because they correct the condition of the blood and other in whose honor the gs oring was held. In the lecture hall, fluids of the body when there is any tendency to putrescence; at the same time, like all fresh fruits, they possess a mild aperient property, very beneficial to persons of a bilious habit.

What effect have vegetable acids upon the blood?

They cool and dilute the blood, and generally refresh the system. All fruits contain acids and salts, which exer cise a cooling and invigorating influence. Apricots, peaches, apples, pears, gooseberries, and currants contain malic acid Lemons. raspberries, grapes, and pine apples contain citric acid. The skins of grapes, plums, sloes, etc., contain tannic acid, which has a bitter taste.

Why should salt be applied to vegetables intended for pick ling, previously to putting them in the vinegar?

Because all vegetables abound in watery juices, which, if mixed with the vinegar, would dilute it so much as to destroy its preservative property. Salt absorbs a portion of this water, and indirectly contributes to the strength of the vinegar.

Why is bread made from wheat flower more strengthening than that made from barley or oats?

Because, as gluten, albumen and caseine are the only substances in the bread capable of forming blood, and consequent ly of sustaining the strength and vigor of the body, they have been appropriately called the food of nutrition, as a distinction from those which merely support respiration. Wheat contains eight hundred and twenty five parts of starch, three hundred and fifteen of gluten, albumen, and caseine, and sixty of sugar and gum; while barley contains twelve hundred of starch, one hundred and twenty of gluten, albumen and caseine, and one hundred and sixty of sugar and gum; hence wheat is much richer than barley in the food of nutrition.

The Discovery of Oxygen-Celebration of the One Hundredth Anniversary.

There was a large gathering of American scientists at Northumberland, Pa., on July 31, to celebrate the one hundredth anniversary of the discovery of oxygen by Joseph Priestley. The proceedings commenced in the main hall of the village academy with an address of welcome by Colonel Taggart, of Northumberland. Professor Charles F. Chandler, of Columbia College, New York, was called to the chair, and Professor A. R. Leeds, of the Stevens Institute, Hoboken, N. J., was appointed secretary; telegrams were exchanged with Birmingham, England, where a statue of Priestley was at the time being unveiled by Professor Huxley and Professor H. H. Croft introduced the business of the day by reading a paper on "The Life and Labors of Joseph Priestley," in which he rapidly but clearly traced Priestley's great life and works. His fondness for chemical dabbling was pursued, like all his work, on a plan of his own, regardless of the schools; his wonderful discoveries, embracing at least two thirds of the now known gases, showed conclusively the compound structure of the air. He traced also the theological wars in which Priestley's controversial propensity kept him constantly engaged. Like Ishmael, his hand was against every man, and every man's hand was against him; and, though his powerful intellect vanquished one enemy after another, and the volumes hurled against his foes numbered more than a hundred, new opponents constantly arose. The Church banned him, society thrust him out, until at the age of sixty one, feeble, worn out, his house burned from over his head, his books and papers destroyed by howling mobs, injustice and opprobrium heaped upon him, he fled to America, where he met a joyous welcome, which must have sounded passing stranges to his ears, accustomed to years of constant strife. Some of his family having settled at the Forks of the Susquehanna, he followed them here, and found a land of peace and restfulness. The third and fourth generations of the great chemist's descendants still reside in LIS town.

Professor J. Lawrence Smith, of Louisville, Ky., offered and had adopted the following resolution :

Resolved, That a committee be appointed to confer with the committee of the Centennial Exhibition, to correspond with the chemists and professors of cognate sciences in Europe, in order to induce a large representation of them to visit this country in 1876.

Professor T. Sterry Hunt, of Boston, read a paper on "The Century's Progress in Theoretical Chemistry." The lecturer traced the progress of the art from its earliest stages, and defined Stahl's phlogistic hypothesis, in which Priestley laced such unwavering faith. The three great chemists o the century just expired were Scheele, Priestley, and Lavoisier. Of these the two first were great experimenters, but failed to interpret their discoveries properly. Priestley, though the founder of a new school himself, adhered firmly to the old philosophy, and died the last defender of phlogiston. Lavoisier seized, with a marvelous comprehension, the true significance of the facts made known by his contemporaries, greatly enlarged the field by his own researches, and like an other Newton, showed the great harmonies which governall the changes of matter in the mineral, animal, and vegetable

On account of their cooling and antiseptic properties, and the open air an eloquent and glowing tribute to the chemist Dr. J. Lawrence Smith reviewed the whole progress of chemical science during the past 100 years.

