ASTRONOMICAL NOTES.

OBSERVATORY OF VASSAR COLLEGE. For the computations of the following notes (which are approximate only) and for most of the observations, I am ndebted to students. M.M.

Positions of Planets for April, 1874. Mercury.

This planet, which was so beautiful in the evening twight in March, rises in April before the sun, and should be ooked for in the morning. Its declination is so much far her south than in March that it cannot be so well seen.

On the 1st of April, Mercury rises about 5 A. M., and sets at 4h. 31m. P. M. On the 30th, Mercury rises at 4h. 19m. A. M., and sets at 4h. 47m. P. M.

Venus.

On the 1st of April, Venus rises at 6h. 14m. A. M., and sets at 7h. 6m. P. M. On the 30th, Venus rises at 5h. 49m. A. M., and sets at 8h. 19m. P. M.

Venus should be seen after sunset, almost directly in the s un's path on the first half of the month; after that date it will be further north than the sun and can be seen for some time after sunset. Venus and the moon will be in conjunction on the 17th.

Mars.

Mars will at present scarcely repay the observer who attempts to study its phenomena, even with the aid of a good telescope.

On the 1st, Mars rises at 6h. 51m. A. M., and sets at 8h. 35m. P.M. On the 30th, Mars rises at 5h, 54m, A. M., and sets at 8h. 30m. P. M.

Jupiter,

On April 1, Jupiter rises at 4h. 50m. P. M., and sets at 5h. 14m. A. M. On the 30th, it rises at 2h. 43m. P. M., and sets at 3h. 15m. the next morning.

Jupiter is the great beauty of our evening skies all through the month. It should be observed between 9 P. M. and midnight, when it is not far from meridian. Its motion among the stars is retrograde, or toward the west, and it is so great that from night to night its change of place can be detected.

The phenomena resulting from the motions of the sat ellites on the 7th and 15th of the month are very interesting, and some of them can be seen with a small telescope. On the 7th the fourth satellite will disappear by eclipse-it will pass into the shadow of Jupiter, and before it comes out the first satellite will disappear by transit-that is, it will be projected on the face of Jupiter and will be lost in the light of the planet.

On the evening of the 15th, the fourth and second satellites of Jupiter make transits across the face of the planet nearly at the same time; with a powerful telescope both will be seen projected on the disk, but they cannot be detected by a telescope of low power; they will be lost in the light of Jupiter, and the planet will seem to have but two moons.

Saturn.

Saturn is very unfavorably situated, as it is far south, rises in the early morning and sets on the 1st a little after 1 P.M. and on the 30th before noon.

Uranus,

Uranns is well situated for observation, but requires a a pretty good telescope to render it interesting. It rises on April 1 at 0h. 42m. P. M., and sets at 3h. 7m. the next morning. On the 30th, Uranus rises at 10h. 49m. A. M., having set at 1h. 18m. on the morning of that day.

Neptune.

It is useless to attempt observations on Neptune at present. It comes to the meridian nearly at the same time with the sun, and makes nearly the same diurnal path.

Meteors.

But few meteors have been seen during February and the first half of March. The only one reported of any considerable size was seen on February 28, south of Sirius, at 8h. 30m. P. M. The moon was nearly full, yet it appeared brighter than Jupiter. Several meteors were seen between 8 and 9 P. M. of the 15th of March.

Barometer and Thermometer.

The meteorological journal from February 14 to March 14 gives the highest barometer, February 25, 30.51; the lowest barometer, March 10, 29.46; the highest thermometer, March 4. at 2 P. M., 53'; the lowest thermometer, Febru ary 18, at 7 A. M., 11°.

Amount of Rain.

The rain which fell during the night of February 20 mounted to 0 21 incles.

the house at the corner of 13th street and Thirdavenue. An alarm of fire was sounded by telegraph, and the hook and ladder apparatus was quickly on the spot and assisted to put out the flames.

The Basking Shark.

