

eyes sparkling with delight, and, in a low, inquiring tone, says: "Turkey? turkey?" "No, Bobby," Nat will perhaps say, "not turkey today." Bobby cocks his head the other way and softly says: "Quack, quack, quack?" "Yes, Bobby," says Nat, "quack, quack!" Bobby then bursts into a loud "ha, ha, ha!" and cries, "Nat, you lubber, quack, quack, quack!" Then he ha ha has till the whole cabin rings again.

THE FLOW OF SOLIDS AND ITS EFFECT UPON THE STRENGTH OF MATERIALS.

BY PROFESSOR R. H. THURSTON.

One of the most important properties of metals is that which has been carefully and skillfully investigated by M. Tresca, the distinguished "Sous-Directeur du Conservatoire des Arts et Métiers," and by him called the flow of solids. The important modification produced in the strength of materials by this action is not generally recognized, and has not been considered by standard authorities on this subject.

Professor Henry proved long ago that liquids, which were previously regarded by all, and which are still regarded by many, as destitute of all cohesion, are actually endowed with considerable attractive force, their molecules clinging to each other with a tenacity probably nearly, and perhaps quite, equal to that of ice. The total absence of the force of polarity, which gives the property of solidity, and the perfect freedom from true friction, observed in fluids, prevent the casual observer from detecting the existence of this attraction, and it can only be measured by ingenious artifice and skillfully conducted experiment. In solids, the force of polarity prevents the occurrence of such intermolecular movements, and enables cohesive force to be observed and appreciated; but it is evident that, so long as the power of changing interatomic distances by flow remains, the maximum cohesive resistance of the material cannot become a measure of its tenacity.

It has recently been found that any distribution of material which aids polarity in resisting the tendency of particles to slide among each other, under the action of any straining force, causes a power of resisting external forces to become evident, higher than is noted where the form is such as to permit flow. The real resistance to fracture offered by any piece, as a bolt, for example, is determined by the relative and absolute values of cohesive force and polarity, and the form of the piece, and is not, as has been so generally supposed, a simple measure of the cohesive strength of the substance.

It was shown sometime since, in an illustrated article published in the Railroad Gazette*, that a piece of boiler plate having rivet holes, whether punched or carefully drilled, was actually weaker per square inch of breaking section than when solid. It has long been known to engineers that short specimens of materials, subjected to test in the standard form of testing machine, exhibited higher tenacity than long specimens of the same material with a uniform cross section. This phenomenon has recently been studied by Mr. C. B. Richards, at Hartford, and by Commander Beardalee at the Washington Navy Yard, and the results obtained are very similar.

The standard short specimen gives, almost uniformly, about twenty per cent higher resistance to fracture by tensile force than the long specimen, which has a uniform cross section for a length of several times its diameter.

A metal which exhibits a tenacity of 60,000 pounds per square inch when tested in the first form, the minimum area occurring at a single point, will usually resist with a force of but about 50,000 pounds when tested in the form of a long bolt. It is therefore very important to know in what form a specimen of metal has been tested when its so-called tenacity is stated.

The majority of experiments hitherto made and quoted in books and periodicals have been made with short specimens. We are consequently very liable to be led to expect more of our materials than they are really capable of sustaining.

It may be inferred, from what is above stated, that, in construction, we should always be careful to design the parts exposed to strain in such manner that their form should aid in giving resisting power by preventing, as far as may be, a flow of particles and consequent stretch or distortion. This is correct when dead loads are to be carried.

Another inference would be that one large piece is less liable to yield under the attacking force than several small ones of equal total section. It is, however, to be remembered that small pieces are usually better worked and are less affected by internal strain than are large pieces. This is particularly the case with iron and steel, which are far more liable to this last kind of fault than are the other metals. Where the piece is to resist blows, or to sustain live loads, it need hardly be said, it should never be given a contracted section if it can possibly be avoided.

Since the damaging effect of a blow is measured by the product obtained by multiplying the weight of the striking body into the height from which its fall would have given it its striking velocity, and since the resisting power of the piece receiving the blow is measured by the product of the strength of the material into about two thirds the distance it will stretch before breaking, it is seen that the proper method of forming the resisting piece is that which gives it the best opportunity to stretch to a maximum extent before breaking. This is done by making the greatest possible length of uniform section and seeing that all other portions are somewhat larger.

Thus the best bridge builders in this country make the

long bolts, which are used as braces, of uniform sectional area from end to end, except at the very extremities, which are upset for a distance equal to the required length of thread to be cut on them, and this enlarged portion at each end is given such size that the diameter at the bottom of the thread, when cut, shall be somewhat greater than that of the body of the rod.