On the following day, August 1, Professor Silliman read an essay on American contributions to chemistry; and various other papers on the history of the subject were given, and many interesting letters and other relics of Priestley were exhibited.

----Another New Comet.

Now that Coggia has passed for ever from our view, it is gratifying to know that a new comet has just made its appearance. It was discovered at Marseilles, France, July 26, and first observed in this country by Professor Swift, Rochester, N. Y., July 30. He says: "It is quite large and bright for a telescopic comet, and has a strong central condensation, but, as far as I could judge by observation, both in the solar and lunar twilight, it has no nucleus or tail. It is in the fourth coil of Drace, and moves at the rate of about one degree a day."

IMPORTANCE OF ADVERTISING,

The value of advertising is so well understood by old established business firms that a hint to them is unnecessary; but to persons establishing a new business, or having for sale a new article, or wishing to sell a patent, or find a manufacturer to work it : upon such a class, we would impress the importance of advertising. The next thing to be considered is the medium through which to do it.

In this matter, discretion is to be used at first; but experience will soon determine that papers or magazines having the largest circulation, among the class of persons most likely to be interested in the article for sale, will be the cheapest, and bring the quickest returns. To the manufacturer of all kinds of machinery, and to the vendors of any new article in the mechanical line, we believe there is no other source from which the advertiser can get as speedy returns as through the advertising columns of the SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN.

We do not make these suggestions merely to increase our advertising patronage, but to direct persons how to increase their own business.

The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN has a circulation of more than 42,000 copies per week, which is probably greater than the combined circulation of all

the other papers of its kind published in e worm.

DECISIONS OF THE COURTS.

United States Circuit Court.---Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PATENT FIRE EXTINGUISHER. - THE NORTHWEBTERN FIRE EXTINGUISHEF COMPANY et al. vs. THE PHILADELPHIA FIRE EXTINGUISHER COMPANY.

[In equity.-Before Judge McKennan.-Decided April, 1874.] Suit brought on letters patent reissued to Dawson Miles, administrator of P. F. Carlier, deceased, and Alphonse A. C. Vignon, No. 1,994, dated July 16, 1872 (original patent No. 88,944, dated April 13, 1869), for improve-ment in extinguishing fires.

ment in extinguishing fires. The claims of the relasued patent are as follows: The claims of the relasued patent are as follows: The improvement in the art of extinguishing fires, hereinbefore de-scribed, by throwing upon the fire or confagration a properly directed stream or mingled carbonic acid gas and water by means of the pressure or expansive lorce exerted by the mass of mingled gas and water from which the stream is derived.

The stream is derived. 2. We claim a strong vessel provided with a proper plug or lid, by which an orifice in it can be closed, and a stopcock, through which its contents can be ejected, and a flexible tubing or hose for directing the stream as ejected at the will of the operator, these parts being substantially such as described, and caoable of operating as specified. 3. We claim a strong vessel provided with a proper plug or lid for clos-ing an orifice in it, and also with a stopcock, in combination with another vessel or tube, the combination being substantially such as specified, and the construction being substantially such as described, so that the vessels may keep separately the ingredients for making carbonic acid gas, and that whan their contents are mingled they may be discarged in a stream of car-bonic acid gas and water. 4. We claim, air combination with the vessel's lid or plug and stopcock

which their contents are mingled they may be discharged in a stream or car-bonic acti gas and water. 4. We claim, in combination with the vessel's lid or plug and stopcock combined, and capable of operating as in the above third claim, a hose and nozzic, so applied, as described, that the mingled stream of carbonic acid gas and water may be suitably directed, as here inbefore act forth. 5. As the preferred arrangement of our apparatus, we claim a strong vessel provided with a lid or plug and a stopcock near the bottom thereof, in combination with a vessel or tube arranged in the interior thereof, and arod passing throng vessel provided with a lid or plug and a stopcock, in combination with a vessel or tube arranged in the interior thereof, and arod passing through the wall of the outer vessel, and capable of operat-ing substantially as described. 7. We claim a stop creasel provided with a lid or plug and a stopcock, in combination with the vessel or tube arranged in the interior thereof, and arod passing through the wall of the outer vessel, and capable of operat-ing substantially as described. 7. We claim a stop vessel provided with a lid or plug and a stopcock, in combination with the vessel or tube arranged in the interior thereof, and a rod and cock or valve, the whole being and operating substantially as de-scribed.