An interesting ichthyological discovery has lately been made by Professor Steenstrup, of Copenhagen. He finds that certain comblike bodies, which have been supposed to be appendages of the skin of certain sbarks, are really shifting organs appended to the interior of the gillapertures of the basking shark; and he infers that this fish, the largest shark of the northern regions, which attains a length of thirty five feet or more, lives, like the still more gigantic whales, upon the bodies of small marine animals strained from the water by these peculiar fringes. The very fine rays composing the fringes are five or six inches long, and were some years ago shown by Professor Hanover to consist of dentine, so that each of them may be regarded as, to a certain extent, the analogue of a tooth. It is remarkable that Bishop Gunnerus, who originally described the basking shark (selachus maximus) and regarded it as the fish that swallowed the prophet Jonah, noticed the existence of these branchial sieves more than a century ago.—Science Gossip.

PRIZE FOR AN ALCOHOLOMETER. -M. Léon Say has proposed to one of the commissions of the French Assembly that a prize of 200 francs should be offered for the discovery of a process by which it may be possible to determine immediately and practically the amount of alcohol in any mixture, no matter how composed. The commission voted unanimously in favor of the proposal, and M. Dampierre was charged to draw up a report on the subject.

A REDDISH BROWN PAINT FOR WOOD .- The wood is first washed with a solution of 1 lb. cupric sulpbate in 1 gallon of water, and then with $\frac{1}{2}$ lb. potasssum ferrocyanide dissolved in 1 gallon of water. The resulting brown cupric ferrocyanide withstands the weather, and is not attacked by insects. It may be covered, if desired, with a coat of linseed oil varnish.

Mr. W. R. Norris, the inventor of the diagonal planer illustrated on page 198 of our last issue, desires us to state that the capacity for work of his machine is fifty doors, each 2 feet 6 inches by 6 feet 6 inches, per hour, and not per day, as stated in the description.

IMPORTANCE OF ADVERTISING.

The value of advertising is so well understood by old established business firms that a hint to them is unnecessary; but to persons establishing a new business, or having for sale anew article, or wishing to sell a patent, or find a manufacturer to work it: upon such a class, we would impress the importance of advertising. The next thing to be considered is the medi m through which to do it.

In this matter, discretion is to be used at first; but experience will soon determine that papers or magazines having the largest circulation, among the class of persons most likely to be interested in the article for sale, will be the cheapest, and bring the quickest returns. To the manufacturer of all kinds of machinery, and to the vendors of any new article in the mechanical line, we believe there is no other source from which the advertiser can get as speedy returns as through the advertising columns of the SCIENTIFIC AMBRICAN.

We do not make these suggestions merely to increase our advertising patronage, but to direct persons how to increase their own business.

The SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN has a circulation of more than 42,000 copies per week, which is probably greater than the combined circulation of all the other papers of its kind published in the world.

Inventions Patented in England by Americans. [Compiled from the Commissioners of Patents' Journal.]

From February 24 to March 2, 1874, inclusive. CARTRIDGE MACHINERT.-C. H. Webb, Brooklyn, N. Y. CORRUGATING MACHINE.-H. W. Lafferty et al., Gloucester, N. J. EMEBY GRINDING .- C. Heaton (of New York city), London, England. FEED WATER HEATER, ETC.-I.P. Magoon, St. Johnsbury, Vt. FLOOB COVERING.-J. L. Kendall, Foxboro', Mass. JOUBNAL BOX.-J. N. Smith, Jersey City, N. J. LOOM HABNESS, ETO.-J. Sladdin, Lawrence, Mass PRESERVING EGGS .- D. Miles. Boston. Mass. SEWING MACHINE .- I. M. Singer (of New York city), Paignton, England. TWISTING FEINGE .- W. Brooks, Remington, Vt.

DECISIONS OF THE COURTS.

Supreme Court---District of Columbia. CONKLIN AND STAFFORD.-PATENT STRADDLING CULTIVATOR. [Application for reissue,-Appeal from the Commissioner of Patents