The amount of flow of the metal is determined by the character of the metal. Hard wrought iron and tool steels, for example, exhibit it less, and are consequently more ductile and resilient, than soft iron and low steels, while the latter are weaker metals than the former. Cast iron is both weak and non-resilient, and is therefore not well fitted to sustain either dead or live loads. The harder metals are not less affected by shape, in their power of resisting shock, than are the softer grades, and where it becomes necessary or advisable to make use of them under such circumstances, the same care should be taken to avoid concentrating the straining action on a short portion, or upon a single plane of cross section.

It often happens in designing machinery, that pieces are necessarily made of such shape as to be liable to injury from the cause here considered. Should this danger appear serious, the designer might be justified in changing his whole plan to avoid such risk.

A connecting rod, as usually made, is an illustration of a piece unfitted by its shape to bear a blow. The less the taper of the rod, the less is its liability to yield to shock. To secure in any given case a form of rod that shall best combine power of resisting shock with maximum endurance under heavy strain is often an important problem. The spring of the rod will often take up excessive strains, due to accidental and excessive blows caused by the piston striking upon water in the cylinder or by other exceptional occurrences.

The body of a piston rod being of uniform section, it is well fitted to meet either static or dynamic compressive stress, but it is so seriously weakened at each end by the taper given it in fitting it to piston and crosshead, and by the slots cut through it, that it is usually quite unfit to offer maximum resistance to shock in tension.

To resist perfectly steady strain, therefore, and to carry dead loads, we should always select the strongest material, rather avoiding ductility, and, where the minimum section occurs, make that as short as possible and of such form as shall best resist flow and change of shape.

To resist percussive action and to sustain live loads, we should select that material which is at once the strongest and most ductile, avoid brittleness as certain to produce danger, and make the piece of such form as shall allow the greatest possible stretch before breaking.

Where two materials have products of strength into elongation which have the same magnitude we would select the most tenacious. Where two materials are equal in other respects, we would select that which has least density, since it is less likely to produce a concentration of the effect of the shock near the point at which the blow is struck.

STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.

Plant Trees.

Mr. Reuben Shelmandine, of Jefferson, N. Y., is evidently a philanthropist, and he proves his love for mankind in general by issuing a proclamation to farmers. Why he should embody a number of very useful hints about transplanting trees in this highly official document, we cannot explain. Suffice it that the writer says that he has had an experience of twenty years on a farm, and "not on a side walk," and that his remarks are practical. Transplant, he says, finest or standard fruit trees, some in the fall and some in the spring, until you have from 10 to 50 trees growing. No tree should stand nearer a building than twenty feet, and the trees should be about twenty feet apart throughout the entire grove or orchard. Establish forest trees along the road and the front yard, and fruit or forest trees on other sides of the house. Sugar maple, commonly named hard maple, is preferable of forest trees, and thrifty, hardy apples or pears, or both, of the standard (not dwarf) kinds.

Ornamental trees should be trimmed during the first few years, leaving the main shoot to form the trunk of the tree, in order to have the branching lower limbs of the final tree from six to seven feet from the ground. The land in such an orchard grove can be cultivated for all ordinary crops, including a garden, by plowing shallow and carefully near the trees.

It is suggested that the first ten trees be planted on the south side of the house, if none be there already.

If a wind break is wanted on the west, northwest, or southwest, plant as near together as possible and have a part of the trees evergreens, to complete the thicket. The forest and fruit trees, arranged about twenty feet apart, as above described, will be estimated by the owner or other persons at the expiration of five years from the time of planting to be worth at least five dollars each, and at the expiration of ten years at ten dollars each, with an increasing value thereafter.

Inventions Patented in England by Americans.

(Compiled from the Commissioners of Patents' Journal.) From April 14 to April 16, 1874, inclusive.

- BOILER AND FURNACE.—D. Renshaw, Hingham, Mass.
HORSE COLLAR LINING.—D. Curtis et al., Madison, Wis.
LEATHER DRESSING MACHINE.—J. M. Callier, Salem, Mass.
NEEDLE.—W. Traub, Louisville, Ky.
PUMP.—W. D. Baxter, New York city.
TEMPERING APPARATUS.—G. F. Simonds, et al., Boston, Mass.
WASHING MACHINE, ETC.—E. Marshall, Toia, Kansas.

DECISIONS OF THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS.

PATENT TOBACCO BAG.—JAMES D. CULP.—Appeal.

[Appeal from the decision of the Board of Examiners-in-Chief in the matter of the application of James D. Culp, for patent for Improved Tobacco Packages.—Decided April 15, 1874.]

LEGGETT, Commissioner.

Applicant claims—

1. The use of elastic knit or loosely woven tobacco sacks, substantially as herein described, for packing tobacco.
2. A new elastic tobacco sacks made of knit or loosely woven fabrics, substantially as herein set forth and described.
Heretofore sacks for containing small quantities of granulated tobacco to be sold at retail in small packages, have been made of woven fabric, pieces being cut out, folded, and sewn at one side and one end to form the sack.

In packaging the tobacco it is pressed into a metallic tube, over the end of which the bag is slipped to receive the tobacco as it is forced out of the tube.