combination with the vessel or tube arranged in the interior thereof, and a rod and cock or valve, the whole being and operating substantially as described.
8. We claim the elements or parts of a whole apparatus specified in the fifth claim, and arranged as therein specified, in combination with a flexible hose and nozzle, and with handles or loops, whereby the apparatus may be subported and the stream directed, substantially as specified.
9. We claim, in combination, a strong vessel, a hose and nozzle, and handles or loops, whereby a volume of water charged with carbonic acid gas may be confined and transported, and a stream thereof directed, in the manner and for the purposes described.
10. The keeping of the acid and alkali or alkaline solution in separate and distinct vessels, but no contact when the apparatus is required for use, one mode of accomplishing which we have above set forth.
11. A closed receptacie made of suitable material, containing one of the gas-generating ingredient, to be discharged with a containing the containing the containing the solution in separate in the transport of the exist of of the city of Paris, and subjects of the emperor of France as the time of the city of Paris, and subjects of the emperor of France as the time of the views of the there shave redenies that Frangols Philipe Carlier, was the name of Vignon's associate in the alleged invention, and or this mismon the sub the same of Carlier was Frangols P., he is done to be the same with Philipe F. Carlier, decreding the carlier.

was renewed and finally rejected. These several specifications and the drawing are all in evidence in the cause; and it is urged that they, of them-setters, are effective proof of prior invention by Graham. But it does not follow that a rejected specification and drawings are, under all circumstances, inadimissible as evidence. By themselves they are inconsequential, but when the inventor's idea is perfected by a prao-tical adaptation of it, in the form of mechanism, they are valuab e guides in ascertaining the date of the invention, the design of the inventor, and the principle, intended functions, and mode of operation of his mechan-ism, and they must, therefore, necessarily be considered in connection with it.

ism, and they must, therefore, necessarily be considered in connection with it. So, in the present case. Dr. Graham embodied what he supposed he had discovered in a practical form: for the proofs establish bryond question that as early, at least, as 1853 he constructed apparatus which he then ex-hibited.

So, in the present case, Dr. Graham embodied what he supposed he had discovered in a practical form: for the proofs establish beyond question that as early, at least, as 1853 he constructed apparatus which he then ex-hibited. As early, at least, as 1853 he constructed apparatus desribed in the specification were filed by Dr. Graham in the Fatent Odite. With the aid of all these there certainly could be no difficulty in constructing the necessary apparatus for the practical application of the invention. Indeed such apparatus was constructed by Dr. Graham as early at least as 1853, and it was produced at the hearing, with the immaterial substitution if a plece of new hose for the old plece originally attached to it, its iden-tity having been incorrestably established. It appears that, in 1852 or 1853, Dr. Graham as early at least as near L-xington, Ya., in the presence of a large number of witnesses, by setting free to a large ple of straw, and then throwing upon it a stream of minkled water and carbonic acid gas projected from the extinguisher by the expanive force of the gas. That that trial was successful is apparent from the fact that the progress of combustion was prompily arrestied, and the failent coarting the entirely was manifically due solely to the insufficient capacity of the extinguisher, as compared with the maximite of the light material. The incompatibility of carbonic acid gas with the needed no proof, because it was an indisputable fact; the problem to be demonstrated was the practicability of the proposed method of dis-charging and directing carbonic acid gas in combination with water upon an ignitemas, whereby the well known properties of both these sub-stances could be made usefully available. Sofaras this result was con-cerned the trial made usefully available. Sofaras this result was furnished at the hearing of this case. The same appliances, used by Dr. Graham on the occasion referred to, had been made exhibits in the case, were produced in somele day ency of carbonic acid gas and wat