the same invention. This section declares that whenever any patent is in-operative or invalid, by reason of a defective or insufficient specification, or by reason of the patentee claiming as his own invention or discovery more than he had a right to daim as new, if theerror has arisen by insider tence, accident, or missike, and without any fandmient or deceptive in-tenct, accident, or missike, and without any fandmient or deceptive in-tenct, accident, or missike, and without any fandmient or deceptive in-tence, accident, or missike, and without any fandmient or deceptive in-tence, accident, or missike, and without any fandmient or deceptive in-tence, accident, or missike, and without any fandmient or bat a patent to cause a new one to be issued, with corrected specifications. It will be seen by the terms of the statute that in order to entitle a party to the relesse of a patent it is incumbent him to show that it is incopera-tive or invalid by reason of a defective or insufficient specification, or that a the patente had claimed more than be invented, and without any fraudulent intention. Unless these circumstances exist in an application of this character. I can find no sufficient cases mentioned in the statute. I refer to these requirements of law, because if the original patent is neither inbp-erative nor invalid, and if no error has been occasioned by accident or mistake, there must be a presumption of isw and fact that the patentee has abandoned to the use of the public every thin which he may have in-vented, but which he did not include in bis clasms and specifications. The law presumes that gery one who applies for a patent will embody his invention in specifications sufficiently definite to preserve as much of his discovery as he desires to protect by a pater t. If, from mistake, he has overlooked anything within the accope ϕ his invention, he may sur-reudering patent on that ground, and claim a new one, in accordance with amended specificatibus. The party asking this relief must be denie

Beles he brings blinkelf within the section. When he knows all the facts free like not on misconduct, blin, but will leave him to njoy outy such lighted and extern its aid to actually secured. The law reserves its remedies for the car-full and vertex and the section of eduity very ofter grants like relief in cases of misconduct, blinke when as the wreserves like remedies for the car-full and vertex and the section of eduity very ofter grants like and the section of the

of the opinion that the decision of the Commissioner should be

The second set of the second set of the second set term and a bandon to be the second set of the opinion that the decision of the Commissioner should be affirmed. Borne discussion occurred during the argument concerning the jurisdiction of the court on an appeal from a decision of the Commissioner of Pattents. The forty-eighth section of the act provides for the appeal, and the pert section directs that the appeal and shall be in the Pattent Office and the pert section of the section enacts that the court is shall revise the decision appeal in writing, and the fittleth section enacts that the court shall be confined to the point set forth in the reasons of appeal. A majoriy of the court are of opilion that by 4 true interpretation of those sections we can only examine into the reasons of appeal, and the record and proceedings, so far as they apply thereto, for the purpose of ascertaining whether the Commissioner has made an erroneous decision; and that we cannot revise the decision on any other ground that badoned to public use the audy of the ground of abadonment. The issue is thus clearly defined for the ground of abadonment. The issue is thus clearly defined in the reasons of appeal, and the record to the ground. Nor crimesioner red in relating the claim on the ground of abadonment. The issue is thus clearly defined in the mode designized by the law, and we are forbidden to set the decision aside on any other ground. Nor crimesioner nor stated in the reasons of appeal. The baseen suggested that a case may occur the which the true grounds are the decision and set of a states of a speel, and the true ground and the set of secing we there the decision be substated to the secien asset of the secien aside on any other ground. Nor crimesioner red the resons of appeal. The second secing we there the decision be able as a secing the secience the decision be abadoned to prove the decision aside on any other ground. Nor crimesioner and the resons of appeal, the reasons of appeal and the secien asset of the secience a

of cases. On the question of juriadiction, Judge Olin dissented. ••• The Commissioner, he save, as near as I understand the reason assigned by him for bis refusal to reissue this patent with the improved specifications proposed, holds that where a parentee has been experiment-

The rain which fell between the afternoon of February 22 and the morning of February 23 amounted to 0.28 inches.

The rain which fell during the night of March3 and the morning of March 4 amounted to 0.16 inches.

----A Street Fire.

In this city, recently, a one horse truck laden with twentyseven cases of naphtha was being driven up Third avenue by an employee of the Gas Meter's Saving Company. When near 14th street, the driver struck a match and threw the end of it among the cans. In an instant the whole contents were in a blaze. The driver sprang out and left the vehicle to its fate. The horse, a fine young animal, reared and plunged with fright, but the traces and harness confined him to the burning pile. Superintendent Hartfield, of Mr. Bergh's socity, riding up on a car, sprang off at the spot, and, under a scorching fire, unhitched the animal and saved it from a horrible death. In ten minutes the wagon was a small heap of charged fragments. The flames reached the top story of