Applicant proposes to knit long tubes of the diameter of a tobacco package and cut them into suitable lengths to form tobacco sacks, and merely sew them across the bottom. The novelty of this plan of making tobacco sacks is admitted, but the Board hold that, as it is common to knit tubular fabric for stockings and purses and cut it into proper lengths and sew up one end, there is no novelty in making a tobacco sack in the manner proposed.

The following points are made by the applicant against the soundness of this opinion. He says his sack can be manufactured with less expense than the old sack, because it requires less sewing. But this advantage is due solely to the method employed in its manufacture, which, broadly considered, is old. Laying aside the method, which, although it has never been employed before to make tobacco sacks, has been used to make purses and stockings, and considering the alleged qualities and advantages of the finished article, it is said, first, that on account of its elasticity it will readily fit the metallic tube, even if there is some variation in its size, and thus the waste of misfitting sacks, which occurs in the use of the unyielding woven fabric, is avoided; second, the danger of giving way at the side seam, which is incident to the sacks at present employed, is obviated; third, and more important, the sacks adapt themselves to the size and shape of the packages, requiring nothing but the drawing string to snugly close their mouths for the reception of the revenue stamp, and the ordinary seam across their bottoms to smoothly close them, while the common cloth bags require extra sewing and pressing after the tobacco is put in them.

That the sack, for the use contemplated, is a new and superior one is clear and it is the object of the law to promote the production of new and improved articles for the use of the public.

Very little analogy appears between a stocking or purse and a sack for a tobacco package.

Decision of the Board reserved and a patent allowed to the applicant.

RIGHTS OF EMPLOYERS AND EMPLOYEES TO INVENTIONS.

GILBERT, AND CLARKE, BONZANO & GRIFFEN.—INTERFERENCE.—ELEVATED RAILWAY PATENT.

[Appeal from the decision of the Board of Examiners-in-Chief in the matter of the interference between the application of Rufus H. Gilbert, and Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen, for patent for Improvement in Elevated Railways.—Decided April 16, 1874.]

LEGGETT, Commissioner.

The invention in controversy is an elevated street railway. Such a means of transit in large cities has long been a project of absorbing interest to the applicant, Dr. Gilbert.

With such a scheme more or less developed in his mind, he went to the firm of Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen, at Phoenixville, Pennsylvania, distinguished engineers and bridge builders, to enlist their skill and services in a practical furtherance. It is admitted that the widespread reputation of this firm as engineers and bridge constructors led to those business transactions between the parties from which this controversy sprung. That Dr. Gilbert freely communicated to them his ideas and plans as far as he had perfected them, and that they were promoted, to the consideration of the subject by their suggestions, cannot be doubted. How far he had matured the structure of the device in his own mind is somewhat uncertain. It is clear, however, that he had not perfected all the details, and probably could not have done it. But that he had conceived this much, that he must have supporting columns, an arch of some kind properly elevated, and a track bed properly supported and far enough beneath to admit of the passage of steam cars under the arch, all of sufficient strength for the purpose contemplated, is certain. The very conception of the idea of an elevated railway over the street, which would not obstruct travel, must have suggested this much, especially when a transition was made from the pneumatic structure which he had already planned and pictured. Fugitive sketches made in the course of conversations were not preserved, but they were not important to establish the existence of the general idea of the structure embodying the invention claimed. There is sufficient proof to carry conviction with them.

Gilbert needed and sought the practical suggestions and instructions of skilled mechanics and engineers. They could and did tell him that a gothic arch would not do. They probably told him, as all other engineers would have told him, that he must provide for expansion and contraction, and without making any invention they could readily suggest how it should be done. They no doubt informed him also with reference to the strength of the material, and how braces and diagonal and vertical tension rods could be advantageously employed. What he conceived, they suggested him or by them is immaterial. All this and much more any practical bridge builder would inform an inventor for the furtherance of his general plan, and it is perfectly legitimate for him to invoke such aid.

Mechanical skill and professional knowledge may always be sought without jeopardizing inventions, because, in fact, an inventor cannot do much of his conceptions without them.

As to what transpired at the interview of Foster and Gilbert with the firm of engineers upon whom they called and whom they consulted, among the somewhat conflicting accounts the following testimony of Foster appears to be a conscientious and reliable summary. He says, in substance, pencil sketches were made to illustrate the requirement of construction by Dr. Gilbert and himself; some were also made by Mr. Bonzano and Mr. Griffen. The sketches were illustrative of the arrangement of the arch and the way were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors. The prospect of a contract to build for the Gilbert Railway Company was sufficient to induce them to perform the services sought of them by Dr. Gilbert. That was doubtless the consideration upon which they made their request. Illustrative sketches were made by all the parties present, none of which he could fix upon one or the other. The consultation here indicated was such as would naturally take place at such an interview. It does not go to show that Clarke, Bonzano & Griffen were inventors