintiffs, as and all persons claiming under them; so that the only betton who could not practice the invention would be who had made it, and his assignees.

could not practice the invention would be he who had made it, and his assignees.

The statute is not ambiguous. It gives a court of equity power to decide between inter ering patents without any exception or imitation. This is substantially a re-enactment of section 16 of the act of 1836, under which Mr. Justice Nelson is said to have decided the very point. Atkinson vs. Boardman: Laws's Dig., title, Construction of statutes 13. See, also, section 50 of the act of 1870.

By the act of 1870.

By the act of 187, interfering applications were to be passed upon by three arbitrators, and upon title act Mr. Justice Story said:

The sward or decision of the arbitrators would have been final between the parties only go far as respected the granting of the patent.

This sole object of such an award is to ascertain who is prima facie entitled to the patent. But, when once obtained, itis liable to be repealed or destroyed by precisely the same process as if it was issued without opposition. Stearns vs. Berrett, 1 Mason, 173, 4.

Upon reasoning and authority, then, the new patent granted after a hearing merely makes out a prima facie case for the plaintiffs, shifting the presumption that would otherwise exist from the earlier date of the defendants deed.

ing merely makes out a prima facie case for the plaintiffs, shifting the presumption that would otherwise exist from the earlier date of the defendants deed.

2. There is no ground for holding the statement of Crowell an estoppel. It was not made to the plaintiffs, nor intended to influence their action, and the evidence elear that they did not act upon it.

We have examined, with great care, the evidence concerning priority of invention, and are of oplaion that Crowell was the true and first inventor. He neglected life sace thefore the Patent Office, and the examiners were led to helleve that he might have obtained hints or suggestions from the drawings of Benner for a patent that was issued to him a short time before that of Crowell. It is true, those drawings were left with Mr. Coffin, one of the persons interested in Crowell's invention, and in the shop where Crowell was at work on his machines; but the evidence in this case does not prove that any use was made of them, but tends to prove the contrary. But a wholly decisive consideration, as to which the course of proceedings before the Patent Office led the examiners into error, is that those drawings do not contain the invention, and, if they had been seen and studied by Crowell, would be no answer to his claim of priority. This is now admitted by the plaintiffs, and was well known to them while the interference was going on, as appears by a letter from their counsel to the president of the company, which they have printed on page 41 of the record. Astheir argument before the Patent Office is not given, we do not know whether the admission was made at that time; but the fact that the decision was very largely influenced by this mistake is shown by the record, and must detract much from the weight of the adulcation.

Upon the principal point of fact we are well satisfed, not only that Crowell's invention was actually made by him, but that it was completed in 1867. The plaintiffs, not denying that Crowell made the invention, insist that he was not the first

### United States Circuit Court .--- District of New Jersey.

FLUTING MACHINE PATENT. - SUSAN R. KNOX et al. vs. ARTHIR H [In equity.]

Nixon, J.:
The bil filed in this case charges the defendants with infringing four different patents belonging to the complainants—to wit:
1. Patent issued to Susan R. Knox, and W. D. Corrister, April 3, 1868, and released to Susan R. Knox, and W. D. Corrister, April 3, 1868, and released to Susan R. Knox, assignee, April 1, 1870, No. 3,681.
2. Patent issued to Susan R. Knox, November 20, 1866, and released to her April 26, 1870, No. 3,938.
3. Patent issued to Samuel G. Cabell, July 17, 1866, and released to Flora B. Cabell, assignee, March 1, 1870, No. 3,856.
4. Patent issued to Flora B. Cabell, assignee, November 10, 1868, No. 83,924, and released to Flora B. Cabell, assignee, November 10, 1868, No. 83,924, and released to Flora B. Cabell assignee, November 10, 1868, No. 83,924, and released to Flora B. Cabell and Susan R. Knox, assignees, November 23, 1871, No. 4,653
The defendants, in theiranswer, deny the validity of these patents on various grounds. The defendants, in theiranswer, deny the validity of these patents on various grounds of the said inventions or of any material or essential parts thereof; thattnere was a prior knowledke, use, and public said, in many parts of the United States, of machines embodying all the principles and combinations claimed as new by the complainants, that the invention had been mentioned and described in certain printed publications; that there had been an abandonment by the inventor to the public; and that there had been an abandonment by the inventor to the rights and privilekes alleged in the bill to he secured to the complainants by their several letters patent.

Held by the Court:

A patentee held to have made his invention when he had a machine embodying it completed and in operation and actual use, though the use was private.

Delay in filled an application is no ground for charging the inventor with

dying it completed and in operation and actual use, though the use was private.

Delay in filln an application is no ground for charging the inventor with abandonment if he was residing in the historicationary States during the war.

Making the lower roll in a fluting machine adjustable is an infringement of a patent for making the upper roll adjustable by similar means and for the same purposes.

Making the roll adjustable by means of a rack and pinion instead of a screw is also an infringement.

Decree for the complainants against the defendants for the infringement of the first and third claims of the relessued patent No. 3.856, and of the first and second claims of the relessue No. 4.653; but without costs.

J. J. Coombs and F. W. Leonars, for complainants.

N. Perry, Jr., for defendants.