Decision March 2, 18/4. J Opinion of the cont delivered by MacArthur, Judge: The appeal in this case is from a decision of the Commissioner of Patents refusing to grant a release of a patent to the representatives of a de-ceased inventor. The refusal to allow the reissue is placed by the Com-missioner on the ground that the claims for which the release is deeled have been abandoned to the public use, and are, therefore, not patenta-ble. The facts of the case are as follows: Daniel 8. Stafford made spplication for letters patent August 30, 1860, for a new and useful inurovement in corn cultivators, which he described in

Date is a state of the date splication for letters patent August 30, 1860, for a new and useful improvement in corn cultivators, which he described in his specification as that kind of cultivators that can be raised or lowered, or turned to the right or left, by the operator from his seat on the machine so action single that kind of cultivators that can be raised or lowered, or turned to the right or left, by the operator from his seat on the machine so action single that has a constrained by the seat of the seat of the specification as that kind of cultivators that can be raised or lowered, or turned to the right or left, by the operator from his seat on the machine so action single that the seat of the seat of the seat of the seat of the original natent was issued to bim January 15, 1861, embracing three claims. First, the combination which enabled the driver to guide the machine as as to follow the crocks in the rows of plants; second, the com-signees and widow, who has discret marked and in now Mrs. Conklin, filed an application for a release, embracing seven claims, five of which were allowed, and two of them were rejected for the reason already mentioned the issuing of the original patent. These claims are not following the "The combination to the seat and the subject instarter of such claims previous to the issuing of the original patent. These claims are not following langer "The combination to a set of the rows of two wheals B an application for a set such claims are the following the "The combination is a stradied are wellivator of two wheals B an area

guage: The combination, in a straddle row cultivator, of two wheels, B, an axle, C, frame, A, and series of plows. G, arranged in two gangs, so as to till or cultivate the soil on both sides of a single row of plants simultaneously as

cultivate the soil on both sides of a singlerow of plants simulateneous, a set forth. Also the combination, in a streddle row cultivator, of two wheels, B, an saile, C, frame, A, series of plows, G, stranged in two gangs, and a seat, E, for the driver. for the purposes at forth. The application for the reisne was necessarily made under the fify-third ection of the revised patent law of 1870, which seems to be the only previ-gion in the statute authorizing the Commissioner to issue a new patent for

specifications proposed, holds that where a parentee has been experiment-ing by way of improving his muchine for a period of about twearty years, and then applies for a patent for his improvements.and a patent is granted for all heasks as his invention, and after the lapse of some eight or ten years, during which this machine has been manufactured and scattered broadcast over the country, the patentee shall be deemed to have abou-doned to public use all such inventions or devices in the original patent for which he did not ask protection in his application for the original patent

doned to publicate situation in this application for the original patent which he did not ask protection in this application for the original patent ranted him. I think the reasoning of the Commissioner by which he strived at that conclusion is sound; and still I think he might well have placed his decision upon the ground that, by law, it e lacts of this case did not a uthorize the relisue of the Stafford patent. * * No new matter, the statute says, shall be introduced into the specifica-tions, nor, in case of a machine patent, shall the model or drawings be amended except each by the other. What is here meant by the statute which says that upon the relisate of a natent "no new matter, that be introduced into the specification." etc.? I understand it to mean this, and this only, that upon the relisate of a pat-ent, the patentee is allowed to surrender his specifications in such a way as to render valid and onerative the patent originally granted, but that no new matter shall be introduced into the relisated naw not claimed in the original application and sneedfractions. By new matter is here obviously meant shot claimed in the original patent. Wy conclusion, is therefore, that this case, all the facts appearing before us did not a whork the same at claimed in the original patent. Wy conclusion, is therefore of Patente to grant a relisate of the patent in this case. * *

the Commissioner of Patents to grant a relisue of the patent in this case. * * * From the claims, as set forth in this application for a relisue of the Staf-ford patent, it will be clearly usen that an attempt is made to $8 \operatorname{trodde} \operatorname{over}$ every possible invention and improvement known in this king of machine, and to render them all subject to the payment of a royalty to the assignee of Stafford, and to bis widow, Mrs. Craklin. . Well, we think the Commissioner might have paused before granting such an ε . E. Is that no and A. Mac Collium, counsel for appellant. Marcue, S. Hopkins, for the Commissioner of Patents.