The experiment is the end of the target of the state of a subsequent inventor. But if the experiment proves the capacity of the machine to effect what its inventor proposed, the law assigns to him the merit of having produced a complete invento. It is hereinbefore shown that the theory of Dr. Graham attained this practical condition, and there, apparently, his efforts ceased. But why? Repulsed from the Patent Office by the arbitrary assumption that his en-terprise was impracticable with the employment of any mechanical auxili-aries whatever, without pecuniary resources, his "poverty, not his will, consented" to an abandonment of further effort to secure the full benefit of his invention to himself and to the public. But this will not help the complainants. The most that can be predicated of his inaction is that he abandoned his invention to the public, although I do not after this hypothesis. But if he did, it will not reduce his matured in vention to the grade of a mere experiment, and open the way to the complainants to appropriate the title of first inventor. * From what has be en already said, the first claim of the patent samot be sustained. Graham waspriorto Carlierand Vigaon in devising the "im-provement in the art of extinguishing fires" embraced in this claim, and the merit of novelty cannot, therefore, be accorded to the latter. The other claims are for mechanical combinations. The nint is for a combination of a strong vessel, all do rolug, a stop-cock near the bottom of the vessel, ahose and nozzle, and handles or loops, "whereby a volume of water charged wit, carbonic acid gas maybe trans-ported and astream thereby directed, in the manner and for the purposes described. The tent is for "the keeping of the acid and alkalt oralization esolution

The binner is the second of the verse is a bind or plug, a stop-cock near the bottom of the verse is a hue and nozzie, and handles or loos, "whereby a volume of water charged wit, carbonic acid gas maybe trans-ported and a stream thereby directed, in the manner and for the purposes described." The tenth is for "the keeping of the acid and alkall oralkaline solution in separate and distinct vessels, but in such proximity to each other that they may be immediately brought into contact when the apparatus is re-quired for use." All these claims, except the last, are for combinations of devices, none of which devices are alleged to be new, and while the coefficiency of all of them is necessary to effectuate the ulterior design of the patienters, they are subdivided into groups and claimed as several inventions. Indeed the specification is a notable example of ingenious multiplication or claims, so as, it must be presumed, to embrace and protect the invention in every it is not be donoted. however, that a wild combination may consist of oldelements, which have not been before similarly arranged. or, if they have, that a novel result is produced by their conjunction. Ether the in-strumentalities employed or the effect caused by their operation must be new to constitute a method knewn and practiced before, such employ-ment of them will not be protected by a patent. * Were these elements, then, similarly combined before and used for an analogous purpose? I am convinced that an inspection and aualysis of some of the defonative xhild, and especially of Nichols' portable soda water foundains' schildt, and especially of Nichols' mortable soda water foundains aged and operated in substantially the asme way as in the complainants ged and operated in substantially the asme way as in the complainants eged and operated in substantially the asme way as in the complainants ged and operated in a substantially the same way as in the complainants ged and operated in a subody of whiter con-talkal fould by kept in separate vessel

Insumer merein setforth, or by other equivalent means.
 This bill is founded upon a released equivalent to Bawson Miles, administrate of the extension extension of the extension extension of the extension e

the changes of matter in the mineral, animal, and vegetable
 kingdoms. Lavoisier justified by the aid of the balance the
 old doctrine of Hermes, that in the changes of matter nothing
 is lost and nothing is gained. With Wenzel, he made
 chemistry a quantitative science, and the great laws of definite and multiple proportion made known by Dalton showed
 that all things were ordered by weight, by number, and by
 measure.
 A second session was held in the evening of the day, at
 which Professor Joseph Henry was to have presided; but
 being prevented by ill health, Dr. Henry Coppie, President
 of the Lehigh University, filled the chair, and delivered in

nished. by Mr. Justice Story, in Rean #2. Smallwood (2 Story, 409, where he taus states the law : Now, I take it to be clear that a machine, or apparatus, or other mechanical contrivance, in order to give the party a claim to a patent thereton, must in itself be substantially new. If it is old and well known, and applied only to a new purpose, that does not make it parentable. And, in Curtis on l'Atcats (3d ed., sec. 56), the result of the authorities is thus accurately stated: Of course, if any new contrivances, combinations, or arrangements are made use of, although the principal agents are well known, these contrivances, combinations, or arrangements are made use of, although the principal agents are well known, these contrivances, combinations, or arrangements may constitute a new principle, and the application or practice will necessarily be new also. But where there is no novelty in the preparation or arrangement of the agent +m-ployed, and the novelty professedly consists in the splication of that agent, being a well known thing, or, in other terms, where it consists in the produced share were to result not produced before. It is apparent, therefore, that where an effect or result has been before produced, the mechanical agrictes by which it is reproduced. If they are not is the present case, both as to the result achieved and the mesans employed to effect unce, the as the to be discording the is a solution.

achieved and the means employed to effectuate it; and the claims for both being thus invalid for want of novelty, the bill must be dismissed with COSIS.

Edmund Burke and Keller & Blake, for complainants, Chas. B. Collier and D. L. Collier, for defendants.